

Na 24.





XT

of the

THE

CAMP:

### PHILOSOPHICAL

# DICTIONARY:

OR, THE

## OPINIONS

O F

MODERN PHILOSOPHERS

o M

METAPHYSICAL, MORAL,

POLITICAL SUBJECTS.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOL. I.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR G. G. J. AND J. ROBINSON;

FOR C. E L L I O T, EDINBURGE.

M,DCC,LXXXVI.



4457



92.51

#### P R E F A C E.

THE following Work is compiled from the writings of the most eminent philosophers in Europe. It was undertaken originally with no other view but to serve as a Common-place Book for private use. If the publication of it can add to the amusement of travellers who carry few books with them, or satisfy the curiosity of those who cannot purchase many books, or have little time to read them, it will answer every purpose the editor could expess.

There are some articles in it which have been the subject of controversy amongst ancient as well as modern philosophers: on these subjects, the arguments on both sides of the question are, in general, extracted for the satisfaction of the reader. If the work meet the approbation of the Public, the defects of it may be amended in a Supplement or survey Edition.

A love of truth, and warm wishes for its diffusion, under respectable authorities, were the sole objects of the editor in this publication.

London, January 1786.

F. S\*\*\*\*\*\*R, M. D.

Entered in Stationers Bell,

# CONTENTS

OF THE

# FIRST VOLUME.

					Page
ABSURDITY	laugh	is at fol	lly	-	13
Admiration	and	Acqua	aintance	incom	pa-
tible	-		-	-	14
Adultery, a	nd its	punist	ment	~	r5
Advice	-		-	<b>-</b>	17
On the fame fu	bject		_	-	8 r
Ambition	-	-	_	-	24
ATTACHMEN depend on the					
own -		•.	-	-	25
		A 3	<del>-</del>		ANE-

•		1	age
Animals (the origin of the	e inferio		_
to man) -	-	-	28
Animals (the reason of)	-		31
ARTS and Sciences (free go	vernmen	ts alone	•
favourable to the rife of)	•	124	·33
Assasination of Princes			34
Assent derived from testim	ony and	experi-	
ence -	-		35
Assent to be regulated by	the gr	ound of	
probabilit <del>y</del> -		٠.	- 37
Association of ideas	-	-	40
Association of ideas (a	general	view of	
Hartley's doctrine of)	-	-	4 T
Assurance -	_	-	48
ATHEISM .	-		50
On the same subject -	•	-	51
On the same subject	-	-	54
ATHEISM and superstition	-	-	55
ATHEISTS and Theists (t	he difpu	ites be-	
tween) verbal -	•	-	56
AVARICE -	•	-	58
On the same subject	•.	•	59
•			•
BANKRUPTCY (national)	_		бı
BEASTS -	_		66
BEAUTY and BEAUTIFUL	_	-	-
· ·	•	•	69 D=
<b>†</b> 2			Br.

	Page
BEING (a cogitative) has exifted from e	
Belief	74
On the fame subject	76
On the fame subject	78
BELIEF OF DISBELIEF -	- 82
Beneficence	- 84
Beneficence and gratitude -	85
BIGOTRY (religious)	86
BLACKNESS (the effects of) -	87
	-
CALVINISTIC Divinity -	- 9t
CAUSE and effect	94
CAUSE (existence of a first) -	95
CERES ELEUSINA (mysteries of)	- 97
On the same subject	101
CERTAINTY -	104
CHAIN of events	105
CHANCE and Caufes	107
CHARACTER	709
CHARACTERS (national) -	- 110
CHARACTER (dignity of) -	111
CHARLES L (punishment of) -	115
CHASTITY (the merit of) derived fr	om its
utility - '	117
CHILDREN (the different capacities of	f) 119
CHRISTIAN religion, its progress an	d esta-
blishment in the Roman empire	- 121
-	CHP T-

			Page
CHRISTIANITY, not	adapted t	o make	a·
constitutional part in			
lation.	•		124
CIVIL commotions	-	-	127
CLIMATES (the differen	ce of men i	n differer	1t) 128
CLIMATE (the influence			132
CLIMATE (the influence	ce of)	-	133
COMMERCE favourable	e to civili	zation a	nd
peace -	-		134
Conscience	-	-	135
On the same subject	-	-	139
Conscience (liberty of	of)	_	143
CONTEMPT -	•	-	144
CONTROVERSY	-	,**	145
Controversies (reli	gious)		ib.
Conversation	-	-	150
On the fame subject	-	-	ib.
Corn (exportation of)	-	-	152
COUNTRY -		-	₹54
CORRUPTION (religion	us and poli	tical)	156
Courtezans	-	- ·	158
CREATION -	-	-	160
CREDULITY and auth	ority		162
CRIMES (degrees of)	· <b>-</b>		163
CRIMES (evidence of)	-	-	164
CRIMES and punishm	ents (the	proport	ion
between) -	•	- i	166
			'n a

CROWN (influence of the) in the British Par-

liament		_	·		-		167
Customs (the	e orig	în c	of ba	rbaro	ous a	nd rio	li-
culous) in v							168
DARKNESS	(Lo	cke's	opi	nion	con	cernir	ng)
considered	`	_	•			-	173
DEITY			•	-		-	178
On the fame f	ubjeć	ł	~		-	•	182
DEITY (idea			of)	not	inna	te	183
DEITY (worl			-	_	•		184
DELICACY of			lof	passio	n	-	185
DELIRIUMS			_ `	•	-		188
Deluge		-		-		-	190
DESTINY	-		-		-		192
DISCRETION		-		-		-	194
DIVISIBILIT	'y of	mat	ter	-			195
DIVORCE and	l repi	adiat	ion			-	197
DOTAGE		-			-		199
DREAMS	-		-				200
D'RESS (fema	le)		-		<del>,</del>	-	202
DURATION	•			-		-	203
*							

ECCLESIASTICAL power and its influence 206
Ec-

			Page
ECCLESIASTICAL (the	advanta	ge of unitin	g
the civil and) powers		_	220
ECONOMY -		-	ib.
EDUCATION		-	222
On the fame subject	-	-	223
On the fame subject		-	225
On the same subject	-	-	227
EDUCATION (a compar	ative vi	ew of ancier	nt
and modern)	-	4	229
EDUCATION (attention	to the	of the con	1-
mon people, incumbe	nt upor	the public	240
EMPIRE (causes of the			253
ENGLAND (conftitution	of)	· -	258
ENGLISH constitution	(abufes	in the)	261
Ennui, -	•	-	263
ENTHUSIASM	•	-	265
EQUALITY -		_	267
On the same subject	-	-	268
On the same subject		-	269
On the same subject	-	-	ib.
ESTABLISHMENTS for	the rel	igious instru	.c-
tion of the people		-	272
Evidence -		-	284
EVIDENCE (historical)	-	-	285
Evil (the origin of)	-	-	286
Evil (natural and mor	al)		289
EXPERIENCE (difcover	y of)		300
•	• •		Ex-

G.

				1-agc
Experience (the f	ounda	tion of	f all co	ńclu-
fions from)	-		-	303
External objects	(the	existen	ice of)	onl <b>y</b>
probable	-		-	309
				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
FABULOUS stories	: (diffic	culty o	f deteć	ling) 211
FACT (matters of)	•	•		
tain -		_		312
FACT (nature of ou	r real	onings	conce	
matters of)		6	-	313
FAITH -			_	314
On the same subject	:	_	_	318
FAITH and reason	,	_	_	ib.
FAME -		_		319
FAME (origin of the	love	of)	_	320
FANATICISM		·-,	_	321
FANATICISM (the p	unifler	ment of	F\	- 323
FILIAL affection	· WIIIIIII	nene o	· ,	324
Final causes		_		-
FLATTERY	-	•		325
	-		_	327
FRIENDSHIP			•	328
On the same subject		100	-	329
FUTURE punishme		:a	_	334
FUTURE rewards a	na pu	minme	HITS	335
FUTURE state		-	-	336

				Page
GALLAN	<b>CRY</b>	-	•	338
GENIUS	-	-	-	340
God	•	<b>-</b> ', '		342
On the fam	e subject	=		348
GOOD (the	prevalence	e of) over	r evil 🕒	352
GOVERNM	ENT (the	differen	ce betwee	n a
free and	a :despotic)	,		35 <b>3</b>
GOVERNM	ENT (refil	tance (to)	•	354
On the fam	e fubject	_ `		358
GOVERNM	ент (civil	)	· 🛥 🔒	363
GOVERNMI	ENT	<b>-</b> -	,=	364
On the fam	e Lubject	-	_	367
GOVERNMI	ent (princ	ciples of)	50 🔔 🚗 E	ib.

THE

## PHILOSOPHICAL

## DICTIONARY.

### A.

#### ABSURDITY LAUGHS AT FOLLY.

tertainment to his whole court. The fupper was ferved up in a veftibule, which opened on a parterre. In the midst of the supper a lady thought she saw a spider: she was seized with sear, screamed out, lest the table, sled into the garden, and sell down on the grass. At the moment of her sall she heard somebody near her; this was the Duke's prime minister. "O, "Sir," said she, "you give me spirits; how much am I obliged to you! I was afraid I Vol. I. B † "had

" had been guilty of impertinence." "O, Maz" dam! who could ftay there?" replied the minister.—"But tell me, was it a very large one?"
"Dear Sir, it was quite frightful," "Did it fly "near me?" added he. "What do you mean?"
The spider fly?" "How!" returned he, "is it only for a spider that you make all this to do? Go, Madam, you are very weak; I thought it had been a bat." This fact is the history of all mankind; whatever we have that is ridiculous, we always conceal from ourselves, we only perceive it in others. In this world it is always abfurdity that laughs at folly.

Helvetius.

# ADMIRATION AND ACQUAINTANCE INCOMPATIBLE.

"NO man," faid the Prince de Conde, " is a hero," to his valet de chambre. It is certain, that admiration and acquaintance are altogether incompatible towards any mortal creature. Sleep and love convinced even Alexander himself that he was not a god: but I suppose, that such as attended him daily could easily, from the number-less weaknesses to which he was subject, have given him many still more convincing proofs of his humanity.

Hume.

ADUL-

#### ADULTERY, AND ITS PUNISHMENT.

ADULTERY is a crime which, politically confidered, owes its existence to two causes, viz. Pernicious laws, and the powerful attraction between the fexes. This attraction is fimilar in many circumstances to gravity, the spring of motion in the universe. Like this, it is diminished by distance; one regulates the motion of the body, the other that of the foul. But they differ in one respect: the force of gravity decreases in proportion to the obstacles that oppose it; the other gathers strength and vigour as the obstacles increase. Adultery proceeds from an abuse of that necessity which is constant and universal in human nature; a necesfity anterior to the formation of fociety: whereas, all other crimes tend to the destruction of fociety, and arise from momentary passions, and not from a natural necessity. It is the opinion of those who have studied history and mankind, that this neceffity is constantly in the same degree in the same climate. If this be true, ufeless or rather pernicious must all laws and customs be which tend to diminish the sum total of the effects of this pasfion; fuch laws would only burden one part of the fociety with the additional necessities of the other: but, on the contrary, wife are the laws which, following the natural course of the river. divide the stream into a number of equal branches,

B 2.

preventing thus both sterility and inundation. Conjugal fidelity is always greater in proportion as marriages are more numerous and lefs difficult. But when the interest or pride of families, or paternal authority, not the inclination of the parties, unite the fexes, gallantry foon breaks the flender ties, in spite of common moralists, who exclaim against the effect, whilst they pardon the cause. --- The act of adultery is a crime fo inftantane. ous, fo mysterious, and so concealed by the veil which the laws themselves have woven, a veil neceffary indeed, but fo transparent as to heighten rather than conceal the charms of the object; the opportunities fo frequent, and the danger of difcovery fo eafily avoided; that it were much eafier for the laws to prevent this crime, than to punish it when committed.—To every crime which from its nature must frequently remain unpunished, the punishment is an incentive. Such is the nature of the human mind, that difficulties. if not infurmountable, nor too great for our natural indolence, embellish the object, and spur us on to the pursuit; they are so many barriers that confine the imagination to the object, and oblige us to consider it in every point of view. In this agitation the mind naturally inclines and fixes itfelf to the most agreeable part, studiously avoiding every idea that might create difgust. BECCARIA.

#### ADVICE.

IS a man to be turned aside from an imprudent and dangerous action, and does humanity undertake to give him advice in the affair? It operates on his vanity; it shows him the truth, but in expressions the least offensive, and at the same time softens the most severe parts of it by tone and gesture.—Severity speaks bluntly: malignity in a manner the most mortifying.

Pride commands imperiously; it is deaf to all reply; it will be obeyed without hesitation.

Reason examines with the man the sagacity of his actions, hears his reply, and submits to the judgment of those it concerns.

Amity, full of tenderness for his friend, contradicts him with regret: if he be not able to perfuade, he has recourse to prayers and tears, and conjures him by the sacred bond that unites their happiness, not to expose himself to so dangerous an action.

Love takes another tone; and to combat the refolution of her admirer, alleges no other arguments than her pleasure and her love; if those fail, she at last condescends to reason, for reason is always the last resource of love.—One may, therefore, discover the fort of character or passion our advisers are possessed with, by the manner in which the advice is given. But has deceit a peculiar language? No; the knave borrows sometimes





times that of friendship, and is to be discovered by the difference there is between the sentiments he affects, and those he ought to have.

Different passions and different characters have different languages. Helvetius.

#### On the same Subject.

EVERY man whom we confult always believes that his counsel is dictated by friendship. He says io; most men believe him on his word, and their blind confidence often leads them into error. would, however, be very easy to undeceive ourselves in this particular; for we love but few people, and would advise all the world. From whence does this madness of giving advice derive its fource? From our vanity. Most men have the folly to believe themselves wife, and much more so than their neighbours; and therefore they are pleafed with every thing that confirms this opinion. Whoever confults us, is agreeable to us; for this is a confession of an inferiority which flatters our vanity. Besides, what opportunities does our being confulted give us to difplay our maxims, our ideas, and our fentiments, to talk much of ourfelves, and to our own advantage! Thus there is nobody who does not take advantage of it. More employed about the interest of our vanity than about the interest of the person who comes to confult us, he commonly **Ieaves**  leaves us without being instructed or enlightened; and our counsels have been our own panegyric. Thus our advice is almost constantly dictated by vanity, and hence we would correct allthe world.

In the case of ignorance, there is no doubt but advice may be very useful: a physician, a counsellor, a philosopher, and a politician, may each in their separate professions give excellent advice. In every other case it is useless, and often very ridiculous; because people in general always propose themselves for a model. —Let an ambitious man confult a person of moderate passions, and propose to him hisviews and projects: Abandon them, the latter will fay; do not expose yourself to dangers and vexations without number, but amuse yourfelf in tranquillity and peace. To this the ambitious man will reply, If I had fill my choice to make, I might follow your advice; but my paffions are fixed, my character formed, and my habits fettled: I would make the best of them, so as to promote my own happiness; and upon this point I would confult you. In vain would he add, that the character being once formed, it is impossible to change it; that the pleasures of a man of moderate defires are infipid to one filled with ambition: whatever he alleged, the man of moderate passions would constantly repeat, Be not ambitious. The physician may as well fay to his patient.

tient, Sir, do not have a fever. Old men constantly use the same language. When a young man confults them in regard to the conduct he ought to observe: Fly, fay they, plays, operas, balls, the affemblics of women, and every frivolous amusement; imitate us, and employ yourfelf entirely about making your fortune. the young man will reply, I am still fond of pleafure, I love women to distraction; how then shall I renounce them? You must be sensible that at my age this pleasure is an appetite. Whatever he can fay, an old man will never comprehend that the enjoyment of a woman is so necessary to the happiness of a young man. Every sensation which we do not experience, we cannot allow to exist. The old man no longer feeks pleafure, and pleafure no longer feeks him: the objects with which he was inceffantly employed in his youth, infenfibly retire from his fight. Whoever confiders the ardour with which men propose themselves for models, may imagine that he fees a number of perfons swimming upon a great lake, and being caused by different currents, lift up their heads above the water, crying to each other, It is me vou must sollow, and there you must land. Held fast by chains of brafs, fixed to a rock, the wife man contemplates their folly, crying, Do you not fee, that drawn by different currents, you cannot land at the same place? To advise a man to fay

fay this, or to do that, is commonly nothing more than, I would act in that manner, or I would speak thus. The passage in Moliere, You are a goldsmith, Master Josse, -- applied to the pride of setting ourselves up for an example, is more general than is imagined. There is not a blockhead but would take upon himself to direct the conduct of the man of the greatest genius. The chief of the Natches, every morning at the rifing of Aurora, walks out of his cabbin, and marks out for the fun, his brother, the course he is to take. - But, fay you, the man who is confulted may doubtlefs be under an illusion, and attribute to friendship what is only the effect of vanity. But how can this illufion pass upon him who consults him? Why is not he enlightened by his own interest? It is because he readily believes that others take an interest in his concerns, which they really do not; because most men are weak, and not being able to conduct themselves, they have occasion for others to mark out their conduct; and it is very eafy, as observation proves, to communicate to such a person the high opinion the adviser has of himfelf. This is not the case with a man of sense: if he confults, it is because he is ignorant of a particular matter; he knows that in every other case, and especially in what relates to his own happiness, he ought to consult none but his own judgment. In fact, if the propriety of advice depends

pends upon an exact knowledge of the circumstances in which those whom we advise are placed, to whom can a man apply better than to himfelf? Who knows whether, on the character being formed, and the habits fixed, each perfon is not able to conduct himfelf as well as possible, even though he should appear a fool? Every body knows the answer of a famous oculift: A countryman went to confult him, and found him fitting at table, eating and drinking heartily: "What must I do for my eyes?" said the peafant. "You must abstain from wine," replied the oculist. " But it feems to me," returned the peafant, walking up nearer to him, " that your eyes are not much better than mine, " and yet you drink," "Truly," replied the oculift, " that is because I am fonder of drinking " than of being cured." How many men are there like this oculist, whose happiness depends on passions that must plunge them in the greatest mifery; and yet, however, if I may venture to fay fo, would be fools, did they endeavour to be more wife! There are men, and experience has shown that they are pretty numerous, who are fo miferable, they can no otherwise be happy than by performing actions that lead to the grave. If, as Pascal says, habit is a second, and perhaps a first nature, it must be acknowledged that a bad habit once confirmed, will last as long as life. But it may may be answered, There are also men who, for want of wise advice, daily commit the grossest faults; and good advice doubtless might make them escape their misfortunes. To which it is replied, that they would commit more confiderable still, if they gave themselves up inconsiderately to the counsels of others. Whoever blindly follows them must observe a conduct full of inconfiftences, commonly more fatal than the excefs even of the passions. \_\_\_Inconsiderate advice precipitates us too often into the abyss of misfortune. Hence we ought often to call to mind this faying of Socrates: " May I," fays that philosopher, "always be on my guard against my masters and my friends, constantly preserve my soul in a tranquil state, and obey none but reason, the best of counsellors!" Whoever hears reason, is not only deaf to bad counfel, but also weighs in the balance of doubt the advice even of those men who are respectable by their age, their dignity, and their merit, yet confider themselves as of too much importance, and, like the hero of Cervantes, have a corner of folly to which they would bring every thing.——If advice be ever useful, it is when it puts us in a condition to judge better for ourselves: if it be prudent to ask it, it is only fo when it is asked of those wise men who, knowing the value and scarcity of good counsel, are very frugal in giving it. In fact, in order to give fuch as will be of use, it requires the greatest care to dive into the character of the man. What knowledge is necessary for the adviser to have of his . taste, his inclinations, the sensations by which he is animated, and the feelings by which he is affected? What skill to foresee the faults he would commit, and the circumstances in which he may be placed by fortune; and to judge in confequence of this, whether the fault he would correct, would not be changed into a virtue in the flation in which he will probably be placed? Thefe are the difficulties which render the wife fo referved on the article of giving advice. Thus it is only of those, who scarce ever give any, that we ought to demand it. All other counfels may be justly fuspected. HELVETIUS.

#### AMBITION.

THOSE great objects of felf-interest, of which the loss or acquisition quite changes the rank of the person, are the objects of the passion properly called Ambition; a passion which, when it keeps within the bounds of prudence and justice, is always admired in the world, and has even sometimes a certain irregular greatness which dazzles the imagination when it passes the limits of both these virtues, and is not only unjust but extravagant. Hence the general admiration for heroes

and conquerors, and even for statesmen, whose projects have been very daring and extensive, the altogether devoid of justice; such as those of the Cardinals of Richelieu and of Retz. The objects of avarice and ambition differ only in their greatness. A miser is as surious about a halfpenny, as the man of ambition about the conquest of a kingdom.

A. Smith.

OUR ATTACHMENT AND ESTEEM FOR OTHERS DEPEND ON THE ANALOGY OF THEIR IDEAS TO OUR OWN.

Every idea offered to us, has always fome regard to our stations, passions, or opinions. Now, in all thefe feveral cafes we value an idea in proportion to its use. The pilot, the physician, and the engineer, will have more regard for a shipwright, a botanist, and mechanic, than a bookfeller, a goldfmith, and a mason will have for the fame perfons; they always preferring to them the novelift, the defigner, and the architect .- With regard to ideas proper for opposing or countenancing our passions or our taste, we shall doubtlefs account those the most valuable which most flatter those passions or tastes. A woman of an amorous complexion will place a greater value on a romance than on a metaphyfical treatife: A person of the character of Charles XII. will pre-Vol. I.

fer the history of Alexander to every other work: and, certainly, the mifer will perceive understanding only in those who shall inform him where to place his money at the highest interest. On the analogy of ideas is founded also the strong attraction between perfons of genius; that attraction which as it were forces them to feek the acquaintance of each other, notwithstanding the danger that often attends their intercourse from their common thirst of fame: hence that fure way of judging of a person's temper and understanding by his choice of books and friends. The fool has only fools for his companions: every connexion of friendship, when not founded on an interest of decency, love, protection, avarice, ambition, or some other similar motive, always supposes between men some resemblance of ideas or sen-It is this that brings together persons of very different conditions: on this account it was that Augustus, Mæcenas, Scipio, Julian, Richelieu, and Condé, lived familiarly with men of genius; and hence the well known proverb, the truth of which is confirmed by its frequent use, Show me your company, and I will show you the man.—Thus the analogy or conformity of ideas is to be confidered as the attractive and repulfive force which separates men or draws them nearer to one another. The defire of esteem is common to all men; though fome, to the pleafure of being admired, will add the merit of contemning admiration: but this contempt is not real, the person admired never thinking the admirer stupid-Now if all men are fond of esteem, every one knowing from experience that his ideas will appear estimable or contemptible to others only as they agree or clash with their own; the confequence is, that, fwayed by vanity, every one cannot help esteeming in others a conformity of ideas, which affure him of their esteem; and hating in them an opposition of ideas, as a certain indication of their hatred, or at least of their contempt, which is to be confidered as a corrective of hatred. -How can we forbear having the highest ideas of ourfelves? Every man changes his opinions as foon as he believes that those opinions are false. Every one therefore believes that he thinks justly, and confequently much better than those whose ideas are contrary to his own. Now, if there are not two men who think exactly alike, it must neceffarily follow, that each in particular believes that his fentiments are more just than those entertained by all the rest of mankind. The Duchess de la Ferte said one day to Madam de Stahl, " I must confess, my dear friend, that I find no-" body always in the right but myfelf." Let us hear the Talopins, the Bonzes, the Bramins, the Guebres, the Imans, and the Marabouts, when they preach against each other in the assembly of  $C_2$ 

the multitude, does not each of them fay, like the Duchess de la Ferte, Ye people, I assure you that I alone am always in the right? Each then believes that he has a superior understanding; and the fools are not the persons who are the least fensible of it. Our esteem is so dependent on this conformity of ideas, that nobody can attentively examine himself without perceiving that in all the minutes of a day he does not afford the fame person exactly the same degree of esteem. Thus we see the reason why people form themselves into different focieties: there is fearcely a man fo ftupid, but if he pays a certain attention to the choice of his company, may fpend his life amidst a concert of praises uttered by fincere admirers; while there is not a man of fense who, if he promiscuously joins indifferent companies, will not be fuccessively treated as a fool and a wife man, as agreeable and tirefome, as flupid and a man of genius. HELVETIUS.

# THE ORIGIN OF THE INFERIORITY OF ANIMALS TO MAN.

1. All the feet of animals terminate either in horn, as those of the ox and the deer; or in nails, as those of the dog and the wolf; or in claws, as those of the lion and the cat. Now this different organisation of the feet of animals from that of our hands,

hands, deprives them, as Mr Buffon afferts, not only of all claim to the fenfe of the touch, but alfo of the dexterity requifite in handling an inftrument, in order to make any of the discoveries which suppose the use of hands. - 2. The life of animals, in general, being of a shorter duration than that of man, neither permits them to make fo many observations, nor consequently to acquire fo many ideas .- 3. Animals being better armed and better clothed by nature than the human fpecies, have fewer wants, and confequently ought to have less invention. If the voracious animals are more cunning than others, it is because hunger, ever inventive, inspires them with the art of forming stratagems to surprise their prey .- 4. The animals compose only a society that slies from man; who by the affiftance of weapons made by himfelf, is become formidable to the strongest among them. -Besides, man is the most fruitful animal upon earth: He is born and lives in every climate; while many of the other animals, as the lion, the elephant, and the rhinoceros, are found only in a certain latitude: And the more a species of animals capable of making observations is multiplied, the more ideas and genius it possesses.-But some may ask, why monkeys, whose paws are nearly as dexterous as our hands, do not make a progrefs equal to that of man? Because they are inferior to him in several respects; because men are more mul-Cз

multiplied on the earth; because, among the different species of monkeys, there are but few whose strength can be compared to that of man; because the monkeys being frugivorous, have fewer wants, and therefore less invention than man; because their life is shorter, and they form only a fugitive fociety with regard to man, and fuch animals as the tyger, the lion, &c.; and, finally, because the organical disposition of their body, keeps them, like children, in perpetual motion, even after their defires are fatisfied. Monkeys are not fufceptible of lassitude; which ought to be considered as one of the principles of the perfection of the human mind.—By combining all these differences between the nature of man and beaft, we may understand why sensibility and memory, though faculties common to man and other animals, are in the latter only sterile faculties .- If nature, inflead of hands and flexible fingers, had terminated our wrift with the foot of a horse, mankind would doubtlefs have been totally destitute of art, habitation, and defence against other animals. Wholly employed in the care of procuring food, and avoiding the beafts of prey, they would have still continued wandering in the forests like fugitive flocks. It is therefore evident, that according to this hypothesis the police would never have been carried in any fociety to that degree of perfection to which it is now arrived. There is not a nation now existing, but, with regard to the action of the mind, must have continued very inferior to certain savage nations, who have not two hundred different ideas, nor two hundred words to express those ideas; and whose language must consequently be reduced, like that of animals, to five or fix different sounds or cries, if we take from it the words bow, arrow, nets, &c. which suppose the use of hands. From whence I conclude, that without a certain exterior organisation, sensibility and memory in us would prove two sterile faculties.

#### THE REASON OF ANIMALS.

It feems evident, that animals as well as men learn many things from experience, and infer that the fame events will follow from the fame causes. By this principle they become acquainted with the more obvious properties of external objects, and gradually, from their birth, treasure up a knowledge of the nature of fire, water, earth, stones, heights, depths, &c. and of the effects which result from their operation. The ignorance and inexperience of the young are here plainly distinguishable from the cunning and sagacity of the old, who have learned, by long observation, to avoid what hurts them, and to pursue what gives ease and pleasure. A horse accustomed to the field

field will not attempt what exceeds his force or ability. An old greyhound will trust the more fatiguing part of the chace to the younger, and will place himself so as to meet the hare in her doubles; this fagacity is founded on observation and experience. This is ftill more evident from the effects of discipline and education on animals; who, by the proper application of rewards and punishments, may be taught any course of action most contrary to their natural instincts and propenfities. Is it not experience which renders a dog apprehensive of pain, when you menace him, or lift up the whip to beat him? Is it not experience which makes him answer to his name? It is custom alone which engages animals, from every object that strikes their senses, to infer its usual attendant, and carries their imagination from the appearance of one to expect the other. - But though animals learn much of their knowledge from observation, they derive also much from the original hand of nature; which greatly exceeds their share of capacity on ordinary occasions, and in which they improve little or nothing by the longest practice and experience. These we call instincts.

Ниме.

FREE

## FREE GOVERNMENTS ALONE FAVOURABLE TO THE RISE OF THE ARTS AND SCIENCES.

THOUGH a republic should be barbarous, it necessarily, by an infallible operation, gives rife to law, even before mankind have made any confiderable advances in the other sciences. From law arises security; from security curiosity; and from curiofity knowledge. The latter steps of this progress may be more accidental: but the former are altogether necessary. A republic without laws can never have any duration. On the contrary, in a monarchical government, law arifes not necessarily from the forms of government. Monarchy, when absolute, contains even something repugnant to law. Great wifdom and reflection can alone reconcile them. But fuch a degree of wifdom can never be expected, before the great refinements of human reason. refinements require curiofity, fecurity, and law. The first growth, therefore, of the arts and sciences can never be expected in despotic governments.—There are other causes which discourage the rife of the refined arts in despotic governments; though the want of laws, and the delegation of full powers to every petty magistrate, feem to be the principal. Eloquence certainly fprings up more naturally in popular governments: emulation, too, in every accomplishment, must

must there be more animated and enlivened; and genius and capacity have a fuller scope and career. All these causes render free governments the only proper nursery for the arts and sciences.

Hume.

#### ASSASSINATION OF PRINCES.

THE maxim, which forbids affaffination in every case whatever, is the result of prudent reflection, and has a tendency to allay the jealoufy, and to mitigate the cruelty, of perfons who. by violent usurpations which laws cannot restrain, have incurred the refentment of mankind. Even tyrants, it is supposed, are cruel from fear, and become merciful in proportion as they believe themselves secure: it were unwise, therefore, to entertain maxims which keep the powerful in a continual state of distrust and alarm. This prudential morality, however, was entirely unknown in the ancient republics, or could not be observed without furrendering the freedom for which the citizens contended. Amongst them the people were obliged to confider, not what was fafe, but what was necessary; and could not always defend themselves against usurpations, neither by legal forms, nor by open war. It was thought allowable, therefore, to employ artifice, furprise, and feeret conspiracy, against an usurper. And this was so much the case at Rome, that no names were held in greater veneration than those of citizens who had affassinated persons suspected of views dangerous to the commonwealth, or who by any means whatever rendered abortive the projects of adventurers who attempted to arm any party against the legal constitution of their country. The facrisce of Cæsar to the just indignation of his country, was a striking example of what the arrogant have to fear in trisling with the feelings of a free people; and at the same time a lesson of jealousy and of cruelty to tyrants, or an admonition not to spare in the exercise of their power those whom they have insulted by usurping it.

Ferguson.

## ASSENT DERIVED FROM TESTIMONY AND Experience

In things that happen indifferently, as that a bird should fly this or that way, that it should thunder on a man's right or left hand, &c. when any particular matter of fact is vouched by the concurrent testimony of unsuspected witnesses, there our assent is unavoidable. Thus, that there is such a city in Italy as Rome; that about one thousand seven hundred years ago, there lived in it a man called Julius Cæsar; that he was a general, and that he won a battle against another called Pom-

pey; this, though in the nature of the thing there be nothing for nor against it, yet being related by historians of credit, and contradicted by no one writer, a man cannot avoid believing it; and can as little doubt of it, as he does of the being and actions of his own acquaintance, whereof he himfelf is a witness.

Thus far the matter goes on easy enough. Probability upon fuch grounds carries fo much evidence with it, that it naturally determines the judgment, and leaves us as little liberty to believe or disbelieve, as a demonstration does, whether we will know or be ignorant. difficulty is when testimonies contradict common experience, and the reports of history and witnesses clash with the ordinary course of nature, or with one another; there it is, where diligence, attention and exactness are required, to form a right judgment, and to proportion the affent to the different evidence and probability of the thing; which rifes and falls according as those two foundations of credibility, viz. common obfervation in like cases, and particular testimonies in that particular instance, favour or contradict it. These are liable to so great a variety of contrary observations, circumstances, reports, different qualifications, tempers, defigns, overfights, &c. of the reporters, that it is impossible to reduce into precife rules the various degrees wherein men give their assent. This only may be said in general, that as the arguments and proofs for and against, upon due examination, nicely weighing every particular circumstance, shall to any one appear, upon the whole matter, in a greater or less degree to preponderate on either side; so they are sitted to produce in the mind such different entertainments, as we call belief, conjecture, guess, doubt, wavering, distrust, disbelief, &c.

Locke.

## ASSENT TO BE REGULATED BY THE GROUND OF PROBABILITY.

THE grounds of probability, as they are the foundations on which our affent is built, fo are they also the measure whereby its several degrees are, or ought to be, regulated: only we are to take notice, that whatever grounds of probability there may be, they yet operate no farther on the mind, which fearches after truth, and endeavours to judge right, than they appear; at least in the first judgment or search that the mind makes. I confess, in the opinions men have and firmly flick to in the world, their affent is not always from an actual view of the reasons that at first prevailed with them: it being in many cases almost impossible, and in most very hard, even for those who have very admirable memories, to retain all Vol. I. the

the proofs which upon a due examination made them embrace that fide of the question. It suffices that they have once with care and fairness fifted the matter as far as they could; and that they have fearched into all the particulars that they could imagine to give any light to the question, and with the best of their skill cast up the account upon the whole evidence: and thus having once found on which fide the probability appeared to them, after as full and exact an inquiry as they can make, they lay up their conclusion in their memories as a truth they had discovered; and for the future they remain fatisfied with the testimony of their memories, that this is the opinion, that by the proofs they have once feen of it deferves fuch a degree of their affent as they afford it.

This is all that the greatest part of men are capable of doing in regulating their opinions and judgment; unless a man will exact of them, either to retain distinctly in their memories all the proofs concerning any probable truth, and that too in the same order and regular deduction of consequences in which they have formerly placed or seen them; which sometimes is enough to fill a large volume on one single question: or else they must require a man, for every opinion that he embraces, every day to examine the proofs: both which are impossible. It is unavoidable, therefore, that the memory be relied on in the case, and

that men be perfuaded of feveral opinions, whereof the proofs are not actually in their thoughts a
nay, which perhaps they are not able actually
to recall. Without this the greatest parc of coan
must be either very sceptics, or change every
moment, and yield themselves up to whoever, having lately studied the question, offers them arguments, which, for want of memory, they are
not able presently to answer.

I cannot but own, that mens sticking to their past judgment, and adhering firmly to conclusions formerly made, is often the cause of great obstinacy in error and mistake. But the fault is not that they rely on their memories for what they have before well judged; but because they judged before they had well examined. May we not find a great number (not to fay the greatest part) of men that think they have formed right judgment of feveral matters; and that for no other reason, but because they never thought otherwise? who imagine themselves to have judged right, only because they never questioned, never examined their own opinion? which is indeed to think they judged right, because they never judged at all: and yet these of all men hold their opinion with the greatest stiffness; those being generally the most fierce and firm in their tenets who have least examined them. What we once know, we are certain is fo: and we may be fecure, that there are no latent proofs undiscovered, which may overturn our knowledge or bring it in doubt. But in matters of probability, it is not in every case we can be sure that we have all the particulars before us that any way concern the question; and that there is no evidence behind, and yet unseen, which may cast the probability on the other side, and outweigh all that at present seem to preponderate with us.

Locke.

### ASSOCIATION OF IDEAS.

THE affociation of ideas is the cement which unites the fabric of the human intellect; without which, pleafure and pain would be fimple and The vulgar, that is, all ineffectual fenfations. men who have no general ideas or univerfal principles, act in confequence of the most immediate and familiar affociations: but the more remote and complex only prefent themselves to the minds of those who are passionately attached to a single object; or to those of greater understanding, who have acquired an habit of rapidly comparing together a number of objects, and of forming a conclusion; and the refult, that is, the action in confequence, by thefe means becomes lefs dangerous and uncertain. BECCARIA.

## A GENERAL VIEW OF HARTLEY'S DOCTRINE OF ASSOCIATION OF IDEAS.

THE mechanical affociation of ideas that has been frequently presented to the mind at the same time, was first noticed by Mr Locke; but he had recourse to it only to explain those sympathies and antipathies which he calls unnatural, in opposition to those which, he says, are born with us; and he refers them to trains of motion in the animal spirits.

It appeared probable to Hartley, who took the hint first from Locke, that not only all our intellectual pleasures and pains, but all the phenomena of memory, imagination, volition, reasoning, and every other mental affection and operation, are only different modes and cases of the affociation of ideas: fo that nothing is requifite to make any man whatever he is, but a fentient principle, with this fingle property (which admits of great variety), and the influence of fuch circumstances as he has actually been exposed to. - In order to fee the possibility of Hartley's theory of the mind, we must observe, that all the phenomena of the mind must be reduced to the faculties of memory, judgment, the paffions and the will, to which may be added the power of mufcular metion. ---Supposing the human mind to have acquired a flock of ideas by means of the external fenses, and that these ideas have been variously associated together, fo that when one of them is prefent, it will introduce fuch others as it has the nearest connection with and relation to; nothing more feems necessary to explain the phenomena of memory. For we have no power of calling up any idea at pleafure, but only recollect fuch as have a connection, by means of former affociations, with those that are at any time present to the mind. Thus the fight, or the idea, of any particular person, generally suggests the idea of his name, because they have been frequently affociated together. If that fail to introduce the name, we are at a loss, and cannot recollect it at all, till fome other affociated circumstance help us. In naming a number of words or lines in a poem, the end of each preceding word being connected with the beginning of the fucceeding one, we can eafily repeat them in that order; but we are not able to repeat them backwards, till they have been frequently named in that contrary order. By this means, however, we acquire a facility of doing it, as may be found by the names of numbers from one to twenty. In the wildest flights of fancy, it is probable that no fingle idea occurs to us but fuch as had a connection with fome other impression or idea previously existing in the mind; and what we call new thoughts, are only only new combinations of old simple ideas, or decompositions of complex ones.

Judgment is nothing more than the perception of the universal concurrence or the perfect coincidence of two ideas, or the want of that concurrence and coincidence; as, that milk is white; that twice two is four; or transferring the idea of truth by affociation from one proposition to another that resembles it.

When we fay that Alexander conquered Darius, we mean, that the person whom we distinguish by the name of Alexander, is the fame with him who conquered Darius; and when we fay that God is good, we mean, that the person whom we distinguish by the name of God, appears by his works and conduct to be possessed of the same disposition that we call good or benevolent in men. And having attained to the knowledge of general truths, the idea or feeling which accompanies the perception of truth, is transferred by affociation to all the particulars which are comprifed under it, and to other propositions that are analogous to it; having found by experience, that when we have formed fuch conclusions, we have not been deceived.

When we fay that any idea or circumstance excites a particular passion, it is explained by observing, that certain feelings and emotions have been formerly connected with that particular idea

or circumstance, which it has the power of recalling by affociation. Thus, with respect to the passion of fear, it is evident to observation, that a child is unacquainted with any fuch thing till it has received fome hurt; upon which the painful idea, left in the mind by the remembrance of the hurt, becomes affociated with the idea of the circumstances in which he received the hurt: and by degrees with that circumstance only which is effential to it, and which he therefore confiders as the proper cause of his hurt. If a variety of painful emotions and difagreeable feelings have been affociated with the idea of the fame circumstance, they will all be excited by it in one general complex emotion, the component parts of which will not be eafily diftinguishable; and by their mutual affociations they will at length entirely coalefce, fo as never to be feparately perceived. — A child has no fear of fire till he has been burnt by it, or of a dog till he has been bit by one, or without having had reason to think that a dog would bite him, and having fome notion from things of a fimilar nature what the bite of a dog is. In like manner, the passion of love is generated by the affociation of agreeable circumstances with the idea of the object which excites it. And all our other passions are only modifications of these general ones of fear or love, varying with the fituation of the object of fear or love with

with respect to us, as whether it be near or distant, expected or unexpected, &c.

According to this hypothesis, all our passions are at first interested, respecting our own pleafures or pains; and this sufficiently agrees with our observation: and they become disinterested when these complex emotions are transferred by association to other persons or things. Thus the child loves his nurse or parent, by connecting with the idea of them the various pleasures which he has received from them, or in their company: but having received the most happiness from them, or with them, when they themselves were cheerful and happy, he begins to desire their happiness; and in time it becomes as much an object with him as his own proper happiness.

The natural progress of a passion may be most distinctly seen in that of the love of money; which is acquired so late in life, that every step in the progress may be easily traced. No person is born with the love of money as such. A child is indeed pleased with a piece of coin, as he is with other things, the form or the splendor of which strikes his eye; but this is very different from that emotion which a man who has been accustomed to the use of money, and has known the want of it, seels upon being presented with a guinea or a shilling. This emotion is a very complex one; the component parts of which are distinguishable,

but

but which have all been separately connected with the idea of money and the uses of it. For after a child has received the first species of pleasure from a piece of money, as a mere play-thing, he receives additional pleasure from the possession of it, by connecting with the idea of it the idea of the various pleasures and advantages which it is able to procure him. And in time, that complex idea of pleasure, which was originally formed of the various pleasures which it was the means of procuring, is so intimately connected with the idea of money, that it becomes an object of a proper passion; so that men are capable of pursuing it, without ever reslecting on any use that it may possibly be of to them.

A volition is a modification of the passion of desire, exclusive of any tumultuous emotion which the idea of a favourite object not possessed may excite; and it is generally followed by those actions with which that state of mind has been associated, in consequence of those actions having been found by experience to be instrumental in bringing the savourite object into our possession.

At first a child stretches out his hand, and performs the motion of grasping, without any particular intention, whenever the palm of his hand is irritated, or by any general stimulus which puts the whole muscular system into motion. But play-things being put into his hand, and it closing

closing upon them, he learns by degrees to stretch forth his hand, as well as to grasp any thing. At length the action becomes familiar, and is intimately associated with a sight of a favourite object; so that the moment it is perceived, the actions of reaching and grasping immediately and mechanically succeed. Any person who has been accustomed to observe the actions of children, must have frequently seen all the steps of this process; and in a similar manner, it may be conceived that we learn to procure the gratification of all our desires.

There is nothing that has more the appearance of instinct than the motions of particular muscles in certain circumstances; and yet there is hardly one of them that Hartley has not in a manner demonstrated to have been originally automatic; the muscles being first forced to contract involuntarily, and becoming afterwards affociated with the idea of the circumstance, so that the one immediately and mechanically follows the other. What can be more instantaneous, and have more the appearance of inftinct, than the endeavour of all animals to recover the equilibrium of their bodies when they are in danger of falling; yet children have it not, but acquire it gradually and flowly. The fame is the case of the action of fucking, and the motion of the cye-lids when any thing approaches the eye. This affociation, however, grows fo firm in a course of years, that it is hardly possible to counteract it by the most determined resolution when we are grown up; though you may bring any thing ever so near, and ever so suddenly, to the eye of a young child, when it is most perfectly awake, without exciting any motion in the eye-lids.

In fine, we must admire the simplicity of nature, and the provision for the growth of all the passions and propensities just as they are wanted, and in the degree in which they are wanted through life: All is performed by the general disposition of the mind to conform to its circumstances, and to be modified by them without particular instincts.

PRIESTLEY.

#### ASSURANCE.

Where any particular thing, confonant to the conftant observation of ourselves and others in the like case, comes attested by the concurrent reports of all that mention it, we receive it as easily, and build as firmly upon it, as if it were certain knowledge; and we reason and act thereupon with as little doubt as if it were perfect demonstration. Thus if all Englishmen, who have occasion to mention it, should assume that it froze in England the last winter, or that there were swallows seen there in the summer; I think a

man could almost as little doubt of it as that feven and four are eleven. The first, therefore, and highest degree of probability, is, when the general confent of all men, in all ages, as far as it can be known, concurs with a man's constant and neverfailing experience in like cases, to confirm the truth of any particular matter of fact attested by fair witnesses: such are all the stated constitutions and properties of bodies, and the regular proceedings of causes and effects in the ordinary course of nature. This we call an argument from the nature of things themselves: For what our own and other mens conftant observation has found always to be after the fame manner, that we with reason conclude to be the effects of fleady and regular causes, though they come not within the reach of our knowledge. Thus that fire warmed a man, made lead fluid, and changed the colour or confiftency in wood or charcoal; that iron funk in water, and fwam in quickfilver: these and the like propositions about particular facts, being agreeable to our constant experience, as often as we have to do with these matters; and being generally spoken of (when mentioned by others) as things found constantly to be so, and therefore not fo much as controverted by any body; we are put past doubt, that a relation affirming any fuch thing to have been, or any predication that it will happen again in the fame Vol. I. + man

manner, is very true. These probabilities rise so near to certainty, that they govern our thoughts as absolutely, and instruce all our actions as fully, as the most evident demonstration; and in what concerns us, we make little or no difference between them and certain knowledge. Our belief, thus grounded, rises to assure Locke.

#### ATHEISM

WHY is a fociety of Atheists thought imposfible? Because it is thought that men under no restraint could never live together; that laws avail nothing against secret crimes; and that there must be an avenging God, punishing in this world or the other those delinquents who have escaped human justice. Though Moses's law did not reach a life to come, did not thereaten any punishment after death, and did not leave the primitive Jews the least infight into the immortality of the foul; still the Jews, so far from being Atheists, so far from denying a divine vengeance against wickedness, were the most religious men on the face of the earth. They not only believed the existence of an eternal God, but they believed him to be ever prefent among them: they dreaded being punished in themselves, in their wives, in their children, in their posterity

to the fourth generation: and this was a very powerful restraint.

But among the Gentiles, feveral fects had no curb: the Sceptics doubted of every thing; the Epicureans held that the Deity could not concern himself about human affairs, and in reality they did not allow of any Deity; they were perfuaded that the foul is not a fubstance, but a faculty born and periffing with the body; confequently their only check was morality and honour. The Roman fenators and knights were downright Atheists; as neither to fcar or expect any thing from the gods, amounts to a denial of their exiftence: fo that the Roman fenate, in Cæfar's and Cicero's time, was in fact an affembly of Atheifts.

VOLTAIRE.

### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

PLUTARCH thinks unworthy opinions of the Deity more criminal than Atheism. But, with submission to Plutarch, nothing can be more evident, than that it was infinitely better for the Greeks to stand in awe of Ceres, Neptune, and Jupiter, than to be under no manner of awe. The facredness of oaths is manifest and necessary; and they who hold that perjury will be punished, are certainly more to be trusted than those who think that a false oath will be attended with no ill conse-E 2

quence. -- It is beyond all question, that in a policed city, even a bad religion is better than none. But fanaticism is certainly a thousand times more dangerous than Atheism: there is in Atheism no temptation to those fanguinary procedures, for which fanaticism is notorious; if Atheism do not suppress crimes, fanaticism incites to the commission of them. The fanatics committed the maffacre of St. Bartholomew.---Hobbes was accounted an Atheift; yet he led a quiet harmless life, whilst the fanatics were deluging England, Scotland, and Ireland with blood. ----Spinofa was not only an Atheift, but taught Atheism: yet who can fay he had any hand in the juridical murder of Barneveldt? It was not he who tore the two De Witts to pieces, and broiled and eat their flesh. Atheists for the most part are men of study, but bold and erroneous in their reasonings; and, not comprehending the creation, the original of evil, and other difficulties, have recourse to the hypothesis of the eternity of things and of necessity. The fenfualist and ambitious have little time for speculation, or to embrace a bad fystem; to compare Lucretius with Socrates is quite out of their way. -- It was otherwise with the fenate of Rome, which almost totally confifted of Atheists both in theory and practice, believing neither in a Providence nor a future state. - It was a meeting of philosophers, of votaries of of pleafure and ambition; all very dangerous fets of men, and who accordingly overturned the republic. \_\_I would not willingly lie at the mercy of an atheistical prince, who might think it his interest to have me pounded in a mortar: I am very certain that would be my fate. And were I a fovereign, I would not have about me any atheistical courtiers, whose interest it might be to poison me. So necessary is it both for princes and people that their minds be thoroughly imbibed with an idea of a supreme Being, the Creator, Avenger, and Rewarder. What are the inferences from all this? That Atheism is a most pernicious monster in sovereign princes, and likewife in statesmen, however harmless their life be, because from their cabinet they can make their way to the former. That if it be not fo mischievous as fanaticism, it is almost ever destructive of virtue. - I congratulate the prefent age on there being fewer Atheists now than ever; philosophers having discovered, that there is no vegetable without a germ, no germ without defign, and that corn is not produced by putrefaction. -- Some unphilosophical geometricians have rejected final causes: but they are admitted by all real philosofophers; and, to use the expression of a known author, a catechism makes God known to children, and Newton demonstrates him to the learned.

VOLTAIRE.

### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

THERE is no man of understanding who does not acknowledge an active power in nature. There is, therefore, no Atheist .-- He is not an Atheist who says, that motion is God; because, in fact, motion is incomprehenfible, as we have no clear idea of it, as it does not manifest itself but by its effects; and, lastly, because by it all things are performed in the universe.---He is not an Atheist who fays, on the contrary, that motion is not God; because motion is not a being, but a mode of being. - They are no Atheists who maintain that motion is effential to matter, and regard it as the invisible and motive force that fpreads itfelf through all its parts. Do we not fee the ftars continually changing their places, and rolling perpetually round their centre? do we not fee all bodies destroyed and reproduced incessantly, under different forms? in short, do we not fee nature in an eternal fermentation and diffolution? -Who then can deny that motion is, like extenfion, inherent in bodies, and that motion is not the cause of what is ?- In fact, says Mr Hume, if we always give the names of cause and effect to the concomitance of two facts, and conclude, that wherever there are bodies there is motion; we ought then to regard motion as the universal soul of matter, and the divinity that alone penetrates its fuhsubstance. But are the philosophers of this last opinion Atheists? No: they equally acknowledge an unknown force in the universe. Are even those who have no ideas of God Atheists? No; because then all men would be so; because no one has a clear idea of the Divinity; because in this case every obscure idea is equal to none; and, lastly, to acknowledge the incomprehensibility of God, is to say by a different turn of expression, that we have no idea of him. Helvetius.

### ATHEISM AND SUPERSTITION.

IF superstition, in every degree of it, be founded in error, and if it counteract the effects of knowledge and goodness, it is a positive and active evil: Whereas, Atheism being the effect merely of ignorance, is rather a misfortune; and its effects are the harmless ones which usually follow upon mere ignorance. The wife and able moralist Plutarch said, it was much better men should even disbelieve and deny the existence of a God, than believe him to be ill-disposed and of an immoral character. All quibbles which have been brought to obviate the consequences of this proposition; the appeals to prudence, expedience, and interest, may do very well in modern politics, and in the schemes of legislators and priests, whose only aim is to keep the people like cattle in those tracks

tracks where they may be most serviceable to them; but will be despised by every one who apprehends, and judges, and feels like a man. To fee the difference between ignorance and error in all poffible cases, take a child totally unacquainted with truth, and take a good old lady who is, as she fuppofes, just going to heaven loaded with points of faith and principles of religion; and you will have proofs as many as you can wifh, as clear and convincing as any mathematical conclusions, of the great and important difference between ignorance and error. Take a favage uncorrupted by European commerce; take a fimple favage who, in the compass and variety of his knowledge, is little above a brute; take a religious favage, millions of which we may have in Europe; and in attempting to instruct both, we shall have more convincing proofs of the very important difference between ignorance and error. The former we may easily benefit; the latter we feldom or never can. WILLIAMS.

# THE DISPUTES BETWEEN ATHEISTS AND THEISTS VERBAL.

I ASK the Theift, If he does not allow that there is a great and immeasurable, because an incomprehensible, difference between the human and Divine mind? The more pious he is, the more readily

dily will he affent to the affirmative, and the more he will be disposed to magnify the difference: He will even affent that the difference is of a nature which cannot be too much magnified.-I next turn to the Atheist, who, I affert, is only nominally fo, and can never possibly be in carnest; and I ask him, Whether, from the coherence and apparent sympathy in all the parts of the world, there be not a certain degree of analogy among all the operations of nature, in every fituation, and in every age? Whether the rotting of a turnip, the generation of an animal, and the structure of human thought, be not energies that probably bear fome remote analogy to each other?-It is impossible he can deny it; he will readily acknowledge it .- Having obtained this conceffion, I push him still farther in his retreat; and I ask him, if it be not probable that the principle which first arranged, and still maintains order in the universe, bears not also some remote inconceivable analogy to the other operations of nature, and, among the rest, to the occonomy of mind and thought? --- However reluctant, he must give his assent.-Where then, cry I, to both these antagonists, is the subject of your dispute? The Theist allows that the original principle of order bears some remote analogy to it.—Will you quarrel about the degrees, and enter into a conproverly which admits not of any precife meaning, ing, nor consequently of any determination? If you should be so obstinate, I should not be surprised to find you change sides: Whilst the Theist, on the one hand, exaggerates the dissimilarity between the Supreme Being and frail, imperfect, variable, sleeting, and mortal creatures; and the Atheist, on the other, magnifies the analogy among all the operations of nature, in every period, every situation, and every position.—Consider then, where the great point of controversy lies; and if you cannot lay aside your disputes, endeavour at least to cure yourselves of your animosity.

HUME.

### AVARICE.

WHEN a mifer contents himself with giving nothing, and saving what he has got, and is in other respects guilty of no injustice, he is perhaps of all bad men the least injurious to society; the evil he does is properly nothing more than the omission of the good he might do. If, of all the vices, avarice be the most generally detested, it is the effect of an avidity common to almost all men; it is because men hate those from whom they can expect nothing. The greedy misers rail at fordid misers.

Helvetius.

THE

#### On the same Subject.

THE avaricious either defire riches, as the means of procuring pleafure, or as an exemption from the miseries with which poverty is attended. Man, by nature, is fensible of no other pleasures than those of the senses; these pleasures are consequently the only object of his defires. A fondness for luxury is then an artificial passion, necesfarily produced by the natural wants either of love or of the pleafures of the table. Indeed, what real pleafure can luxury and magnificence procure the avaricious voluptuary, if he does not confider them as the means of obtaining the favours of love, if they are the objects of his fondness; or of imposing on men, and forcing them, by the uncertain hope of a reward, to remove from him every pain, and to affemble around him every pleasure? With these avaricious voluptuaries, who certainly do not properly deferve to be called covetous, avarice is the immediate effect of the fear of pain and the love of pleasure. But it may be asked, How can this love of pleasure, this fear of pain, be excited in the really avaricious, those wretched mifers who never part with their money to purchase pleasure? If they pass their lives in the want of common necessaries, and exaggerate to themselves and others the pleasures annexed to the possession of gold, it is merely to divert their

attention from a misfortune which nobody can or ought to pity. There is a furprifing contradiction between their conduct and the motives from which they act. They have an inceffant defire of pleafure, and always deprive themselves of its enjoyment. This kind of avarice derives its fource from an excessive and ridiculous fear of the possibility of indigence, and of the many evils with which it is accompanied. The avaricious are like those afflicted with an hypechondriac melancholy, who live in perpetual agonies, fee themselves furrounded with dangers, and are afraid of being crushed by every one that approaches them. This species of the avaricious we commonly find among those who were born in a state of indigence, and have themselves experienced the long train of evils with which it is attended. Their folly is therefore in this respect more pardonable than in men born in a state of affluence, among whom there are feldom found any of the avaricious, except the proud or voluptuous. Avarice increases in old age, as the habit of accumulating wealth is no longer counterbalanced by the defire of enjoying it, which will be strengthened by the mechanical fear of want, wherewith old age is frequently accompanied.

. 2

HELVETIUS.

### В.

### NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY.

IT will scarcely be afferted, that no bounds ought ever to be set to national debts; and that the public would be no weaker, were twelve or fifteen shillings in the pound, land-tax, mortgaged with the prefent customs and excises. There is, however, a strange supineness from long custom creeped into all ranks of men with regard to public debts, not unlike what divines to vehemently complain of with regard to their religious doctrines. We all own, that the most fanguine imagination cannot hope either that this or any future ministry will be possessed of such rigid and steady frugality, as to make a confiderable progress in the payment of our debts; or that the fituation of foreign affairs will, for any long time, allow them leifure and tranquillity for fuch an undertaking. What then is to become of us? Were we ever fo good Christians, and ever fo refigned to Providence, this, methinks, were a curious question, even considered as a speculative one, and what it might not be altogether impossible to form forme conjectural folution of.

The events here will depend little upon the contingencies of battles, negociations, intrigues, Vol. I. F + and

and factions. There feems to be a natural progress in things which may guide our reasoning. As it would have required but a moderate share of prudence when we first began this practice of mortgaging, to have foretold, from the nature of men and of ministers, that things would necessarily be carried to the length we see; so now, that they have happily reached it, it may not be difficult to guess at the consequences. It must indeed be one of these two events; either the nation must destroy public credit, or public credit will destroy the nation. It is impossible they can both subsist after the manner they have been hitherto managed in this as well as in some other countries.

It has been computed, that all the creditors of the public, natives and foreigners, amount only to 17000. These make a figure at present on their income; but in case of a public bankruptcy, would in an instant become the lowest, as well as the most wretched, of the people. The dignity and authority of the landed gentry and nobility is much better rooted, and would render the contention very unequal if ever we come to that extremity. One would incline to assign to this event a very near period, such as half a century, had not our fathers prophecies of this kind been found fallacious by the duration of our public credit so much beyond all reasonable expectation.

tion. When the aftrologers in France were every year foretelling the death of Henry IV. "These fellows," says he, "must be right at "last." But, however, it is not altogether improbable, that, when the nation become heartly sick of their debts, and are cruelly oppressed by them, some daring projector may arise with visionary schemes for their discharge. And as public credit will begin by that time to be a little frail, the least touch will destroy it, as happened in France during the regency; and in this manner it will die of the dostor.

But it is more probable that the breach of national faith will be the necessary essect of wars, defeats, misfortunes, and public calamities; or even, perhaps, of victories and conquests. Let the time come, and furely it will come, when the new funds, created for the exigences of the year, are not fubscribed to, and raise not the money projected. Suppose, either that the cash of the nation is exhausted, or that our faith, which has been hitherto fo ample, begins to fail us. Suppose that in this distress the nation is threatened with an invasion; a rebellion is suspected or broken out at home; a fquadron cannot be equipped for want of pay, victuals, or repairs; or even a foreign fubfidy cannot be advanced. What must a prince or minister do in such an emergence? The right of felf-prefervation is unalien-F 2

able in every individual, much more in every community. And the folly of our statesmen must be greater than the folly of those who contracted the debt, or, what is more, than the folly of those who trusted or continue to trust this security, if these statesmen have the means of safety in their hands, and do not employ them. The funds created and mortgaged will, by that time, bring in a large yearly revenue, fufficient for the defence and fecurity of the nation. Money is, perhaps, lying in the exchequer, ready for the discharge of the quarterly interest: Necessity calls. fear urges, reason exhorts, compassion alone exclaims. The money will immediately be feized for the current fervice, under the most folemn protestations, perhaps, of being immediately replaced. But no more is requifite. The whole fabric, already tottering, falls to the ground, and buries thousands in its ruins. And this is called the natural death of public credit: for to this period it tends as naturally as an animal body to its diffolution and destruction.-The public is a debtor whom no man can oblige to pay. The only check which the creditors have on her, is the interest of preserving credit; an interest which may eafily be overbalanced by a great debt, and by a difficult and extraordinary emergence, even supposing that credit irrecoverable. Not to mention that a prefent necessity often forces states into

65

into measures which are, strictly speaking, against their interest.

Those two events supposed above are calamitous, but not the most calamitous. Thousands are hereby facrificed to the fafety of millions. we are not without danger, that the contrary event may take place, and that millions may be facrificed for ever to the temporary fafety of thousands. Our popular government, perhaps, will render it difficult and dangerous for a minister to venture on fo desperate an expedient as that of a voluntary bankruptcy. And though the House of Lords be altogether composed of the proprietors of lands, and the House of Commons chiefly, and confequently neither of them can be supposed to have great property in the funds; yet the connections of the members may be fo great with the proprietors, as to render then more tenacious of public faith than prudence, policy, or even justice, strictly speaking, requires. And perhaps our foreign enemies may be fo politic as to discover that our fascty lies in defpair, and may not, therefore, show the danger, open and barefaced, till it be inevitable. The balance of power in Europe, our grandfathers, our fathers, and we, have all esteemed too unequal to be preferved without our attention and affistance. But our children, weary with the struggle, and fettered with incumbrances, may fit down: down fecure, and fee their neighbours oppressed and conquered; till at last they themselves and their creditors lie both at the mercy of the conqueror. And this may be demonstrated the violent death of our public credit.—These seem to be events which are not very remote, and which reason foresees as clearly almost as she can do any thing that lies in the womb of time. Hume.

#### BEASTS.

IS it possible any one should say, or affirm in writing, that beafts are machines void of knowledge and fenfe, have a fameness in all their operations, neither learning nor perfecting any thing, &c.? How! this bird who makes a femicircular nest when he fixes it against a wall; who, when in an angle, shapes it like a quadrant, and circular when he builds it in a tree; is this having a fameness in its operations? Does this hound, after three months teaching, know no more than when you first took him in hand? Your Canarybird, does he repeat a tune at first hearing? or rather, is it not some time before you can bring him to it? Is he not often out, and does he not improve by practice?—Is it from my speaking that you allow me fense, memory, and ideas? Well; I am silent; but you see me come home very melancholy, and with eager anxiety look for a paper, open the bureau where I remember to have put it, take it up and read it with apparent joy. You hence infer, that I have felt pain and pleasure, and that I have memory and knowledge.

Make then the like inference concerning this dog, who, having lost his master, runs about every where with melancholy yellings, comes home all in ferment, runs up and down, roves from room to room, till at length he finds his beloved mafter in his closet, and then expresses his joy in softer cries, gesticulations and fawnings.-This dog, so very superior to man in affection, is seized by fome barbarian virtuofos, who nail him down on a table, and diffect him while living, the better to show you the meseraic veins. All the same organs of fenfation which are in yourfelf you perceive in him. Now, machinist, what fay you? Has he nerves to be impassible? For shame! charge not nature with fuch weakness and inconsistency.-But the scholastic doctors ask what the soul of beafts is? This is a question I do not understand: A tree has the faculty of receiving fap into its fibres, of circulating it, of unfolding the buds of its leaves and fruits. Do you now ask me what the foul of a tree is? It has received these properties, as the animal above has received those of fensation, memory, and a certain number of ideas. Who formed all those properties, who has imparted all these faculties? He who causes the grafs grass of the field to grow, and the earth to gravitate towards the fun. The fouls of beafts are fubstantial forms, fays Aristotle, the Arabian school, the Angelic school, and the Sorbonne.-The fouls of beafts are material, cry other philosophers; but as little to the purpose as the former. When called upon to define a material foul, they only perplex the cause: they must necessarily allow it to be sensitive matter. But from whence does it derive this fenfation? From a material foul; which must mean, that it is matter giving fensation to matter: beyond this circle they have nothing to fay. According to others equally wife, the foul of beafts is a spiritual essence dying with the body: but where are your proofs? What idea have you of this spiritual being, which with its fenfation, memory, and its share of ideas and combinations, will never be able to know fo much as a child of fix years? What grounds have you to think that this incorporeal being dies with the body? But still more stupid are they who affirm this foul to be neither body nor spirit. By spirit we can mean only fome thing unknown, which is not body; the foul of beafts, therefore, according to this fystem, is neither body, nor something which is not body.-Whence can fo many contradictory errors arise? From a custom, which has always prevailed among men, of investigating the nature of a thing before they knew whether any fuch fuch thing existed. The sucker or clapper of a bellows is likewise called the soul of the bellows. Well, what is the soul? It is only a name I have given to that sucker or clapper, which salls down, lets in air, and, rising again, propels it through a pipe on my working the bellows.—Here is no soul distinct from the machine itself; but who puts the bellows of animals in motion? I have already told you; he who puts the heavenly bodies in motion. The philosopher who said, est Deus anima brutorum should have added, Quod Deus est anima mundi.

Voltaire.

#### BEAUTY AND BEAUTIFUL.

Ask a negro of Guinea, What is beauty, the fupremely beautiful, the 70 xaxoo? he will answer you, A greasy black skin, hollow eyes, and a flat nose.

Confult the philosophers, they will tell you some unintelligible jargon for answer; they must have something correspondent to beauty in the abstract.

I once fat next to a philosopher at a tragedy. That is beautiful, said he! How beautiful? said I. Because the author has attained his end. The next day he took a dose of physic, which had a very good effect. That is a beautiful physic, said I, it has attained its end. He perceived that a

medicine is not to be called beautiful, and that the word beauty is applicable only to those things which give a pleasure accompanied with admiration: that tragedy, he said, had excited these two sensations in him, and that was the ro-xarov.—We went to England together, and happened to be at the same play, perfectly well translated; but the spectators one and all yawned. He then concluded that beauty is very relative; that what is decent at Japan is indecent at Rome; and what is fashionable at Paris is otherwise at Pekin.

VOLTAIRE.

# A COGITATIVE BEING HAS EXISTED FROM ETERNITY.

THERE is no truth more evident, than that fomething must be from eternity. I never yet heard of any one so unreasonable, or that could suppose so manifest a contradiction, as a time wherein there was perfectly nothing: this being of all absurdities the greatest, to imagine that pure nothing, the perfect negation and absence of all beings, should ever produce any real existence.

If then there must be something eternal, let us see what fort of being it must be. And to that, it is very obvious to reason, that it must necessarily be a cogitative being. For it is as impossible to conceive, that ever bare incogitative matter should

should produce a thinking intelligent being, as that nothing should of itself produce matter. Let us suppose any parcel of matter eternal, great or small, we shall find it, in itself, able to produce nothing. For example, let us fupto produce nothing. For example, let us suppose the matter of the next pebble we meet with to be eternal, closely united, and the parts firmly at rest together; if there were no other being in the world, must it not eternally remain so, a dead inactive sump? Is it possible to conceive it can add motion to itself, being purely matter, or produce any thing? Matter, then, by its own strength, cannot produce in itself so much as motion; the motion it has must also be from motion: the motion it has must also be from eternity or elfe be produced and added to matter by some other being more powerful than matter; matter, as is evident, having not power to produce motion in itself. But let us suppose motion eternal too; yet matter, incogitative matter and motion, whatever changes it might produce of figure and bulk, could never produce thought: knowledge will still be as far beyond the power of motion and matter to produce, as matter is be-yond the power of nothing or nonentity to pro-duce. And I appeal to every one's own thoughts, whether he cannot as easily perceive matter produced by nothing, as thought to be produced by pure matter, when before there was no fuch thing as thought, or an intelligent being existing? Divide

Divide matter into as minute parts as you will (which we are apt to imagine a fort of fpiritualizing or making a thinking thing of it), vary the figure and motion of it as much as you please; a globe, cube, cone, prism, cylinder, &c. whose diameters are but 1000000th part of a gry, will operate no otherwise upon other bodies of proportionable bulk than those of an inch or foot diameter; and you may as rationally expect to produce fense, thought, and knowledge, by put-ting together, in a certain figure and motion, gross particles of matter, as by those that are the very minutest that do any where exist. They knock, impel, and refift one another just as the greater do, and that is all they can do. So that if we will suppose nothing first or eternal, matter can never begin to be: if we suppose bare matter without motion eternal, motion can never begin to be: if we suppose only matter and motion first or eternal, thought can never begin to be. For it is impossible to conceive matter, either with or without motion, could have originally in and from itself sense, perception, and knowledge; as is evident from hence, that then fense, perception, and knowledge, must be a property eternally inseparable from matter and every particle of it. Not to add, that though our general or fpecific conception of matter makes us speak of it as one thing, yet really all matter is not one

individual thing, neither is there any fuch thing existing as one material being, or one single body that we know or can conceive. And therefore, if matter were the cternal first cogitative being, there would not be one eternal infinite cogitative being, but an infinite number of eternal finite cogitative beings, independent one of another, of limited force and distinct thoughts, which could never produce that order, harmony and beauty, which are to be found in nature. therefore whatfoever is the first eternal being, must necessarily be cogitative; and whatsoever is first of all things, must necessarily contain in it, and actually have, at least, all the perfections that can ever after exist; nor can it ever give to another any perfection that it hath not, either actually in itself, or at least in a higher degree; it necessarily follows, that the first eternal being cannot be matter.-If therefore it be evident, that fomething necessarily must exist from eternity, it is also as evident, that that something must necesfarily be a cogitative being: for it is as impoffible that incogitative matter flould produce a cogitative being, as that nothing, or the negation of all beings, should produce a positive being or matter.

Locke.

Vol. I.

G

. 1 В Е-

#### BELIEF.

WE believe a thing, because we see it, we perceive it, or understand it. It is not possible for our belief to go further. The credit we give to the testimoney of another, is quite a different principle from the perfuasion of our own mind; and has been confounded with it only to ferve the purposes of artful men in imposing on the ignorant. The art of believing what is above our comprehension and reason, and not contrary to it, is a fophism, with the advantage of a jingle upon words, invented for the fame purpofes. There is just as much good sense and truth and possibility of believing what is above our understanding, as in feeing what is beyond our fight, hearing what is out of hearing, or feeling what is totally out of reach. We cannot in truth be faid to believe further than we understand .- Those who pretend to fee mysteries, and to believe them, talk idly; for no man ever did, or ever could, believe a mystery, any more than he could fee what was transacted in any invisible world. The complaifance and deference to authority, by which men are led to pretend to believe, is like the fervility of those who, though their eyes are imperfect and faulty, always fee as we do, or hear as we hear. This being the cafe, it is not eafy immediately to understand why men should ever have

have been blamed or punished because they could not believe. Believing is an act of the mind, upon confidering a fact or proposition; as feeing is an act in consequence of turning the eye on an object. Men are influenced in both thefe actions exactly alike; by the ftrength and goodnefs of their natural organs; by their fituation and point of view in which they confider things. Every object, every fact, and every principle, may appear, in some circumstances, different to different persons. Why then, if we punish a man for not difcerning truths as we difcern them, do we not punish him for not seeing as we see? There is no distinction between these cases, which is founded in truth and common fenfe; but there is, in the artifices of policy and the wiles of priestcraft. If men be taken early enough, they may be induced to give up the faculties of their minds; but they must use their bodily fenses.-It has been faid, that a right faith is the confequence of being well and properly disposed. It is very true, that a man may dispose himself; i. e. he may warp and bias his mind fo as to make any doctrine or principle. fuit it: But all kinds of predifpolition and prearrangement are injuries to the judgment; and it would be as difficult for the mind to determine fairly on a fact or the truth of a principle, when it was fo predisposed, as it would be for a judge

to determine fairly in a cause, on one side of which he was bribed.—Our faith is meritorious only as it is a proof that we use our intellectual faculties in the pursuit of truth; just as feeing is a proof that we use our eyes, or hearing that we use our ears. And the common infolence, rage, and cruelty of zealots, on account of faith, is owing to their extreme ignorance, or extreme wickedness; for they in fact must have the least real faith of all mankind. They have taken every thing for granted, without examination or judgment; and have confequently nothing which they truly believe. Their faith is the faith of devils: they believe and tremble under an almighty power which they dread: they believe every thing which is enjoined them, from a fear of damnation; and have no principle, but what may be common to them with all the evil ipirits in the universe.

WILLIAMS.

## ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

Belief, according to some philosophers, is independent of our interest. These philosophers are right or wrong according to the idea they attached to the word *Belief*. If they mean by it a clear idea of the matter believed; and that they can, like the geometricians, demonstrate the truth of it; it is certain that no error is believed, that none will will stand the examen, that we form no clear idea of it, and that in this fense there are few believers. But if we take the word in the common acceptation, and mean by a believer an adorer of the bull Apis; if the man who, without having a clear idea of what he believes, believes by imitation, who, fo to fay, believes he believes, and maintains the truth of his belief at the hazard of his life; in this fenfe there are many believers. The Catholic church boafts continually of its martyrs; but I know not wherefore. Every religion has its own. "He that pre-" tends to a revelation ought to die in the main-"tenance of what he afferts; that is the only proof "he can give of its truth." It is not fo with the philosopher: his propositions must be supported by facts and reasonings: whether he die or not in the maintenance of his doctrine, is of little importance : his death would prove only that he was obstinately attached to his opinion; not that it was true. - As for the rest, the belief of fanatics, always founded on imaginary, but powerful interest in heavenly rewards, constantly impofes on the vulgar; and it is to those fanatics that we must attribute the establishment of almost all general opinions.

HELVETIUS.

#### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

NOTHING is more free than the imagination of man; and though it cannot exceed that original stock of ideas furnished by the internal and external fenfes, it has unlimited power of mixing, compounding, separating, and dividing these ideas, to all the varieties of fiction and vision. It can feign a train of events with all the appearance of reality, afcribe to them a particular time and place, conceive them as existent, and paint them out to itself with every circumstance that belongs to any historical fact which it believes with the greatest certainty. Wherein, therefore, confists the difference between fuch a fiction and belief? It lies not merely in any peculiar idea, which is annexed to fuch a conception as commands our affent, and which is refused to every known fiction. For as the mind has authority over all its ideas, it could voluntarily annex this particular idea to any fiction, and confequently be able to believe whatever it pleases; contrary to what we find by daily experience. We can, in our conception, join the head of a man to the body of a horse; but it is not in our power to believe that fuch an animal has ever really existed .- It follows, therefore, that the difference between fiction and belief lies in fome fentiment or feeling which is annexed to the latter, not to the former, and which

which depends not on the will, nor can be commanded at pleafure. It must be excited by nature, like all other fentiments; and must arise from the particular fituation in which the mind is placed at any particular juncture. Whenever any object is prefented to the memory or fenfes, it immediately, by the force of custom, carries the imagination to conceive the object which is usually conjoined to it; and this conception is attended with a feeling or fentiment different from the loofe reveries of the fancy. In this confifts the whole nature of belief. For as there is no matter of fact which we believe fo firmly that we cannot conceive the contrary, there would be no difference between the conception affented to, and that which is rejected, were it not for fome fentiment which diftinguishes the one from the other. If I fee a billiard-ball moving towards another on a fmooth table, I can easily conceive it to stop upon contact. This conception implies no contradiction; but still it feels very differently from that conception by which I represent to myself the impulse and the communication of motion from one ball to another.-Were we to attempt a definition of this fentiment, we should, perhaps, find it a very difficult, if not an impossible talk; in the same manner as if we should endeayour to define the feeling of cold, or passion of anger, to a creature who never had an experience

of these sentiments. Belief is the true and proper name of this feeling; and no one is ever at a loss to know the meaning of that term; because every man is every moment conscious of the sentiment represented by it. It may not, however, be improper to attempt a description of this sentiment; in hopes we may, by that means, arrive at fome analogies which may afford a perfect explication of it. I fay then, that belief is nothing but a more vivid, lively, forcible, steady conception of an object, than what the imagination alone is everable to attain. This variety of terms, which may feem fo unphilosophical, is intended only to express that act of the mind which renders realities, or what is taken for fuch, more prefent to us than fictions, causes them to weigh more in the thought, and gives them a superior influence to the passions and imagination. Provided we agree about the thing, it is needless to dispute about the terms. The imagination has the command over all the ideas, and can join and mix and vary them in all the ways possible. It may conceive fictitious objects with all the circumstances of place and time; it may fet them in a manner before our eyes in their true colours, just as as they might have existed: but as it is impossible that this faculty of imagination can ever of itself reach Belief, which is a term that every one fusficiently understands in common life; and in phiphilosophy, we can go no farther than affert, that belief is fomething felt by the mind, which diftinguishes the ideas of judgment from the fictions of the imagination; it gives them more weight and influence; makes them appear of greater importance; enforces them in the mind; and renders them the governing principle of our actions. I hear at prefent, for instance, a perfon's voice with whom I am acquainted; and the found comes as from the next room. This impression of my senses immediately conveys my thought to the person, together with all the furrounding objects. I paint them out to myfelf as existing at present with the same qualities and relations of which I formerly knew them possessed. These ideas take faster hold of my mind than ideas of an inchanted eaftle. They are very different to the feeling, and have a much greater influence of every kind, either to give pleafure or pain, joy or forrow.-The fentiment, therefore, of belief is nothing but a conception more intenfe and fleady than what attends the mere fictions of the imagination; and this manner of conception arifes from a customary conjunction of the object with fomething prefent to the memory or fenfes.

Ниме.

THE

## THE BELIEF OR DISBELIEF

Of any Religion can neither be a virtue nor a Crime in any one using the best Means in his Power for Information.

IF we take a furvey of that variety of fects which are scattered over the face of the earth, and who mutually accuse each other of falsehood and error, and ask which is the right; every one of them in their turns will answer theirs; we know our sect is in the right, because God hath declared so. "All " of them," fays Charron, " pretend that they de-" rive their doctrine, not from men, nor from any " created being, but from God. But to fay truth, " without flattery or difguife, there is nothing " in fuch pretentions: however they may talk, "they owe their religion to human means; wit-" ness the manner in which they first adopt it. "The nation, country, and place where they are " born and bred, determine it. Are we not cir-"cumcifed or baptized, made Jews, Turks, or " Christians, before we are men?" Our religion is not the effect of choice, but of accident; and to impute it to us, is unjust: it is to reward or punish us for being born in this or that country. If the method taken by him who is in the right, and by him who is in the wrong, be the fame; what merit or demerit hath the one more than the other? Now, either all religions are good, and agreeable to God; or if there be one which he hath dictated to man, and will punish him for rejecting, he hath certainly diftinguished it by manifelt figns and tokens as the only true one. Thefe are common to all times and places, and are equally obvious to all mankind. If natural religion be infufficient, it is owing to the obscurity in which it necessarily leaves those sublime truths it professes to teach. It is the business of revelation to exhibit them to the mind in a more clear and fenfible manner, to adapt them to the understandings of men, in order that they may be capable of believing them. True faith is affured and confirmed by the understanding; and the best of all religions is unsoubtedly the clearest. If there be only one religion in the world which can prevent our fuffering eternal damnation, and enfure our title to future happiness; and there be on any part of the earth a fingle mortal who is fincere, and is not convinced of its evidence; the God of that religion must be a cruel tyrant. Would we feek the truth therefore in fincerity, we must lay no stress on the place and circumstances of our birth, nor on the authority of fathers or teachers; but appeal to the dictates of reason and conscience concerning every thing taught us in our youth. It is to no purpose to bid me subject my reason to the truth of things of which

which it is incapacitated to judge; the man who would impose on me a falsehood, may bid me do the same. It is necessary therefore I should employ my reason even to know when I ought to submit.

ROUSSEAU.

#### BENEFICENCE.

THE proper exercise of wisdom, and the right use of riches, are not yet subject to legal regulations; and all the pleafing duties of beneficence are in our hands. We shall deservedly forfeit this privilege if we abuse it; or if we make the distinction we are favoured with in fociety, the occasion of mischief and injury to it. If the labourer thinks himfelf obliged by his wants, by his connections with his wife and children, and by the fear of bodily punishment, not only to refrain from theft and injustice, but to work hard, and to exercise his prudence and understanding to make his family happy; -what must be the obligations of the rich and wife, if they can not only fave their friends and connections from wants and diffreffes. but extend their hands to numbers around them. and affift those who are not so happy? -- Is there any comparison between the necessity and obligation of thefe duties? Those who would fay the former is a duty, because the poor man cannot avoid it; and the latter is not a duty, because the 3

the wife and rich may avoid it, do not understand the meaning of moral obligation. The wretch who can avoid it, be his talents and rank what they may, does not deserve the name of man. Every man's absolute obligations and duties increase in proportion to his wisdom, power, and wealth; and all omissions in expressions of benevolence, are as criminal and injurious to the world as fraud, theft, or any other villany.

WILLIAMS.

#### BENEFICENCE AND GRATITUDE.

THERE is a kind of contract, and the strongest of all contracts, between the benefactor and the obliged. It is a fort of fociety they form between each other, stricter than that which in general unites men; and when the obliged tacitly engages himself to gratitude, the benefactor likewise is equally engaged to the other to preferve, fo long as he does not render himfelf unworthy, the fame attentions he has already experienced, and to renew his proofs of it every time it is required, or that he has it in his power. Those are not the express conditions, but they are the natural effects of the relations they have fettled between them. He who for the first time refuses a gratuitous service asked, gives no right of complaint to him he has refused; but he who in a like case equally

Vol. I. H + rerefuses the same favour he had granted before, crosses a hope he had authorised to be conceived, he deceives and baulks the expectation he created. We feel in the refusal something of I don't know how unjust and more cruel than in the other; but it is not less the effect of an independence the heart is fond of, and which it cannot renounce without effort. If I pay a debt, it is a duty I owe: if I bestow a gift, it is a pleasure I procure myself. Thus the pleasure of doing our duty is of those virtue gives birth to; those which proceed immediately from nature are not so elevated.

Rousseau.

#### RELIGIOUS BIGOTRY.

A VIOLENT contention about external forms and ceremonies of religion, is an indication of ignorance, fuperstition, and barbarity. It was carried to excess in some of the darker ages of the church; and has always been the characteristic of absurd and illiterate sectaries: But as men have become better acquainted with the Scriptures, and the spirit and genius of Christianity; as they have improved in liberal arts and sciences, in politeness, and a knowledge of the world; they have likewise become more candid and moderate in their religious controversies, and the persecution of reputed heretics. It is indeed painful to every humane

mane and benevolent spectator, to see men suriously abusing and perfecuting one another for some trisling difference in their dress, their forms of devotion, their canonical ceremonies, and their theological speculations, without the least regard for the most facred obligations of Christianity. Whenever therefore we see a man of this temper, that is, an angry bigot, we can entertain no favourable opinion of his head and heart.

# THE EFFECTS OF BLACKNESS.

BLACKNESS is but a partial darkness; and therefore it derives some of its powers from being mixed and furrounded with coloured bodies. In its own nature it cannot be confidered as a colour. Black bodies, reflecting none, or but a few rays, with regard to fight, are but fo many vacant spaces difperfed among the objects we view. When the eve lights on one of these vacuities, after having been kept in some degree of tension by the play of the adjacent colours upon it, it fuddenly falls into a relaxation, out of which it as fuddenly recovers by a convulfive fpring. To illustrate this; let us consider, that when we intend to sit in a chair, and find it much lower than we expected, the shock is very violent; much more violent than could be thought from fo flight a fall as the difference be-

H 2

tween

tween one chair and another can possibly make. If, after descending a slight of steps, we attempt inadvertently, to take another step in the manner of the former ones, the shock is extremely rude and difagreeable; and by no art can we caufe fuch a flock by the fame means, when we expect and prepare for it. This is owing to the change being made contrary to expectation, not folely when the mind expects, but likewise when any organ of fense is for some time affected in some one manner, if it be suddenly affected otherwife, there enfues a convultive motion; fuch, a convulsion as is caused when any thing happens against the expectance of the mind. And though it may appear strange that such a change as produces a relaxation, should immediately produce a fudden convulsion; it is yet most certainly so, and fo in all the fenses. Every one knows that sleep is a relaxation; and that filence, where nothing keeps the organs of hearing in action, is in general fittest to bring on this relaxation: yet when a fort of murmuring founds dispose a man to sleep, let these founds cease suddenly, and the person immediately awakes; that is, the parts are braced up fuddenly, and he awakes. In like manner, if a person in broad day-light were falling asleep, to introduce a fudden darkness would prevent his fleep for that time, though filence and darkness in themselves, and not suddenly introduced, are very fra

favourable to it. From experience we also learn, that on the first inclining towards sleep, we have been fuddenly awakened with a most violent start; and that this flart was generally preceded by a fort of dream of our falling down a precipice. Whence does this strange notion arise, but from the too fudden relaxation of the body, which by some mechanism in nature restores itself by as quick and vigourous an exertion of the contracting power of the muscles? The dream itself is caused by this relaxation; and it is of too uniform a nature to be attributed to any other cause: the parts relax too fuddenly, which is in the nature of falling, and this accident in the body induces this image in the mind. When we are in a confirmed state of health and vigour, as all changes are lefs fuddens then, and less on the extreme, we can feldom complain of this difagreeable fensation.

Though the effects of black be painful originally, we must not think they always continue so. Custom reconciles us to every thing. After we have been used to the fight of black objects, the terror abates, and the smoothness and glossness, or some agreeable accident of bodies so coloured, softens in some measure the horror and sternness of their original nature; yet the nature of the original impression still continues. Black will always have something melancholy in it, because the sensory will always find the change to it from the others.

other colours too violent; or if it occuppy the whole compass of the fight, it will then be darkness, and the cffcts of darkness applicable to it.

BURKE.

C.

C.

#### CALVINISTIC DIVINITY.

WHAT strange ideas, says he, would an Indian or a Chinese philosopher have of our holy religion, if they judged by the schemes given of it by our modern free-thinkers, and Pharifaical doctors of all fects? According to the odious and too vulgar fystems of these incredulous scoffers, and credulous scriblers, the God of the Jews is a most eruel, unjust, partial, and fantastical being. He created about 6000 years ago a man and a woman, and placed them in a fine garden in Asia, of which there are no remains. This garden was furnished with all forts of trees, fountains, and flowers. He allowed them the use of all the fruits of this beautiful garden execept of one, that was planted in the midst thereof, and that had in it a fecret virtue of preserving them in continual health, and vigour of body and mind, of exalting their natural powers, and making them wife. The devil entered into the body of a ferpent, and folicited the first woman to eat of this forbidden fruit;

fruit; she engaged her husband to do the same. To punish this slight curiosity and natural defire of life and knowledge, God not only threw our first parents out of Paradife, but he condemned all their posterity to temporal misery, and the greatoff part of them to eternal pains, though the fouls of these innocent children have no more relation to that of Adam than to those of Nero and Mahomet; fince, according to the scholastic drivellers, fabulists, and mythologists, all fouls are created pure, and infused immediately into mortal bodies as foon as the foctus is formed. To accomplish the barbarous partial decree of predestination and reprobation, God abandoned all nations to darkness, idolatry, and superstition, without any faving knowledge or falutary graces; unlefs it was one particular nation, whom he chofe as his peculiar people. This chosen nation was, however, the most stupid, ungrateful, rebellious, and perfidious of all nations. After God had thus kept the far greater part of all the human species, during near 4000 years, in a reprobate state, he changed all of a fudden, and took a fancy for other nations belide the Jews. Then he fent his only begotten Son to the world, under a human form, to appeale his wrath, fatisfy his vindictive justice, and die for the pardon of fiv. Very few nations, however, have heard of this gospel; and all the rest, though left in invincible ignorance, are danned damned without exception or any possibility of remission. The greatest part of those who have heard of it, have changed only fome speculative notions about God, and fome external forms in worship: for in all other respects the bulk of Christians have continued as corrupt as the rest of mankind in their morals; yea, fo much the more perverse and criminal as their lights were greater. Unlefs it be a very small select number, all other Christians, like the Pagans, will be for ever damned; the great facrifice offered up for them will become void and of no effect; God will take delight for ever in their torments and blafphemies; and though he can by one flat change their hearts, yet they will remain for ever unconverted and unconvertible, because he will be for ever unappeafed and irreconcilable. It is true, that all this makes God odious; a hater of fouls, rather than a lover of them; a cruel vindictive tyrant, an impotent or a wrathful demon, rather than an allpowerful, beneficent father of spirits: yet all this is a mystery. He has secret reasons for his conduct that are impenetrable; and though he appears unjust and barbarous, yet we must believe the contrary, because what is injustice, crime, cruelty, and the blackest malice in us, is in him justice, mercy, and sovereign goodness. Thus the incredulous free-thinkers, the Judaizing Christians, and the fatalistic doctors, have disfigured and and dishonoured the sublime mysteries of our holy saith; thus they have consounded the nature of good and evil, transformed the most monstrous passions into divine attributes, and surpassed the Pagans in blasphemy, by ascribing to the Eternal Nature, as perfections, what makes the most horrid crimes amongst men. The grosser Pagans contented themselves with divinizing lust, incest, and adultery; but the predestinarian doctors have divinized cruelty, wrath, sury, vengeance, and all the blackest vices.

Chevalier Ramsay's Philosophical Principles of Natural and Revealed Religion.

# CAUSE AND EFFECT

IN the notice that our fenses take of the constant vicissitude of things, we cannot but observe that several particulars, both qualities and substances, begin to exist; and that they receive this their existence from the due application and operation of some other being. From this observation we get our ideas of cause and effect. That which produces any simple or complex idea, we denote by the general name Cause; and that which is produced, Effect. Thus sinding, that, in that substance which we call wax, sluidity, (which

is a fimple idea, that was not in it before), is constantly produced by the application of a certain degree of heat, we call the simple idea of heat. in relation to fluidity in wax, the cause of it, and fluidity the effect. So always finding, that the fubstance, wood, which is a certain collection of simple ideas fo called, by the application of fire, is turned into another fubstance called ashes, i. e. another complex idea, confifting of a collection of simple ideas quite different from that complex idea which we call wood; we confider fire in relation to ashes as cause, and the ashes as effect. So that whatever is confidered by us to conduce or operate to the producing any particular simple idea, of collection of simple ideas, whether substance or mode, which did not before exist, hath thereby in our minds the relation of a cause, and is fo denominated by us.

LOCKE.

# THE EXISTENCE OF A FIRST CAUSE.

LIBERTY, as it is understood by many schoolmen, is in fact an absurd chimera. If they will pay the least attention to reason, and not be satisfied with mere words, it will be evident, that whatever exists, or is self-created, is necessary; for if it was not necessary, it would be useless.

The

The respectable sect of Stoics thought so; and, what is very singular, this truth may be found in a hundred places of Homer, who makes Jupiter submit to sate.

There exists a something, which must be eternal, as is demonstrated; otherwise we should have an effect without a cause. Thus all the ancients, without a single exception, believed matter to be eternal.

It is not the fame of immensity, nor of an almighty power. I cannot see the necessity of all space being silled; and I do not comprehend the reasoning of Clarke, who says, that whatever necessarily exists in one place, cught necessarily to exist in every place. Wherefore is it impossible that there should be more than a determined quantity of beings? I can much easier conceive a bounded nature, than an infinite nature.

Upon this article I can only have probabilitics, and I can only fubmit to the strongest. By the universal agreement in every thing which I know of nature, I perceive a design: this design shows that there must be a first cause; that cause is undoubtedly very powerful; but simple philosophy does not teach me to believe that this great artist is infinitely powerful. A house forty seet high proves to me that there must have been an architect; but reason alone cannot convince me that this architect could build a house ten thou-

fand leagues high. Perhaps his powers did not admit of his building one more than forty feet high. My reason alone does not tell me, that in the immensity of space there is but one architect; and if a man was to allege that there were a great many similar architects, I do not see how I could convince him of the contrary.

VOLTAIRE.

#### THE MYSTERIES OF CERES ELEUSINA.

IN the chaos of popular fuperstition, which would have made almost the whole globe one vast den of serocious animals, there was a salutary institution, which prevented one part of the human species from degenerating into an entire state of brutality: this consisted of mysteries and expiations. Philosophers endeavoured to bring men back to reason and morality. Those sages made use of superstition itself to correct its enormous abuses.

The mysteries of Zoroaster are no longer known: we know but little of those of Iss: but we cannot doubt that they foretold the grand system of a suture state; for Cessus says to Origines, book 8. "You boast of believing in eternal pusins missments; and did not all the mystical ministers preach them to their initiated?"

Vol. I. † I Ged's

God's unity was the principal dogma of all the mysteries. Apuleius has preserved for us the prayer of the priestesses of Isis: "The celestial powers serve thee; the infernal regions are sub- mitted to thee; the universe revolves in thine hand; thy feet trample upon Tartarus; the planets answer to thy voice; the seasons return to thy order; the elements obey thee."

The mystical ceremonies of Ceres were in imitation of those of Isis. Those who had committed crimes, confessed them and expiated them; they sasted, purified themselves, and gave alms. All the ceremonies were held facred by solemn oaths to make them more venerated. The mysteries were celebrated at night; certain species of tragedies were represented to describe the happiness of the just, and the punishments of the wicked.

Some very learned men have proved, that the fixth book of the Æneid is only a picture of what was practifed in those secret and famous representations. The mysteries of Eleusina became the most celebrated. One very remarkable thing is, that they read the beginning of the theogony of Sanchoniathon the Phænician. This is a proof that Sanchoniathon had preached one supreme God, creator and governor of the world. It was then that this doctrine was unveiled to the initiated, instructed in the belief of Polytheism. Those who participated of the mysteries affembled

bled in the temple of Ceres; and the Hierophanta taught them, that instead of adoring Ceres, leading Triptolemus upon a car drawn by dragons, they should adore that God who nourished men, and permitted Ceres and Triptolemus to render agriculture so honourable.

This is true, that the Hierophanta began by reciting the ancient verses of Orpheus. Walk in the path of justice: adore the sole master of the universe: he is one, he is singly by himself; to him all beings owe their existence; he acts in them, and by them; he sees all, and never was seen by mortal eyes.

The greatest discretion was necessary, not to shock the prejudices of the multitude. Bishop Warburton observes after Plutarch, that the young Alcibiades having affisted at these mysteries, insulted the statues of Mercury in a party of pleasure, and that the people in their rage insisted upon Alcibiades's being condemned. Alexander himself having obtained leave in Egypt of the Hierophanta of the mysteries, to acquaint his mother with the secrets of the initiated, at the same time conjured her to burn his letter after reading it, that she might not irritate the Greeks.

Those who have imagined that the mysteries were only infamous debauches, ought to be undeceived by the word which answers to initiated; it signifies that they entered on a new life. Not

that it is to be doubted that in all these mysteries, the ground work of which was so sensible and useful, many censurable superstitions were introduced. Superstition led to debauchery, which brought on contempt.

But it indubitably appears, that the primary intention of these mysteries was to inspire virtue, from the fet form with which the affembly was difmiffed. Amongst the Greeks, the two ancient Phoenician words, koff omphet, " watch and be " pure," were pronounced. We may produce an additional proof, that the emperor Nero, who was guilty of his mother's death, could not be admitted to these mysteries when he travelled in Greece: the crime was too enormous; and as great an emperor as he was, the initiated would not receive him amongst them. Zozimus also fays, that Constantine could find no Pagan priests who would purify him or absolve him of parricide. -According to Tertullian, the ceremony of regeneration was very ridiculous. It was necessary that the initiated should feem to be reborn: this was the fymbol of the new kind of life he was to embrace. He was prefented with a crown, and he trampled upon it. The Hierophanta held the facred knife over his head; the initiated, who feigned to be struck with it, fell as if he were dead; after which he appeared to regenerate.

There was (amidst all the shameful customs, trisling

trifling ceremonies, and ridiculous doctrines, which the people and priefts followed in honour of fome imaginary gods, who were defpifed and detefted by the fages) a pure religion, which confifted in acknowledging the existence of a furpreme God, his providence and justice.

VOLTAIRE.

# On the same Subject.

THE mysteries of Eleusis were celebrated twice a-year, at feed-time and harvest; and the festival continued nine days. Each day had its peculiar ceremonies. The first was confecrated to the preliminaries of the festival. On the fecond, the initiated or mysta went in a kind of procession to the fea, where refervoirs of falt-water, facred to Ceres and Proferpine, were fet apart for their purifica-The third was passed in fasting, affliction, and mysterious lamentations, which represented the complaints and groans of Ceres and Proferpine: though something not of the afflicting kind feems to have been also represented by the mystic beds furrounded with bands of purple, which were employed to convey an idea of the fituation of Proferpine on her arrival in the infernal regions. The fifth was fet apart for a facrifice, in which the greatest care was observed to avoid touching the genitals of the victim; and the offering was

accompanied with myflic dances in a meadow enamelled with flowers, about the fpring of Callichorus. The fixth day was diffinguished by the procession of torches, of which there is a reprefentation still to be seen on a basso-relievo discovered by Spon and Wheler. In this procession, the initiated marched two by two, with a folemn pace, in deep filence, to the Eleusinian temple of Ceres, and were supposed to be purified by the odour which exhaled from the torches. The young Iacchus, reprefented with a myrtle crown and a torch in his hand, was carried in pomp from the Ceramicus to Eleufis. The mystical van, which was an emblem of the separation of the initiated from the prophane, the calathus, a branch of laurel, a kind of wheel, and the phallus, followed the beautiful marble statue of the god, and the cries of Io Bacche were loudly repeated during the procession: Iacchus was invited to take a part in the dances and pleasures of the day, and to be an intercessor with Ceres in favour of the Athenians. In their hymns and invocations, they befeeched the goddess to procure for those who were admitted to the mysteries, an abundance of diverfions and dancing, to grant them the talents of wit and pleafantry, and the power of furpassing others in jokes and farcasms. The inhabitants of the adjacent places came in crowds to fee this hely troop; which, on its arrival at the bridge of the

the Cephifus, they faluted with volleys of fatirical witticifins and buffooneries, which the initiated answered in the same style, and retorted with the fame spirit. Those among the initiated, who gained the victory in this fingular conflict, were here applauded and adorned with fillets of purple. The eighth day was employed in a repetition of the initiation, which was originally occasioned by a particular mark of respect paid to Æsculapius, who having come to Eleufis to be initiated after the ceremony was over, was favoured with a repetition of the mysteries. This repetition became a constant practice. The ninth and last day feems to have been diffinguished by no other ceremony than the filling of two vafes with water, and pouring out the contents of the one towards the east, and of the other towards the west, and pronouncing, during this act, feveral mysterious words and phrases, with their eyes alternately turned to the heavens and the earth, confidered as the common father and mother of all beings. It feems that this ceremony was rather of a doleful and melancholy complexion, and that the libations usual in the celebration of funeral rites, were employed in this concluding day of the Eleusinian mysteries.

The fecret of these mysteries seems to have confisted principally in a particular manner of teaching the doctrine of suture rewards and punishments; ments; by which the rewards were supposed to regard the initiated alone, and the punishments only the profane, or those who were not initiated. This is consirmed by many authorities; and, among others, by that shrewd observation of Diogenes Laertius: What? Shall the future state of the robber Paracion be happier, because he is initiated, than that of Epaminondas? Upon the whole, it does not appear that the unity of the Supreme Being was a part of the secret doctrine here in question.

DE ST. CROIX.

#### CERTAINTY.

HAD you, in Copernicus's time, asked all the world, Did the sun rise, did the sun set, to-day? they would one and all have answered, That is a certainty; we are sully certain of it: thus they were certain, and yet mistaken.—Witchcraft, divinations, and possessions, were for a long time universally accounted the most certain things in the world.—What numberless crowds have seen all these sine things, and have been certain of them! but at present such certainty begins to lose its credit.—A mathematical demonstration is a very different certainty from these: they were only probabilities, which, on being searched into, are found errors; but mathematical certainty is immutable

mutable and eternal.—I exift, I think, I feel pain; is all this as certain as a geometrical truth? Yes. And why? because these truths are proved by the same principle, that a thing cannot at the same time be and not be.—I cannot at one and the same time exist and not exist, seel and not feel.—A triangle cannot have and not have a hundred and eighty degrees, the sum of two right angles.—Thus the physical certainty of my existence and my sensation, and mathematical certainty, are of a like validity, though differing in kind.—But this is by no means applicable to the certainty sounded on appearances, or the unanimous relations of men.

Vol. Taire.

### CHAIN OF EVENTS.

IT is an old fuppolition, that all events are linked together by an invincible fatality; this is destiny, which Homer makes superior to Jupiter himself.—The system of necessity and fatality has, according to Leibnitz, been struck out by himself under the appellation of sufficient reason; but it is in reality of very ancient date, that no effect is without a cause; and that often the least cause produces the greatest effects, is what the world is not to be taught at this time of day.—My Lord Bolingbroke owns, that the trivial quarrel between the Dutchess of Marlborough and Mrs Masham,

put him upon making the feparate treaty between Queen Anne and Lewis XIV. This treaty brought on the peace of Utrecht. This peace fettled Philip V. on the Spanish throne: Philip disposfeffed the house of Austria, of Naples, and Sicily. Thus the Spanish prince, who is now king of Naples, evidently owes his fovereignty to Mrs Masham: he would not have had it, perhaps he would not fo much as have been born, had the Dutchess of Marlborough behaved with due complaifance towards the Queen of England: his existence at Naples depended on a few follies committed at the court of London. Inquire into the fituations of all nations on the globe, and they all derive from a chain of events, apparently quite unconnected with any one thing, and connected with every thing. In this immense machine all is wheel-work, pully, cords, and fpring. It is the fame in the physical system. A wind, blowing from the fouth of Africa and the Austral seas, brings with it part of the African atmosphere, which falls down again in rain among the valleys of the Aips; and these rains fructify the lands. Again, our northern wind wafts our vapours among the negroes. Thus we benefit Guinea, and are benefited by it; and this chain reaches from one end of the universe to the other.

VOLTAIRE.

THE

# THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN CHANCE AND CAUSES.

THE best general rule to help us in distinguishing between chance and causes, is the following: What depends upon a few persons, is in a great measure to be ascribed to chance, or secret and unknown causes: What arises from a great number, may often be accounted for by determinate and known causes.

Two natural reasons may be assigned for this rule: First, if you suppose a dye to have any bias, however small, to a particular side, this bias, though perhaps it may not appear in a few throws, will certainly prevail in a great number, and will cast the balance entirely to that fide. In like manner, when any causes beget a particular inclination or passion at a certain time, and among a certain people, though many individuals may escape the contagion, and be ruled by passions peculiar to to themselves, yet the multitude will certainly be feized by the common affection, and be governed by it in all their actions .- Secondly, Those principles or cautes which are fitted to operate on a multitude, are always of a groffer nature, less subject to accidents, and less influenced by whim and private fancy, than those which operate on a few only. The latter are commonly fo delicate and refined, that the smallest incident in the health,

education, or fortune of a particular person, is fufficient to divert their course, and retard their operation; nor is it possible to reduce them to any general maxims or observations. Their influence at one time will never affure us concerning their influence at another, even though all the general circumstances should be the same in both cases.-To judge by this rule, the domeslic and the gradual revolutions of a state must be a more proper fubject of reasoning and observation than the foreign and the violent, which are commonly produced by fingle perfons, and are more influenced by whim, folly, or caprice, than by general passions and interests. The depression of the lords, and rife of the commons in England, after the statutes of alienation and the increase of trade and industry, are more easily accounted for by general principles, than the depression of the Spanish and rife of the French monarchy after the death of Charles V. Had Henry IV. Cardinal Richelieu, and Louis XIV. been Spaniards, and Philip II. III. and IV. and Charles II. been Frenchmen, the history of these two nations had been entirely reverfed.

For the fame reason, it is more easy to account for the rise and progress of commerce in any kingdom, than for that of learning; and a state which should apply itself to the encouragement of the one, would be more assured of success than one which should cultivate the other. Avarice, or the desire of gain, is an universal passion, which operates at all times, in all places, and upon all persons. But curiosity, or the love of knowledge, has a very limited influence; and requires youth, leisure, education, genius, and example, to make it govern any person. You will never want bookfellers while there are buyers of books: But there may frequently be readers where there are no authors. Multitudes of people, necessity, and liberty, have begot commerce in Holland: But study and application have hardly produced any eminent writers.

We may therefore conclude, that there are subjects in which we must proceed with caution in tracing their history, lest we assign causes which never existed, and reduce what is merely contingent to stable and universal principles.

HUME.

### CHARACTER.

This term comes from a Greek word, fignifying impression and graving. It is what nature has engraven in us: Then can we essage it? This is a weighty question. Religion and morality lay a check on the force of a natural temper, but cannot extirpate it. A fot, when in a convent, reduced to half a pint of cyder at each meal, will Vol. I.

no longer be feen drunk, but his love of wine will ever be the fame. - Age weakens the natural character: it is a tree which only produces some degenerate fruits; still are they of one and the same nature. It grows knotty, and over-run with mofs, and worm-eaten; but amidst all this, it continues what it was, whether oak or pear tree. Could a man change his character, he would give himfelf one; he would be fuperior to nature. Can we give ourselves any thing? What have we that we have not received? Endeavour to raife the indolent to a constant activity, to freeze the impetuous into an apathy, to give a taste for poetry or music to one who has neither taste nor ears; you may as well go about washing the blackmoor white, or giving fight to one born blind. We only improve, polish, and conceal what nature has put into us; we have nothing of our own putting.-Naturam expellas furca, tamen ufque redibit.

VOLTAIRE.

### NATIONAL CHARACTERS.

NO species of government, religion, opinion, or moral cause, can make any material alterations in the people of countries situated in the extremes of heat and cold. Heat deprives the body of all vigour and strength, and the imbecillity is communicated to the intellectual faculties; the inclina-

tions

tions are all passive; indolence constitutes the utmost happiness. In cold countries the inhabitant
finds a sufficient task in screening himself from
the severity of the season, and in providing a subsistence: Not that the nature of man is altered or
impaired, either in the quality or number of its
faculties; but these capabilities are not suffered to
exert themselves. There can be no vigorous applications, no long watchings, nothing of that progressive and accumulated improvement of ages
and generations linked together, which is indispensably necessary to the persection of arts and
sciences.

#### DIGNITY OF CHARACTER.

THE sublime, says Longinus, is often nothing but the echo or image of magnanimity; and where this quality appears in any one, even though not a syllable be uttered, it excites our applause and admiration; as may be observed of the samous silence of Ajax in the Odysley, which expresses more noble disdain and resolute indignation than any language can convey.—Were I Alexander, faid Parmenio, I would accept of these effers made by Darius. So would I too, replied Alexander, were I Parmenio. This saying is admirable, says Longinus, from a like principle.

K 2 Go!

Go! cries the fame hero to his foldiers, when they refused to follow him to the Indies; go tell your countrymen, that you left Alexander completing the conquest of the world. " Alexander," faid the Prince of Condé, who always admired this passage, " abandoned by his foldiers among barbarians not yet fully subdued, felt in himself " fuch a dignity and right of empire, that he could " not believe it possible that any one should re-"fuse to obey him. Whether in Europe or in " Asia, among Greeks or Persians, all was indif-" ferent to him; wherever he found men, he fan-"cied he would find fubjects."-The confidant of Medea, in the tragedy, recommends caution and fubmission; and enumerating all the distresses of the unfortunate heroine, asks her what she has to fupport her against her numerous and implacable enemies. My/elf, replies the; my/elf, I fay: and it is enough. Boileau justly recommends this passage as an instance of true sublime.

When Phocion, the modest, the gentle Phocion, was led to execution, he turned to one of his fellow-sufferers who was lamenting his own hard fate: Is it not glory enough for you, says he, that you die with Phocion.

Place in opposition the picture which Tacitus draws of Vitellius fallen from empire; prolonging his ignominy from a wretched love of life; delivered over to the merciless rabble; tossed, buffeted,

feted, and kicked about; constrained, by their holding a poinard under his chin, to raise his head and expose himself to every contumely. What abject infamy! What low humiliation! Yet even here, says the historian, he discovered some symptoms of a mind not wholly degenerate. To a tribune who insulted him, he replied, I am still your emperor.

We never excuse the absolute want of spirit and dignity of character, or a proper fense of what is due to one's felf in fociety and the common intercourse of life. This vice constitutes what we properly call meannefs; when a man can submit to the basest slavery, in order to gain his ends; fawn upon those who abuse him, and degrade himfelf by intimacies and familiarities with undeferving inferiors. A certain degree of generous pride or felf-value is fo requifite, that the absence of it in the mind displeases after the fame manner as the want of a nose, eye, or any of the most material features of the face or members of the body. The absence of a virtue may often be a vice, and that of the highest kind; as in the instance of ingratitude as well as meanness. Where we expect a beauty, the disappointment. gives an uneafy fenfation, and produces a real deformity. An abjectness of character, likewise, is difguftful and contemptible in another view. Where a man has no fense of value in himself, we

are not likely to have any higher estimate of him. And if the fame person who crouches to his fuperiors, is infolent to his inferiors (as often happens), this contrariety of behaviour, instead of correcting the former vice, aggravates it extremely, by the addition of a vice still more odious.—He must be a very superficial thinker, who imagines that all instances of mutual deference are to be understood in earnest, and that a man would be more esteemable for being ignorant of his own merits and accomplishments. A small bias towards modesty, even in the internal sentiments, is favourably regarded, especially in young people; and a strong bias is required in the outward behaviour. But this excludes not a noble pride and spirit, which may openly display itself in its full extent when one lies under calumny or oppression of any kind. The generous contumacy of Socrates, as Cicero calls it, has been highly celebrated in all ages; and when joined to the usual modesty of his behaviour, forms a shining character. Iphicrates the Athenian, being accused of betraying the interest of his country, asked his accuser, Would you, says he, on a like occasion, have been guilty of that crime? By no means, replied the other. And can you then imagine, cries the hero, that Iphicrates would be guilty? In short, a generous spirit and self-value, well-founded, decently difguifed, and courageoufly

outly supported under distress and calumny, is a great excellency, and seems to derive its merit from the noble elevation of its sentiment, or its immediate agreeableness to its possessor. In ordinary characters, we approve of a bias towards modesty, which is a quality immediately agreeable to others. The vicious excess of the former virtue, namely, insolence or haughtiness, is immediately disagreeable to others: the excess of the latter is so to the possessor. Thus are the boundaries of these two duties adjusted.

HUME.

# A DEFENCE OF THE PUNISHMENT OF CHARLES I.

CHARLES I. whatever he was in his private character, which is out of the question here, was certainly a very bad king of England. During a course of many years, and notwithstanding repeated remonstrances, he governed by maxims utterly subversive of the fundamental and free constitution of this country; and therefore he deserved the severest punishment. If he was misled by his education or his friends, he was like any other criminal in the like circumstances, to be pitied, but by no means to be spared on that account.—From the nature of things, it was necessary that the opposition should begin from a few,

who may therefore be styled a Faction: but after the civil war (which necessarily enfued from the King's obstinacy, and in which he had given repeated instances of dissimulation and treachery), there was evidently no fafety either for the faction or the nation short of his death. It is to be regretted that the fituation of things was fuch, that the fentence could not be passed by the whole nation, or their representatives, solemnly affembled for that purpose. I am sensible indeed that the generality of the nation at that time would not have voted the death of their Sovereign; but this was not owing to any want of a just sense of the wrongs he had done them, but to an opinion of the facredness of kingly power, from which very few of the friends of liberty in those times, especially among the Presbyterians who were the majority, could entirely divest themselves. a transaction would have been an immortal honour to this country, whenever that superstitious notion shall be obliterated: a notion which has been extremely useful in the infant state of fociety; but which, like other superstitions, sublists long after it hath ceased to be of use.

PRIESTLEY.

# THE MERIT OF CHASTITY DERIVED FROM ITS UTILITY.

THE long and helpless infancy of man requires the combination of parents for the subfiftence of their young; and that combination requires the virtue of chastity or fidelity to the marriage-bed. Without fuch an utility, it will readily be owned, that fuch a virtue would never have been thought of. An infidelity of this nature is much more pernicious in women than in men: hence the laws of chastity are much stricter over the one fex than over the other.-These rules have all a reference to generation; and yet women past childbearing are no more supposed to be exempted from them than those in the flower of their youth and beauty. General rules are often extended beyond the principle whence they first arise; and this in all matters of tafte and fentiment. The imagination is influenced by affociations of ideas; which, though they arise at first from the judgement, are not easily altered by every particular exception that occurs to us. To which we may add, in the prefent case of chastity, that the example of the old would be pernicious to the young; and that women, continually forefeeing that a certain time would naturally bring them the liberty of indulgence, would naturally advance that period, and think more lightly of this whole duty fo requisite to society.

Those who live in the same family, have such frequent opportunities of licence of this kind, that nothing could preferve purity of manners, were marriage allowed among the nearest relations, or any intercourse of love between them ratified by law and custom. Incest therefore being pernicious in a superior degree, has also a fuperior turpitude and moral deformity annexed to it.-What is the reason why, by the Athenian law, one might marry a half-fifter by the father but not by the mother? Plainly this: The manners of the Athenians were so reserved, that a man was never permitted to approach the womens apartment, even in the same family, unless where he visited his own mother. His stepmother and her children were as much shut up from him as the women of any other family; and there was as little danger of any criminal correspondence between them. Uncles and nieces for a like reason might marry at Athens; but neither these, nor half brothers and sisters, could contract that alliance at Rome, where the intercourse was more open between the fexes. Public utility is the cause of all these variations.

HUME.

# THE DIFFERENT CAPACITIES OF CHILDREN.

FORWARD prating children usually make but ordinary men: I know no observation more certain or general than this. There is nothing more difficult, than to distinguish in children between real stupidity and that apparent dulness which is the usual indication of strong intellects. It may appear strange at first fight, that two such different extremes should be indicated by the same signs; and yet it is nevertheless what we ought to expect: For at an age when we have as yet acquired no true ideas, all difference between a child of genius and one that has none, is, that the latter admits only of false ideas of things; while the former, meeting with none but fuch, refuses to admit any. Both therefore appear to be equally dull; the one, because he has no capacity for the comprehension of things; and the other, because the representations of things are not adapted to his capacity. The only means to distinguish between them depend on accident, which may offer to the latter some idea within his comprehension; whereas the former is always the fame in all places and circumstances. Cato himself, during his infancy, was esteemed by his whole family as almost a fool: he was par-· ticularly

ticularly referved and obstinate, which was all they could judge of him. It was in the anti-chamber of Sylla that his uncle first learnt to know him better. Possibly, had he not been introduced thither, he might have passed for a mere brute till he had arrived at years of discretion. If Cæsar had not also survived, that very Cato might have been treated as a visionary and a madman, who had penetration enough to read his destructive genius, and to foresee, at so great a distance, his satal projects.—How subject are those who judge precipitately of children to be egregiously deceived! They often betray in this less judgment than the children of whom they judge.

The apparent facility with which children feem to learn, operates greatly to their prejudice; and though we do not observe it, it is a plain proof they learn nothing. The delicate texture of their brain reflects, like a mirror, every object presented to them; but nothing penetrates the substance, or remains behind: a child retains the words, but the ideas accompanying them are reflected back again; those who hear him repeat may understand what he means, but he himself knows nothing of the matter.—Although the memory and judgment are two faculties effentially different; yet the one cannot unfold itself without the other. Before a child arrives at years

of understanding, he entertains not the ideas, but simply the images of things; the difference hetween which confilts in this, that fuch images are only the direct paintings of perceptible objects, and ideas are the notions of fuch objects determined by their respective relations to each other. A fingle image may fublift in the mind that is fenfible of it; but every idea necessarily supposes the concomitance of others. To fimple imagination, or the mere formation of images, nothing more is necessary than to have seen objects; but to conceive any thing about their existence, or to form ideas of them, it is required that we should be able to compare them. fenfations are merely passive; whereas our perceptions, or the ideas formed in confequence of those fensations, arise from an active principle capable of judging of them.

Rousseau.

## THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION,

Its Progress and Establishment in the Roman Empire.

RELIGION in man is the effect of a fense of his misfortunes, and of the fear of invisible powers.

Most legislators have availed themselves of these motives to govern the people, and still more to Vol. I. † L end

enflave them. Some of them have afferted, that they held the right of commanding from heaven itself; and it is thus that theocracy has been established.

If the religion of the Jews has had a more fublime origin, it has not always been exempt from those inconveniences which necessarily arise from the ambition of priests in a theocratic form of government.

Christianity succeeded the Jewish institution. The fubjection that Rome, mistress of the world, was under to the most savage tyrants; the dreadful miferies which the luxury of a court and the maintenance of armies had occasioned throughout this vast empire under the reign of the Neros; the fuccessive irruptions of the barbarians, who difinembered this great body; the lofs of provinces either by revolt or invasion; all these natural evils had already prepared the minds of men for a new religion; and the changes in politics must probably have induced an innovation in the form of worship. In Paganism, which had existed for fo many ages, there remained only the fables to which it owes its origin, the folly or the vices of its gods, the avarice of its priests, and the infamy and licentious conduct of the kings who fupported them. Then the people, despairing to obtain relief from their tyrants upon earth, had recourse to heaven for protection.

Chri-

Christianity appeared, and afforded them comfort, at the same time that it taught them to suffer with patience. While the tyranny and licentiousness of princes tended to the destruction of Paganism as well as to that of the empire, the subjects who had been oppressed and spoiled, and who had embraced the new doctrines, were completing its ruin by the examples they gave of those virtues which always accompany the zeal of newmade profesytes. But a religion that arose in the midst of public calamity, must necessarily give its preachers a considerable influence over the unhappy persons who took refuge in it. Thus the power of the clergy commenced, as it were, with the gospel.

From the remains of Pagan superstitions and philosophic sects a code of rights and tenets was formed, which the simplicity of the primitive Christians sanctified with real and affecting piety; but which at the same time lest the seed of debates and controversies, from whence arose a variety of passions, disguisted and dignisted under the name of zeal. These differitions produced schools, doctors, a tribunal, and a hierarchy. Christianity had begun to be preached by a set of sishermen, destitute of every knowledge but that of the gospel; it was entirely established by bishops, who formed the church. After this it gained ground by degrees, till at length it attracted

tracted the notice of the emperors. Some of these tolerated Christianity either from motives of contempt, or humanity; others perfecuted it. Perfecution haftened its progress, for which toleration had paved the way. Connivance and profeription, elemency and rigour, were all equally advantageous to it. The fense of freedom so natural to the human mind, induced many perfons to embrace it in its infancy, as it has made others reject it fince it has been established. This spirit of independency, rather adapted to truth than to novelty, would necessarily have induced a multitude of persons of all ranks to become converts to Christianity, if even the charasters it bore had not been calculated to inspire veneration and respect.

RAYNAL.

### CHRISTIANITY,

NOT ADAPTED TO MAKE A CONSTITUTIONAL PART IN ANY SYSTEM OF LEGISLATION.

CHRISTIANITY is in its principles an universal religion; having nothing exclusive, nothing local, nothing peculiar to one country any more than to another. Its Divine Author, embracing all mankind in his boundless charity, came to remove those barriers that separated the nations from each other, and to unite all mankind in a people

of brethren: fuch is the true spirit of the gospel.

Those, therefore, who would make Christianity to be a national religion, and introduce it as a constitutional part in a system of legislation, have been guilty of two faults; the one pernicious to religion, and the other to the state. They have departed from the spirit of Jesus Christ, whose kingdom is not of this world; and, confounding our fublunary interests with those of religion, have fullied its celestial purity; converted it into a fcourge in the hands of tyrants, and an inftrument of perfecution. They have done no lefs injury to the falutary maxims of policy; as inflead of simplifying the machine of government, they have rendered it more complicated; they have added to it foreign and fuperfluous refources, and by fubjecting it to two different and frequently contrary motions, have occasioned those convultions which are felt in all Christian states in which religion hath entered into the political fystem.-Perfect Christianity is an universal social inflitution; but not a political establishment, nor doth it concur to the support of any good particular inflitution. All human establishments are founded on human passions, and are supported by them: whatever combats and destroys the passions, therefore, is by no means proper to strengthen those establishments. How can that

which detaches our hearts from the things of the world, induce us to interest ourselves more strongly in what is doing here? How can that which engages our thoughts only towards another country attach us more powerfully to this? Christianity, by making men just, moderate, and peaceable, is very advantageous to fociety in general: but it weakens the force of the political foring; it renders the movements of the machine more complex; it breaks the unity of the body moral; and being infufficiently appropriated to the purposes of government, must either degenerate, or remain a detached and embarrafling fubiect .- The science of falvation and government are very different. To infift that the former includes all others, is the fanaticism of a narrow mind. Such a way of thinking is like that of the alchemists, who, in the art of making gold, conceive they also see that of the universal medicine; or like that of the Mahometans, who pretend that all arts and sciences are to be found in the Alcoran. The doctrines of the gospel have but one object in view, which is the univerfal falvation of mankind. Their liberties and properties here below have nothing to do with The gospel inspires humanity rather than patriotifm, and tends rather to the forming of men than citizens. Patriotifm and humanity care two virtues incompatible with each other in

any great degree, and particularly in a whole people. The legislator who would unite them both, will obtain neither one nor the other. Their union never was, nor ever will be, known; because it is contrary to nature, and because it is impossible to give two objects to one passion.

ROUSSEAU.

#### CIVIL COMMOTIONS.

ALWAYS to throw, without distinction, the blame of all diforders in the state upon the prince. would introduce a fatal error in politics, and ferve as a perpetual apology for treason and rebellion: as if the turbulency of the great, and madness of the people, were not, equally with the tyranny of princes, an evil incident to human fociety, and no less carefully to be guarded against in every well-regulated constitution.-We must not, therefore, imagine, that all the ancient princes, who were unfortunate in their government, were also tyrannical in their conduct, and that the feditions of the people proceeded always from fome invafion of their liberties and privileges by the monarch.-Men, instead of complaining against the manners of the age and the form of conflitution, are very apt to impute all errors to the person who has the misfortune fortune to be entrusted with the reins of empire.

# THE DIFFERENCE OF MEN IN DIFFERENT CLIMATES.

A COLD air constringes the extremities of the external fibres of the body, (this appears in the countenance; in cold weather people look thinner): this increases their strength, and favours the return of the blood from the extreme parts to the heart. It contracts those very fibres; of course it increases their force and elasticity.-People are therefore more vigorous in cold climates: here the action of the heart and the reaction of the extremities of the fibres are better performed; the circulation goes on much brifker; the heart has more power. This fuperiority of strength must produce various effects; for instance, a greater boldness, that is, more courage; a greater fense of superiority, that is, less defire of revenge; a greater opinion of sccurity, that is, more frankness, less suspicion, policy, and cunning. In fhort, this must be productive of very different tempers. Put a man into a close warm place, and, for the reafons above given, he will feel a great faintness. If under this circumstance you propose a bold enterprife to him, you will find him very little difdisposed towards it: his present weakness will throw him into despondency; he will be asraid of every thing, being in a state of total incapacity. The inhabitants of warm countries are, like old men, timorous; the people in cold countries are, like young men, brave.

The nerves that terminate from all parts in the furface of the body, form each a nervous bundle or papilla. In warm climates, where the fkin is relaxed, the ends of the nerves are expanded, and laid open to the weakest actions of the smallest objects. In cold countries the skin is constringed, and the papillæ compressed: the fensation does not reach the brain, but when it is very strong. Now imagination, taste, fensibility, and vivacity, depend on an infinite number of small sensations.

The outermost part of a sheep's tongue, to the naked eye, seems covered with papillæ. On these papillæ are seen through a microscope sinall filaments like a kind of down; between the papillæ are pyramids, shaped towards the end like pincers. Very likely, these pyramids are the principal organs of tatte.

I caused the half of a tongue to be frozen, and observing it with the naked eye, I found the papillæ considerably diminished; even some rows of them were sunk into their sheath. The outermost part I examined with the microscope, and

perceived no pyramids. In proportion as the frost went off, the papillæ seemed to the naked eye to rife, and with the microscope the miliary glands began to appear.

This observation confirms what I have been faying, that in cold countries the cutaneous glands and the nervous papillæ are less expanded: they fink deeper into their fheaths, or they are sheltered from the action of external objects; confequently they are lefs capable of lively fenfations. \_\_\_In cold countries, people have very little fensibility for pleafure; in temperate countries, they have more; in warm countries, their fensibility is exquisite. As climates are diffinguished by degrees of latitude, we might difhinguish them also in some measure by those of fenfibility. I have been at the opera in England and in Italy, where I have feen the fame pieces and the fame performers: and yet the fame music produces such different effects on the two nations; one is fo cold and phlegmatic, and the other fo lively and enraptured, that it feems almost inconceivable.

It is the fame with regard to pain. The fibres of the people of the north are stronger, and less capable of irritation and sensibility, than those of the inhabitants of warm countries; confequently they are less fensible of pain. You must flay a Muscovite alive to make him feel.

From

-From this delicacy of organs peculiar to warm climates, it follows that the mind is most fensibly moved by whatever relates to the union of the two fexes: here every thing leads to this object .-In northern climates, scarce has the animal part of love a power of making itself felt. In temperate climates, love, attended by a thousand appendages, endeavours to pleafe by things that have at first the appearances, though not the reality, of this passion. In warmer climates, it is liked for its own fake; it is the only cause of happines; it is life itself .- In fouthern countries, a machine of a delicate frame, but strong fensibility, refigns itself either to a love which rifes, and is incessantly laid, in a feraglio; or to a passion which leaves women in greater independence, and is confequently exposed to a thousand inquietudes. northern regions, a machine robust and vigorous finds a pleafure in whatever is apt to throw the fpirits into motion; fuch as hunting, travelling, war, wine. If we travel towards the north, we meet with people who have few vices, many virtues, and a great share of frankness and fincerity. If we draw near the fouth, we fancy ourselves entirely removed from the verge of morality: here the strongest passions are productive of all manner of crimes, each man endeavouring, let the means be what they will, to indulge his inordinate defires. In temperate climates, we find

find the inhabitants inconstant in their manners, as well as in their vices and virtues: the climate has not a quality determinate enough to fix them.

The heat of the climate may be fo excessive as to deprive the body of all vigour and strength. Then the faintness is communicated to the mind; there is no curiosity, no enterprise, no generosity of sentiment; the inclinations are all positive; indolence constitutes the utmost happiness; scarcely any punishment is so severe as mental employment, and slavery is more supportable than force and vigour of mind necessary for human conduct.

MONTESQUIEU.

# THE INFLUENCE OF CLIMATE ON MAN-

THE foil is not a matter of indifference in the cultivation of mankind: they are not all fuch as they might have been if born in temperate climates. The difadvantage is visible in either extreme. A man is not planted like a tree in any country to grow there continually, but is frequently changing his place; and he who removes from one extreme to the other, is obliged to go twice as far to arrive at the fame point, as he who fets out from a line drawn between both. If the inhabitant of a temperate clime visits successively both extremes, his advantages are further

3 evident

evident: for although he should undergo the same modification as one that should pass from one extreme to the other, yet he would depart each way the less by half from his natural constitution. Thus a Frenchman may live in Guinea or in Lapland; but a negro would not live so well at Torneo, nor a Samoyad at Benin. It appears also that the organization of the brain is less perfect in the two extremes. Neither the Negroes nor the Laplanders have the natural understandings of the nations of milder climates.

Rousseau.

## THE INFLUENCE OF CLIMATE.

IF the greater or less strength of mind depended on the different climate of countries, it would be impossible, considering the age of the world, but that what was in this respect most favoured, should by its progress have acquired a great fuperiority over all others. The esteem which different nations have by turns obtained with respect to science, and the contempt into which they have fuccessively fallen, prove the little influence climates have on the mind. The fuperiority of certain nations over others in the arts and sciences, can only be attributed to moral causes; there are no people privileged in point Vol. L M of +

of virtue, genius, and courage: Nature, in this respect, has not made a partial distribution of her HELVETIUS. favours.

#### COMMERCE

### FAVOURABLE TO CIVILIZATION AND PEACE.

THERE are many things which in themselves are morally neither good nor bad; but they are productive of confequences which are strongly marked with one or other of these characters. Thus commerce, though in itself a moral nullity, has had a confiderable influence in tempering the human mind. It was the want of objects in the ancient world which occasioned such a rude and perpetual turn for war. Their time hung on their hands without the means of employment. The indolence they lived in afforded leifure for mischief; and being all idle at once. and equal in their circumstances, they were eafily provoked or induced to action.

But the introduction of commerce furnished the world with objects, which in their extent reach every man, and give him fomething to think about, and fomething to do: by these his attention is mechanically drawn from the purfuits which a state of indolence and an unem-

ployed

ployed mind occasioned; and he trades with the same countries which former ages, tempted by their productions, and too indolent to purchase them, would have gone to war with.

The condition of the world is materially changed by the influence of science and commerce; it is put into a fitness not only to admit of, but to desire an extension of, civilization. The world has undergone its divisions of empire, the several boundaries of which are known and settled. The idea of conquering countries like the Greeks and Romans, does not now exist; and experience has exploded now the notion of going to war for the sake of profit. In short, the objects of war are exceedingly diminished, and there is now left scarcely any thing to quarrel about, but what arises from the demon of society, Prejudice, and the consequent sullenness and untractableness of the temper.

THOS. PAINE.

#### CONSCIENCE.

ALL the morality of our actions lies in the judgment we ourselves form of them. All the rules of morality are written in indelible characters on the heart of man. I have only to consult myself to know what I ought to do; all that I

M 2 feel

feel to be right is right; whatever I feel to be wrong, is wrong: Conscience is the ablest of all cafuifts, and it is only when we are trafficking with her that we have recourfe to the fubtilties of reason. It is pretended, that every one contributes to the public good for his own interest; but whence comes it that the virtuous man contributes to it to his prejudice? Can a man lay down his life for his own interest? The chief of our concerns, indeed, is that of ourfelves; yet how often have we been told by the monitor within, that to purfue our own interest at the expence of others would be to do wrong! Which is most agreeable for us to do, and leaves the most pleasing reflection behind it, an act of benevolence or of mischief? For whom are we most interested at our theatres? Do we take pleafure in acts of villany? or do we shed tears at feeing the authors of them brought to punishment? It has been faid, that every thing is indifferent to us in which we are not interested: the contrary, however, is certain, as the foothing endearments of friendship and humanity confole us under afflictions; and even in our pleafures we should be too solitary, too miserable, if we had nobody to partake them with us. If there be nothing moral in the heart of man, whence arise those transports of admiration and esteem we entertain for heroic actions, and great minds? What

What has this virtuous enthusiasm to do with our private interest? Wherefore do I rather wish to be an expiring Cato, than a triumphant Cæsar? Of what hurt is the wickedness of a Cataline to me? Am I asraid of falling a victim to his villany? Wherefore do I then look upon him with the same horror as if he was my cotemporary? We do not hate the wicked only because their vices are hurtful, but also because they are wicked.

Amidst all the inhuman absurd forms of worship, amidst all the prodigious diversity of manners and characters, you will every where find the same ideas of justice and honesty, the fame notions of good and evil. Antient Paganism adopted the most abominable deities, which it would have punished on earth as infamous criminals; deities that prefented no other picture of fupreme happiness than the commission of crimes, and the gratification of their passions. But vice, armed even with facred authority, defeended in vain on earth; moral instinct influenced the human heart to revolt against it. Even in celebrating the debaucheries of Jupiter, the world admired and respected the continence of Zenocrates; the chaste Lucretia adored the impudent Venus. There exists therefore evidently in the heart of man an innate principle of juflice and goodness; by which, in spite of our M 3 OWE

own maxims, we approve or condemn the actions of ourselves and others. To this principle I give the appellation of conscience. But we are told by some philosophers, that there is nothing in the human mind but what is instilled by experience; nor can we judge of any thing but from the ideas we have acquired. To confute this opinion, we need only to diftinguish between our acquired ideas and our natural fentiments; for we are fenfible before we are intelligent; and as we do not learn to defire our own good, and to avoid what is evil, but possess this defire immediately from nature; fo the love of virtue and hatred of vice, are as natural as the love of ourselves. The operations of conscience are not intellectual, but fentimental: for though all our ideas are acquired from without, the fentiments which estimate them arise from within; and it is by these alone that we know the agreement or difagreement which exifts between us and those things which we ought to feek or fhun.

To exist, is, with us, to be sensible; our sensibility is incontestably prior to our intelligence; and we were possessed of sentiment before we formed ideas. Whatever was the cause of our being, it hath provided us with sentiments agreeable to our constitution; nor can it possibly be denied that these at least are innate. These sentiments are, in the individual, the love of himself,

aversion to pain, dread of death, and the desire of happiness. But if, as it cannot be doubted, man is by nature a sociable being, or at least formed to become such, his sociability absolutely requires that he should be furnished with other innate sentiments relative to his species: For to consider only the physical wants of men, it would certainly be better for them to be dispersed than assembled.

Now it is from this moral fystem, formed by its duplicate relation to himself and his fellow-creatures, that the impulse of conscience arises. To know what is virtuous, is not to love virtue. Man has no innate knowledge of virtue; but no sooner is it made known to him by reason, than conscience induces him to love and admire it. This is the innate sentiment I mean.

Rousseau.

### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

THE conscience is not an original infallible guide appointed by God in our breasts; it is formed as reason, imagination, and the other powers of the mind, by education, habits, examples, principles, and laws; and it differs greatly according as we have been differently affected by those circumstances. A person who has been taught to consider happiness as the end of life, and

and to acquire real knowledge and virtue as the means of that happiness, has a virtuous sensibility formed, which will ever direct him right, and will make him always happy. By a process fomething fimilar, an infinite variety of falfe consciences are formed. A man who has been taught to consider interest as the end of life. and industry, attention, fervility, as means, makes his experiments and trials with that object in view; and his understanding and conscience will be totally different from the former. Religion, that first and best of blessings, has been misinterpreted and misunderstood, so as to furnish an infinite variety of false principles of conduct. The intent and purpose of it is to lead men by virtue to happiness. But there is no species of vice which men have not committed on one or more of those false systems, which they have denominated true religion. The reason of this is obvious. A man is brought up to his religion as he is brought up to his trade. He is, told of what articles and doctrines it is to confift: and that if he does not induce his mind to believe and practife it, he will lose the good opinion of his friends; he will make them his implacable enemies; his fortune will be injured; his person punished; and after he has been tormented in in this world, he will be configned to the devil in the next. Thus are most religious taught; thus

thus are the consciences of men formed to every species of villany and cruelty: for the genuine principle of a bigot is hatred of all his fellow-creatures beyond the inclosures of his own party. And yet he not only imagines he has a good conscience, but triumphs in its execrable testimony.

If we descend into the common walks of life, and consider the difference of mens apprehensions on the subject of right and wrong, we shall see that the satisfaction arising from the testimony of their consciences must be extremely different. A scale might be formed on the customs and principles of trade and commerce, graduated from dishonesty and fraud to the extreme points of honour and justice. Mens consciences, in their various employments, are adjusted on this kind of scale; and we may generally judge of the nature of a man's understanding, the elevation of his mind, and the delicacy and genuineness of his moral sensibility, from the nature of his employment.

False consciences, when they are formed with care on some political, moral, or religious preposfessions, are incurable sources of ill. They are like many disorders in the animal economy, where the patient is sensible of his danger; where temporary and fallacious gratifications render him secure and satisfied; and where no

remedies can be applied, because his own concurrence and his own endeavours are requifite, and he cannot fee the necessity of them. - It is to be hoped no person will be so puerile as to say, that if men think themselves right, they must be fo; and the utmost that can be expected of them, is to act on their opinions. It may be a defirable matter, that men should proceed thus far in the path of morality, and act fincerely and honestly on those principles which they profess, whether good or evil. Hypocrify, added to ignorance and vicious principles, increases the mifchief of them; and yet we find it generally attending them. Men have not only false ideas and false consciences given them; but they are also taught to wear masques, whenever they think proper to act contrary even to their wretched principles. If we remove this hypocrify, it is true we remove an evil. We should only then have errors to encounter with, which might either be prevented by a rational and just education, or by a diligent and careful attention to the nature and happiness of man. Persons, ill-educated, ill-formed, and with false and delusive confciences, are, however, in a much worse state than common and flagrant finners, whose actions are in opposition to their minds, and who are often restored to virtue by experiencing the miseries of vice. It is not uncommon to fee those who have b∈en. been led into excesses by their passions recover themselves, and become regular and happy. is very uncommon to fee a man in any profession acting above the prepoffessions of it. It is very uncommon to fee a charitable fectary, or a perfon who has had his mind formed on narrow gloomy cruelty, recover any degree of liberality, good-nature, and humanity. Men in this lituation are like lunatics, the main spring of whose minds is a false and insufficient one. And we might as well fay lunatics are as they ought to be, because they think fo; as that men who act ill on religious or political principles are right, because they are of that opinion. The proper and real happiness of man, as an individual, as a member of fociety, and a part of the universal empire of God, is to be procured only by real knowledge and virtue. It is therefore as much our duty, in every case, to confider and examine our principles, as it is honestly to act on them when we are satisfied they are right. WILLIAMS.

#### LIBERTY OF CONSCIENCE.

WE can comprehend things no otherwise than as they present themselves to our perceptions; nor is it possible for any one to restrain his mind from receiving a variety of propositions either as true or as false, when clearly understood.

It is not in our power to think or judge according to the opinions of another; nor are we at liberty, in any case, to believe or disbelieve, or suspend our affent, just as humour or fancy may direct, or others command. In these particulars, we must be guided by that light which arises from the nature of things, fo far as it is perceived; and by those evidences and arguments which may appear to the mind, and convince the judgment. No one can give a rational affent to any thing but in the use of his reason. How then is it possible he should receive as reasonable what appears to him to be unreasonable? or that he should receive as a certain truth what does not come to his own mind with clear and convincing evidences? Nor can those arguments which may be urged, although valid in themselves, ever produce an alteration in opinion, if they do not appear to his own judgment obvious in their connexion, and fufficient for that purpofe.

FELL.

## CONTEMPT.

IF the contempt of mankind be insupportable, it is because it presages evil, as it in part deprives us of the advantages that arise from the union of men in society: for contempt implies a

want of attention in mankind to ferve us, and prefents the time to come as void of pleasures, and filled with pains.

HELVETIUS.

#### CONTROVERSY.

WHERE is the opinion, fo rational, and fo plaufible, that the spirit of controversy cannot shake it? Can any position be so absurd, as to render specious arguments incapable of supporting it? When a person is once convinced, either of the truth or of the falsity of any thing, he immediately from a passion for disputation, becomes attached to his own idea, and soon seeks solely, to acquire a superiority over his adversary, by dint of the powers of imagination and by subtilty; especially when some obscure question, involved by its nature in darkness, is the point in debate.

Arnord

### RELIGIOUS CONTROVERSIES.

Two men, travelling on the highway, the one east, the other west, can easily pass each other, if the way be broad enough. But two men, reasoning upon opposite principles of religion, cannot so easily pass without shocking; though one should think that the way were also, in that case, sufficiently broad, and that each Vol. I.

might proceed without interruption in his own courfe. But such is the nature of the human mind, that it always takes hold of every mind that approaches it; and as it is wonderfully fortified and corrobotated by an unanimity of sentiments, so it is shocked and disturbed by any contrariety. Hence the eagerness which most people discover in a dispute; and hence their impatience of opposition, even in the most speculative and indifferent opinions.

This principle, however frivolous it may appear, feems to have been the origin of all religious wars and divisions. But as this principle is univerfal in human nature, its effects would not have been confined to one age, and to one fect of religion, did it not there concur with other more accidental causes, which raise it to such a height as to produce the greatest misery and devastation. Most religious of the ancient world arofe in the unknown ages of government, when men were as yet barbarous and uninftructed, and the prince as well as peafant was disposed to receive with implicit faith, every pious tale or fiction, which was offered him. The magistrate embraced the religion of the people, and entering cordially into the care of facred matters, naturally acquired an authority in them, and united the ecclefiaftical with the civil power. But the Christian religion arising, while principles directly

opposite to it were firmly established in the polite part of the world, who despised the nation who broached this novelty; no wonder that, in fuch circumstances, it was but little countenanced by the civil magistrate, and that the priesthood were allowed to engrofs all the authority in the new fect. So bad a use did they make of this power, even in those early times, that the persecutions of Christianity may, perhaps, in part, be ascribed to the violence inftilled by them into their followers; though it must not be diffembled that there were laws against external superstition amongst the Romans as ancient as the time of the twelve tables; and the Jews as well as Christians were fometimes punished by them; though, in general, these laws were not rigorously executed. Immediately after the conquest of Gaul, they forbad all but the natives to be initiated into the religion of the Druids; and this was a kind of perfecution. In about a century after this conquest, the Emperor Claudius, quite abolished that superstition by penal laws; which would have been a very grievous perfecution, if the imitation of the Roman manners had not, before hand, weaned the Gauls from their ancient prejudices. (Suetonius in vita Claudii.) Pliny ascribes the abolition of Druid fuperstitions to Tiberius, probably because that emperor had taken some steps towards restraining them. This is an instance of  $N_2$ the

the usual caution and moderation of the Romans in fuch cases; and very different from their violent and fanguinary method of treating the Chri-Hence we may entertain a suspicion. those furious persecutions of Christianity were in fome measure owing to the imprudent zeal and bigotry of the first propagators of that sect; and ecclefiaftical history affords us many reasons to confirm this suspicion. After Christianity became the established religion, the principles of priestly government continued; and engendered a spirit of persecution, which has ever since been the poison of human fociety, and the source of the most inveterate factions in every government.-There is another cause (besides the authority of the priests, and the separation of the ecclefiaftical and civil powers) which has contributed to render Christendom the scene of religious wars and divisions. Religions, that arise in ages totally ignorant and barbarous, confift mostly of traditional tales and fictions, which may be very different in every fect, without being contrary to each other; and even when they are contrary, every one adheres to the tradition of his own fect, without much reasoning and difputation. But as philosophy was widely spread over the world at the time when Christianity arose, the teachers of the new sect were obliged to form a fystem of speculative opinions;

to divide with some accuracy their articles of faith; and to explain, comment, confute, and defend with all the fubtilty of argument and feience. Hence naturally arose keenness in dispute, when the Christian religion came to be split into new divisions and heresies. And this keenness assisted the priests in their policy, of begetting a mutual hatred and antipathy among their deluded followers. Sects of philosophy, in the ancient world, were more zealous than parties of religion; but in modern times, parties of religion are more furious and enraged than the most cruel factions that ever arose from interest and ambition.—The civil wars which arose some years ago in Morocco between the blacks and whites, merely on account of their complexion, are founded on a pleafant difference. We laugh at them; but were things rightly examined, we afford much more occasion of ridicule to the moors. For what are all the wars of religion which have prevailed in this polite and knowing part of the world? They are certainly more abfurd than the Moorish civil wars. The difference of complexion is a fensible and real difference: But the difference about an article of faith, which is utterly abfurd and unintelligible, is not a difference in fentiment, but a differencein a few phrases and expressions, which one- $N_3$ party.

party accepts of without understanding them, and the other refuses in the same manner.

HUME.

## CONVERSATION.

A VERBAL conversation may be mistaken by a slowness of understanding, or by a haste of zeal; may be mistated by a weakness of memory, or by arts of design. The force and spirit of a conversation may depend upon the occasion which introduced it; upon the observations which preceded it; upon the time in which it was pronounced; upon the gesture by which it was accompanied. A conversation related with verbal accuracy may have diminished or increased its force, may have acquired a milder or a more malignant spirit, in the hands of an artful or an unskilful reporter.

## On the same Subject.

THE laws of conversation are, in general, not to labour over any subject, but to pass over easily, without effort or affectation, from one to another; to speak occasionally on frivolous as well as on serious subjects; to remember that conversation is a relaxation, and not a fencing-school,

fchool, nor a game of chess; in a word, to allow the fancy to range at freedom. You are not to engrofs the difcourfe to yourfelf, nor to deliver your opinions in a magisterial tone; as this must be very difgusting to the hearers, and preposless them against you.-There can be no situation in which we are less able to conceal our felf-love than in conversation: and we are always fure to lofe by mortifying the pride of others, who will naturally be defirous of revenging themselves; and their ingenuity feldom fails inftantly to difcover an opportunity. Another defect to be shunned is speaking like one reading, and having what is called a well-written conversation. conversation ought no more to be like a written discourse, than the latter like a conversation. What is pretty fingular is, that those who fall into the former blemish, seldom escape the other: because being in the habit of speaking as they would write, they imagine they ought to write as they speak. It should be a rule, that a man cannot be too much on his guard when he writes to the public, and never too eafy towards those with whom he convertes.

D' ALEMBERT.

## THE EXPORTATION OF CORN.

IN inland high countries, remote from the fea, and whose rivers are small, running from the country, and not to it, as is the cafe of Switzerland, great diffrefs may arise from a course of bad harvests, if public granaries are not provided and kept well flored .- Anciently, too, before navigation was fo general, ships fo plenty, and commercial connections fo well established, even maritime countries might be occasionally distressed by bad crops: But fuch is now the facility of communication between those countries, that an unrestrained commerce can scarce ever fail of procuring a fufficiency for any of them. If indeed any government is fo imprudent as to lay its hands on imported corn, forbid its exportation, or compel its fale at limited prices, there the people may fuffer fome famine, from merchants avoiding their ports. But wherever commerce is known to be always free, and the merchant absolute mafter of his commodity, as in Holland, there will always be a reasonable supply.-When an exportation of corn takes place, occasioned by a higher price in some foreign countries, it is common to raise a clamour, on the supposition that we shall thereby produce a domestic famine. Then follows a prohibition, founded on the imaginary

ginary diffress of the poor. The poor to be fure, if in distress, should be relieved; but if the farmer could have a high price for his corn from the foreign demand, must be by a prohibition of exportation be compelled to take a low price, not of the poor only, but of every one that eats bread, even the richest? The duty of relieving the poor is incumbent on the rich; but by this operation the whole burden of it is laid on the farmer, who is to relieve the rich at the same time. Of the poor, too, those who are maintained by the parishes, have no right to claim this facrifice of the farmer; as, while they have their allowance, it makes no difference to them whether bread be cheap or dear. Those working poor, who now mind bufiness only five or four days in the week, if bread should be so dear as to oblige them to work the whole fix required by the commandment, do not feem to be aggrieved, fo as to have a right to public redrefs. There will then remain, comparatively, only a few families in every district, who from sickness, or a great number of children, will be fo diffrested by a high price of corn, as to need relief; and they should be taken care of by particular benefactions, without restraining the farmer's profit.-Those who fear that exportation may fo far drain the country of corn as to starve ourselves, fear what never did, nor ever can happen. They may as well, when thev

they view the tide obbing towards the fea, fear that all the water will leave the river. The price of corn, like water, will find its level. The more we export, the dearer it becomes at home; the more is received abroad, the cheaper it becomes there: and as foon as thefe prices are equal, the exportation stops of course. As the seasons vary in different countries, the calamity of a bad harvest is never univerfal. If then all ports were always open, and all commerce free, every maritime country would generally eat bread at the medium price or average of all the harvests: which would probably be more equal than we can make it by our artificial regulations, and therefore a more steady encouragement to agriculture. The nation would all have bread at the middle price; and that nation which at any time inhumanly refuses to relieve the distresses of another nation, deferves no compassion when in diftrefs itfelf. FRANKLIN.

## COUNTRY.

A COUNTRY is composed of several families: and as self-love generally leads us to stand up for and support our particular families when a contrary interest does not intervene; so, from the like self-love, a man stands up for his town or village; which he calls his native home.—The

more extended this native home is, the lefs we love it; for division weakens love: it is impossible in nature to have a tender love for a family fo numerous as fcarce to be known.-The candidate, amidst his ambitious intrigues to be chosen Ædile, Tribune, Prætor, Conful, Dictator, makes a noise about his love for his country; whereas it is only himfelf that he loves. Every one is for fecuring to himself the freedom of laying at his own home, and that it shall be in no man's power to turn him out; every one is for being fure of his life and fortune. Thus the whole fociety coinciding in the like wifhes, private interest becomes that of the public; and an individual in praying only for himself, prays in effect for the whole community. - Every state on the whole earth indifputably has originally been a republic; it is the natural progress of human nature: a number of families at first entered into an alliance to secure one another against bears and wolves; and that which had plenty of grain, bartered with another which had nothing but wood.

On our discovery of America, all the several tribes throughout that vast part of the world were found divided into republics; but there were only two kingdoms. Of a thousand nations, only two were subdued.

VOLTAIRE.

# RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL CORRUPTION.

THE name of religious corruption is given to all kinds of libertinism, and principally to that of men with women. This species of corruption is not incompatible with the happiness of a nation. The people of different countries have believed, and believe still, that this corruption is not criminal. It could not be criminal in any state, if women were in common, and their offspring declared the children of the state: this crime would then, in a political view, be attended with no danger. In fact, if we take a furvey of the earth, we shall see different nations of people, among whom what we call libertinism is not only considered as no corruption of manners, but is found authorifed by the laws, and even confecrated by religion. What innumerable evils, will it be faid, are annexed to this kind of corruption? May it not be answered: That dissoluteness is then only politically dangerous in a state, when it contravenes the law of the country, or is blended with fome other defect of government. It is in vain to add, that the nations where fuch diffoluteness prevails, are the contempt of the world. What nation ever excelled the Greeks? a people which to this day is the admiration and honour of human nature. Before the Peleponelian war, an æra fatal to their virtue, what nation, what country, produced fo many virtuous and great men? Yet the tafte of the Greeks for the most indecent and unnatural luft is well known; and the most virtuous of the Greeks, according to our ideas of morality, would have been looked upon in Europe as most wicked and contemptible debauchees. This kind of corruption of manners was in Greece carried to the utmost excess, at the very time that country produced fuch great men of every kind as made Persia to tremble. We may therefore observe, that religious corruption does not feem incompatible with the greatnefs and felicity of a state; but political corruption is preparative to the fall of an empire, and presages its ruin. With this a people is infected when the bulk of the individuals separate their interest from that of the public. This kind of corruption, which fometimes is blended with the preceding, has led many moralists to confound them: if the question be only of the political interest of a state, the latter would perhaps be the most dangerous. A people, however pure its manners might have been at first, when this corruption becomes common, must necessarily be unhappy at home, and little feared abroad: the duration of fuch an empire is precarious; it is chance which either delays or haftens the fall of it. The public happiness or misery depends folely on the agreement or opposition of the interest of individuals with the general interest; and the religious corruption of manners may, as history abundantly proves, be often joined with magnanimity, elevation of soul, wisdom, abilities; in sine, with all the qualities which form great men. There are two different species of bad actions; some vicious in every form of government; others, which in a state are pernicious, and consequently criminal only, as those actions are contradictory to the laws of those countries.

HELVETIUS.

## COURTESANS.

Coutesans were more honoured by the Romans than by us; and more than either by the Greeks. All the world have heard of the two Afpasias, one of whom instructed even Socrates in politics and eloquence; of Phryne, who at her own expence built the walls of Thebes destroyed by Alexander, and whose lewdness repaired in some measure the evil done by that conqueror; of Lais, who captivated so many philosophers, even Diogenes, whom she made happy, and of whom Aristippus said, "I possess Lais, but Lais does not possess me:" A good maxim for every man of sense. But the most celebrated

of all was Leontium, who wrote books of philefophy, and was beloved by Epicurus and his difciples. The famous Ninon l'Enclos may be looked upon as the modern Leontium; but how few others have refembled her! Nothing is more uncommon than philosophical ladies of pleasure: perhaps it is a profanation to join the former to the latter term. We will not enlarge on this article; but it may be proper to observe, that, independent of our religion, viewing it only in a moral light, a passion for common women equally enervates the foul and the body, and is attended with the worst of confequences, with regard to fortune, health, repose, and happiness. On this occasion we may recal the faying of Demosthenes, " I will not buy repentance at fo "dear a price;" and also that of the Emperor. Adrian, who on being asked why Venus was painted naked, replied, Quia nullos dimittit.

But are not false and coquetish women more contemptible in one sense, and more dangerous to the heart and understanding, than courtesans? This question we shall leave others to determine.

A celebrated philosopher (Buffon) now living, examines in his natural history, Why love makes the happiness of all other beings and the misery of man? He answers "That the only thing "valuable in that passion is the instinctive attraction (le physique), and that the moral sentiment

"(le moral) which accompanies it is good for no"thing." This philosopher does not maintain
that the moral adds nothing to the physical pleafure; for here experience would be against him:
nor that the moral is only an illusion (which is
the case), but destroys not the vivacity of the
pleasure. His meaning is, undoubtedly, that from
the moral sentiment proceed all the evils of love;
and here one cannot be of his opinion.

From this, let us only infer, that if a light fuperior to our reason did not promise us a happier state, we might well complain of Nature, who with one hand presenting us the most alluring of pleasures, would seem with the other to push us from it, in surrounding it with so many rocks and shelves, and placing it in a manner on the brink of a precipice between grief and privation.

Qualibus in tenebris, vita quantique periclis Degitur hoc avi quodcunque est!

D'ALEMBERT.

## CREATION.

WE have no ideas of matter being created and endued with the qualities which it possesses. Things having certainly very much the appearance which they might have had, if we could suppose a certain pertion of space occupied by a consused mass

mass of such materials as form this world; and if we could suppose Almighty God immediately employed in keeping this mass from universal disfipation till the laws of motion, attraction, and gravitation took place: then, from the motion of this substance, we can account for the present form of the earth; the constituent parts of it; the beds or strata and laminæ of which it is composed; the subsiding of those heavier matters; the raifing water to the furface; of the air above it; and of that ether, that pure electric fire, which feems to be the last and simplest of our elements. In the disposition of these things, we find most eminently those qualities which we admire; . . Wildom, Power, Goodness. These qualities uniformly co-operate with each other; we therefore refer them to one great principle, which we call God. Whether this great Almighty Being produced matter, and gave it principles and laws, it would be impious affurance in us either to affert or deny; because it is a subject on which we can have no conceptions, no ideas: But that the materials of this world have been brought into fuch order, and have such effects either with or without the industry of man, as to show wisdom, power, and goodness in the great principle which uniformly and constantly actuates it; -this we. understand. WILLIAMS.

## CREDULITY AND AUTHORITY.

NATIONS in general are made more for feeling than thinking. The greatest part of them never had an idea of analysing the nature of the power by which they are governed. They obey without reflection, because they have the habit of obeying. The lover of power has no other fulcrum than opinion. The origin and the object of the first national affociations being unknown to them, all refistance to government appears to them a crime. It is chiefly in those states where the principles of legislation are confounded with those of religion that this blindness is to be met with. The habit of believing favours the habit of fuffering. renounces not any object with impunity. feems as if nature would revenge herfelf upon him who dares thus to degrade her. The fervile disposition which she stamps upon his foul in confequence, extends itself throughout. It makes a duty of refignation as of meannefs; and, kiffing chains of all kinds with respect, trembles to examine either its doctrines or its laws. In the fame manner that a fingle extravagance in religious opinions is fufficient to make many more to be adopted by minds once deceived, a first usurpation of government opens the door to all the reft. He who believes the greater, believes the lefs; he who can do the greater, can do the less. It is by this double abuse of credulity and authority that all the absurdaties in matters of religion and policy have been introduced into the world, for the harrassing and the crushing of the human race.

RAYNAL.

### THE DEGREE OF CRIMES.

CRIMES are only to be measured by the injury done to fociety.-They err, therefore, who imagine that a crime is greater or lefs according to the intention of the person by whom it is committed: for this will depend on the actual impreffion of objects on the fenfes, and on the previous disposition of the mind; both which will vary in different persons, and even in the same person at different times, according to the fuccession of ideas, passions, and circumstances. Upon that fystem it would be necessary to form, not only a particular code for every individual, but a new penal law for every crime. Men, often with the best intention, do the greatest injury to society; and with the worst, do it the most essential services.—Others have estimated crimes rather by the dignity of the person offended, than by their confequences to fociety. If this were the true standard, the smallest irreverence to the Divine Being ought to be punished with infinitely more feverity feverity than the affassination of a monarch.-Others have imagined, that the greatness of the fin should aggravate the crime. But the fallacy of this opinion will appear on the flightest confideration of the relations between man and man. and between God and man. The relations between man and man are relations of equality. Necessity alone hath produced, from the oppofition of private passions and interests, the idea of public utility; which is the foundation of human justice. The degree of fin depends on the malignity of the heart, which is impenetrable to finite beings. How then can the degree of fin ferve as a standard to determine the degree of crimes? If that were admitted, men may punish when God pardons, and pardon when God condemns; and thus act in opposition to the Supreme Being. BECCARIA.

## EVIDENCE OF CRIMES:

When the proofs of a crime are dependent on each other; that is, when the evidence of each witness, taken separately, proves nothing, or when all proofs are dependent upon one, the number of proofs neither increase nor diminish the probability of the fact: for the force of the whole is no greater than the force of that on which they depend; and if this fails, they all fall to the ground. ground. When the proofs are independent on each other, the probability of the fact increases in proportion to the number of proofs; for the falsehood of one does not diminish the veracity of another.-Moral certainty, with respect to crimes, is here called probability; though it is a certainty which every man in his fenfes affents to from an habit produced by the necessity of acting, and which is anterior to all speculation. That certainty, which is necessary to decide that the accufed is guilty, is the very fame which determines every man in the most important transactions of his life.—The proofs of a crime may be divided into two classes, perfect and imperfect. I call those perfect which exclude the possibility of innocence; imperfect, those which do not exclude this possibility. Of the first, one only is tufficient for condemnation; of the second, as many are required as form a perfect proof; that is to fay, that though each of these, separately taken, does not exclude the possibility of innocence, it is nevertheless excluded by their union. It should be also observed, that the imperfect proofs of which the accused, if innocent, might clear himfelf, and does not, become perfect.

BECCARIA.

## THE PROPORTION BETWEEN CRIMES AND PUNISHMENTS.

IT is not only the common interest of mankind that crimes should not be committed, but that crimes of every kind should be less frequent in proportion to the evil they produce to fociety. Therefore the means made use of by the legislature to prevent crimes, should be more powerful in proportion as they are destructive of the public fafety and happiness; and as the inducements to commit them are stronger, therefore there ought to be a fixed proportion between crimes and punishments.—The disorders in society increase in proportion to the number of people, and the opposition of private interests. If we consult history, we shall find them increasing in every state with the extent of dominion. In political arithmetic, it is necessary to substitute a calculation of probabilities to mathematical exactness. That force which continually impels us to our own private interest like gravity, acts incessantly, unless it meets with an obstacle to oppose it. The effects of this force are the confused series of human actions. Punishments, which I would call political obstacles, prevent the fatal effects of private interest, without destroying the impelling cause, which is that sensibility inseparable from man.

man. The legislator acts in this case like a skilful architect, who endeavours to counteract the force of gravity by combining the circumstances which may contribute to the strength of his edifice.—The necessity of uniting in fociety being granted, together with the conventions which the opposite interests of individuals must necessarily require, a scale of crimes may be formed; of which the first degree should confist of those which immediately tend to the diffolution of fociety; and the last, of the smallest possible injustice done to a private member of that fociety. If an equal punishment be ordained for two crimes that injure fociety in different degrees, there is nothing to deter men from committing the greater as often as it is attended with greater advantages.

BECCARIA:

# THE INFLUENCE OF THE CROWN IN THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT.

THE influence of the crown has perhaps not been industriously augmented in a view to undermine the fabric of civil liberty: it appears rather to have infensibly arisen to its present pitch, from the increase of empire and commerce, from the augmentation of our armies, navies, debts, and revenues. But refer its origin to what cause you please, its existence is certain, and its tendency

dency obvious. In the hands of a wife and good prince, this influence may not be prejudicial; but the freedom of a people should not depend on the accidental good disposition of the prince. It is our duty by focial compact to be loyal; it is our right by nature to be free. When the fervility of the Roman Senate had given up to Augustus the liberties of the state, the people enjoyed under him a mild and moderate government; but did they do the fame under Tiberius, Caligula, Nero, Domitian, and many other weak and wicked princes who fucceeded him? Rome was once free. France heretofore had the three estates which were the guardians of its liberty. Spain had many rights and privileges, of which nothing now but the shadow remains. Denmark and Sweden had once constitutions something like that of England; but all these countries have been enflaved by their own corruption.

THE ORIGIN OF BARBAROUS AND RIDICU-LOUS CUSTOMS IN VARIOUS AGES AND Nations.

Some maintain, that we have an idea of virtue absolutely independent of different ages and government; and that virtue is always one and the same. Others maintain, on the contrary, that every nation forms a different idea of virtue, and

confequently that the idea of virtue is merely arbitrary. These two philosophical sects are deceived; but they would both have escaped error, had they with an attentive eye confidered the history of the world. They would then have perceived, that time must necessarily produce in the physical and moral world revolutions that change the face of empires; that in the great catastrophes of kingdoms the people always experience great changes; that the fame actions may fuccessively become useful and prejudicial. and confequently by turns assume the name of virtuous and vicious: for by the word virtue can only be understood a desire of the general happiness, and the object of virtue is the public wellfare, and the actions it enjoins are the means it makes use of to accomplish that end: and therefore the idea of virtue is not arbitrary; but in different ages and countries all men, at least those who live in fociety, ought to form the same idea of it: and, in short, if the people represent it under different forms, it is because they take for virtue the various means they employ to accomplish the end .-- However stupid we suppose mankind, it is certain, that, enlightened by their own interest, they have not without motives adopted the ridiculous customs we find among some of them: the fantasticalness of these customs proceeds then from the diversity of the in-Vol. I.

terests of different nations; and in fact, if they have always, though confusedly, understood by the word virtue the defire of the public happinefs; if they have confequently given the name of virtuous only to actions of public utility; and if the idea of utility has always been fecretly connected with the idea of virtue; we may affert, that the most ridiculous, and even the most barbarous customs, have always had for their foundation either a real or apparent utility.-Theft was permitted at Sparta: they only punished the awkwardness of the thief. By the laws of Lycurgus. and the contempt for gold and filver in that country, few things could be stolen; and these thefts inured the Lacedemonians to a habit of courage and vigilance, who could only oppofe these virtues to the ambition of the Persians and the treachery of the Ilotes. It is therefore certain, that theft, which is always prejudicial to a rich people, was of use to Sparta.

At the end of winter, when hunger calls the favage to the chase, there are some savage nations who massacre all the old and infirm men which are unable to sustain the satigues of hunting: were they lest in their cabins or in the forests, they would fall a prey to hunger or the wild beasts; they, therefore, choose rather to preserve them from those dreadful missortunes by a speedy and a necessary parricide. And this execrable custom origi-

nates

nates from the same principle of humanity that makes us look upon it with horror. -- But without having recourse to favage nations, let us direct our views to China: If it be asked why an absolute authority is there given to fathers over the lives of their children? we find that the lands of that empire, how extensive soever they are, cannot fometimes furnish sublistence for the numerous inhabitants. Now, as the too great disproportion between the multiplicity of men and the fertility of the lands would necessarily occasion wars fatal to that empire, we see that intime of famine, and to prevent an infinite number of murders and unnecessary misfortunes, the Chinese nation, humane in its intentions, but barbarous in the choice of the means, has through a fentiment of humanity, though a mistaken one, confidered the permission to murder their infants as necessary to the repose of the empire. We facrifice, fay they, for this purpose some unfortunate victims, from whom infancy and ignorance conceal the horrors of death, in which perhaps confift its most formidable terrors.--It was equally a motive of public utility, and the defire of protecting modest beauty, that formerly engaged the Swiss to publish an edict, by which it was not only permitted, but even ordained, that each priest should provide himself a concubine.

P 2 These

These examples might be multiplied without end; and all would concur to prove, that cuftoms, even the most foolish and barbarous, have always their fource in the real or apparent utility of the public. But it is faid, that these customs are not on this account the less odious or ridiculous. It is true, but it is only, because we are ignorant of the motives of their establishment; and because these customs, confecrated by antiquity and fuperstition, subfist, by the negligence or weakness of governments, long after the causes of their establishment are removed. customs that procure only transient advantages, are like feaffolds that should be pulled down when the palaces are raifed. The interest of states, like all human things, is fubject to a thousand revolutions. The fame laws and the fame cuftoms become fuccessively useful and prejudicial to the same people; from whence we may conclude, that those laws ought by turns to be adopted and rejected, and that the fame actions ought fuccessively to be named virtuous and vicious: a proposition that cannot be denied, without confessing that there are actions which at one and the fame time are virtuous and prejudicial to the state, and consequently without sapping the foundations of all government and all fociety. HELVETIUS.

D.

# Locke's Opinion concerning DARKNESS considered.

TT is Mr Locke's opinion, that darkness is not naturally an idea of terror; and that though an excessive light is painful to the sense, that the greatest excess of darkness is noways trouble-He observes, indeed, in another place, that a nurse or an old woman, having once associated the idea of ghosts and goblins with that of darkness, night ever after becomes painful and horrible to the imagination. The authority of this great man is doubtless as great as that of any man can be. But it feems that an affociation of a more general nature, an affociation which takes in all mankind, may make darkness terrible: for in utter darkness it is impossible to know in what degree of fafety we stand; we are ignorant of the objects which furround us; we may every moment strike against some dangerous obstruction; we may fall down a precipice the first step we take; and if an enemy approach, we know not in what quarter to defend ourselves. In such a case strength is no sure protection; wisdom can only act by guess; the boldest are staggered; and he who would pray for nothing else towards his desence, is forced to pray for light.

Ζευ ταθέρ, αλλα συρυσαι απ κερος υιας Αχαιων Ποισον δ'αιθρην; δος δ' οφθαλμοισεν ιδεσθαε Εν δε φαει και ολεσσον.

As to the affociation of ghosts and goblins, furely it is more natural to think that darkness being originally an idea of terror, was chosen as a fit scene for such terrible rerpesentations, than that such representations have made darkness terrible. The mind of man very easily slides into an error of the former fort; but it is very hard to imagine that the effect of an idea, so universally terrible in all times and in all countries, as darkness, could possibly have been owing to a set of idle stories, or to any cause of a nature so trivial and of an operation so precarious.

Perhaps it may appear on inquiry, that blackness and darkness are in some degree painful by their natural operation, independent of any associations whatsoever. It must be observed, that the ideas of darkness and blackness are much the same; and they differ only in this, that blackness is a more confined idea. Dr Chefelden has given us a very curious story of a boy who had been born blind, and continued fo until he was thirteen or fourteen years old. He was then couched for a cataract; by which operation he received his fight. Among many remarkable particulars that attended his first perceptions and judgments on vifual objects, Chefelden tells us, that the first time the boy saw a black object it gave him great uneafiness; and that some time after, upon accidentally feeing a negro woman, he was struck with great horror at the fight. The horror, in this case, can scarcely be suppofed to arise from any affociation. The boy appears by the account to have been particularly obferving and fenfible for one of his age; and therefore it is probable, if the great uneafiness he felt at the first fight of black had arisen from its connection with any other difagreeable ideas, he would have observed and mentioned it: For an idea, difagreeable only by affociation, has the cause of its ill effect on the passions, evident enough at the first impression. In ordinary cases it is indeed frequently 101t; but this is because the original affociation was made very early, and the confequent impression repeated often. In this instance there was no time for such an habit; and there is no reason to think that the ill effects of black on his imagination were more owing to its connection with any difagreeable ideas, than that the good

good effects of more cheerful colours were derived from their connection with pleafing ones. They had both probably their effects from their natural operation.

It may be worth while to examine how darkness can operate in such a manner as to cause pain. It is observable, that still as we recede from the light, nature has fo contrived it, that the pupil is enlarged by the retiring of the iris in proportion to our recess. Now, instead of declining from it but a little, fuppose that we withdraw entirely from the light: it is reasonable to think that the contraction of the radial fibres of the iris is proportionably greater; and that this part by great darkness may come to be so contracted, as to strain the nerves that compose it beyond their natural tone, and by this means to produce a painful fenfation. Such a tenfion, it feems, there certainly is whilft we are involved in darknefs; for in fuch a state, while the eye remains open, there is a continual nifus to receive light: This is manifest from the flashes and luminous appearances which often feem in these circumstances to play before it, and which can be nothing but the effect of spalms produced by its own efforts in pursuit of its object. Several other strong impulses will produce the idea of light in the eye besides the fubstance of light itself, as we experience on many occasions. Though the circular ring of the iris

iris be in some sense a sphincter, which may posfibly be dilated by a fimple relaxation; yet in one respect it differs from most of the sphincters of the body, that it is furnished with antagonist muscles, which are the radial fibres of the iris. No fooner does the circular mufcle begin to relax, than these fibres, wanting their counterpoise, are forcibly drawn back, and open the pupil to a confiderable wideness. But though we were not apprifed of this, every one, it is to be prefumed, will find, if he opens his eyes and makes an effort to fee in a dark place, that a very perceivable pain enfues. It hath also been a complaint of fome ladies, that after having worked a long time upon a ground of black, their eyes were fo pained and weakened they could hardly fee. It may perhaps be objected to this theory of the mechanical effect of darkness, that the ill effects of darkness or blackness feem rather mental than corporeal: and it is true that they do fo; and fo do all those that depend on the affections of the finer parts of our fystem.

The ill effects of bad weather appear no otherwife than in a melancholy and dejection of of spirits; though without doubt, in this case, the bodily organs suffer first, and the mind through these organs.

BURKE.

DEITY

## DEITY.

A PURPOSE, an intention, a defign, strikes every where the most careless thinker; and no man can be fo hardened in abfurd fystems as at all times to reject it .- That nature does nothing in vain, is a maxim established in all the schools, merely from the contemplation of the books of nature, without any religious purpose: and from a firm conviction of its truth, an anatomist, who had observed a new organ or canal, would never be fatisfied till he had discovered its use and intention.-One great foundation of the Copernican fystem is the maxim, that nature acts by the simplest methods, and chooses the most proper means to any end. and aftronomers, without thinking of it, often lay this strong foundation of piety and religion.-The fame thing is observable in other parts of philosophy: And thus all the sciences almost lead us insensibly to acknowledge a first intelligent Author; and their authority is often fo much the greater, as they do not directly profess that intention.—It is with pleasure I hear Galen reason concerning the structure of the human body .-- The anatomy of a man, fays he, difcovers above 600 different muscles; and whoever duly confiders these will find, that in each of them nature must have adjusted at least ten different circumstances in order to attain the end which the proposed; proper figure, just magnitude, right disposition of the several ends, upper and lower position of the whole, the due insertion of the feveral nerves, veins, and arteries; fo that in the muscles alone, above 6000 several views and intentions must have been formed and executed. The bones he calculates to be 284.—The distinct purposes aimed at in the structure of each above forty.-What a prodigious difplay of artifice even in these simple and homogeneous parts! But if we consider the skin, ligaments, vessels, glandules, humours, the feveral limbs and members of the body, how must our astonishment rise upon us, in proportion to the number and intricacy of the parts so artificially adjusted? The further we advance in these researches, we discover new scenes of art and wisdom; but descry at a distance further scenes beyond our reach, in the fine internal structure of the parts, in the œconomy of the brain, in the fabric of the feminal veffels.-All these artifices are repeated in every different species of animal with wonderful variety and with exact propriety, fuited to the different intentions of nature in framing each species .-And if the infidelity of Galen, even when thefe natural sciences were still imperfect, could not withstand such striking appearances; to what pitch of pertinacious obstinacy must a philosopher

in this age have attained, who can now doubt of a Supreme Intelligence? Could I meet with a man of this kind, I would ask him, Supposing there were a God who did not discover himself immediately to the senses; were it possible for him to give stronger proofs of his existence, than what appear on the whole face of nature? What indeed could such a divine being do, except copy the prefent œconomy of things; render many of his artifices fo plain, that no stupidity could mistake them; afford glimples of still greater artifices, which demonstrate this prodigious superiority above our narrow apprehensions; and conceal altogether a great many from fuch imperfect creatures? Now, according to all rules of just reasoning, every fact must pass for undisputed, when it is supported by all the arguments which its nature admits of; even though these arguments be not very forcible or numerous: how much more in the prefent case, where no human imagination can compute their number, and no understanding estimate their cogency?—The comparison of the universe to a machine is so obvious and natural. and is justified by so many instances of order and defign in nature, that it must immediately strike all unprejudiced apprehensions, and procure univerfal approbation.-That the works of nature bear a great analogy to the productions of art,

is evident; and according to all the rules of good reasoning we ought to infer, if we argue at all concerning them, that their causes have a proportional analogy.-But as there are also considerable differences, we have reason to suppose a proportional difference in the causes; and in particular ought to attribute a much higher degree of power and energy to the Supreme Cause than any we have ever observed in mankind.—Here then the existence of a Deity is plainly ascertained by reason: and if we make it a question. Whether on account of these analogies we can properly call him a Mind or Intelligence, notwithstanding the vast difference which may reafonably be supposed between him and human minds; what is this but a mere verbal controverfy? No man can deny the analogies between the effects: to restrain ourselves from inquiring concerning the causes is scarcely possible. this inquiry the legitimate conclusion is, that the causes have also an analogy: and if we are not contented with calling the first and supreme cause a God or Deity, but desire to vary the expression, what can we call him but Mind or Thought, to which he is justly supposed to bear a confiderable refemblance? So that this controversy is a dispute of words. HUME.

Vol. I.

Q

t

ON

## On the same Subject.

TO discover a Deity, mankind must open the facred volume of God's works; confider the obvious fitness of every cause to produce its effect; the proof which this affords of intention and defign; the harmony and order which prevails wherever we have clear and perfect views; and the invariable certainty with which virtue and happiness arise to individuals and nations from the laws of this order. Let them go one step, and one step only, into the region of analogy and imagination; let them suppose these great qualities-these intentions, this design, this goodness, not to be scattered through the universe, but to belong to one being who actuates it; and they will know all that can possibly be known of God. Beware of trusting your imagination one moment longer. She has foared her utmost height; and every effort she makes will be towards the earth, and will generate error and abfurdity. You are to glance only by the utmost exertion of your abilities at that Being who is incomprehenfible; and you are to be fatisfied with few and general ideas on fo great a fubject .- When a man has obtained general proofs, that the universe is replete with the effects of wisdom, directed to the happiness of its inhabitants.

tants, he has all the knowledge he can ever have of God. All his further inquiries, when judiciously made, will only furnish additional evidence to the same general truth. But whether he be Nature itself, or a principle distinct from and animating it; whether he consist of matter or fpirit, whether he be infinite space or a mathematical point; whether he be undefinable and have no form, or have any determinate figure, and refide in a particular place? these are ridiculous and mischievous questions; because we have no possibility of being informed on the subjects of them; because they mislead us from truth, the principle of virtue, to visions and errors, the principles of vice; they create differences, generate divisions, and destroy the general harmony and benevolence which were defigned to reign through the whole universe.—All nature is an altar to the unknown God.

WILLIAMS.

## THE IDEA AND BELIEF OF A GOD, NOT INNATE.

The belief of an invisible, intelligent Power has been very generally diffused over the human race in all places and in all ages; but it has neither, perhaps, been so universal as to admit of no exceptions, nor has it been in any degree uni
Q 2 from

form in the ideas which it has fuggested. Some nations have been discovered, who entertain no fentiments of religion, if travellers and hiftorians may be credited; and no two nations, and scarce any two men, have ever agreed precisely in the fame fentiments. It would appear, then, that this preconception springs not from an original instinct or primary impression of Nature; fince every instinct of that kind must be absolutely univerfal in all nations and ages, and must have always a precife determinate object which it inflexibly purfues. The first religious principles, therefore, are fecondary; fuch as may eafily be perverted by various accidents and caufes, and whose operation, too, in some cases, may by an extraordinary concurrence of circunstances, be altogether prevented. HUME.

## THE WORSHIP OF THE DEITY.

TO know God, fays Seneca, is to worship him. All other worship is indeed absurd, superstitious, and even impious.—It degrades him to the low condition of mankind, who are delighted with intreaty, solicitation, presents, and slattery.—Yet is this impiety the smallest of which superstition is guilty.—Commonly it depresses the Deity far below the condition of mankind; and represents him as a capricious demon, who exercises

cifes his power without reason and without humanity !- And were the Divine Being disposed to be offended at the vices and follies of filly mortals, who are his own workmanship, ill would it furely fare with the votaries of most popular fuperstitions.-Nor would any of the human race merit his favour, but a very few, the philosophical Theifts, who entertain fuitable notions of his divine perfections: as the only perfons intitled to his compassion and indulgence would be the philosophical Sceptics, a sect almost equally rare; who from a natural diffidence of their own capacity, fuspend, or endeavour to suspend, all judgment with regard to fuch sublime and such extraordinary fubjects. HUME.

## DELICACY OF TASTE AND OF PASSION.

Some people are subject to a certain delicacy of passion, and others enjoy a delicacy of taste. The first quality makes them extremely sensible to all the accidents of life, and gives them a lively joy upon every prosperous event, as well as a piercing grief when they meet with missortunes and adversity. Favours and good offices easily engage their friendship; while the smallest injury provokes their resentment. Any honour or mark of distinction elevates them above mea-

fure; but they are fenfibly touched with contempt.

Delicacy of taste much resembles this delicacy of passion, and produces the same sensibility to beauty and deformity of every kind as that does to prosperity and adversity, obligations and injuries. When you present a poem or a picture to a man possessed of this talent, the delicacy of his feeling makes him be touched very fenfibly with every part of it; nor are the masterly strokes perceived with more exquisite relish and fatisfaction, than the negligences or abfurdities with difgust and uneasiness. A polite and judicious conversation affords him the highest entertainment; rudeness or impertinence is a great punishment to him.-Delicacy of passion gives us more lively enjoyments as well as more pungent forrows than are felt by men of cool and fedate tempers: but when every thing is balanced, there is no one who would not, perhaps, rather be of the latter character, were he entirely master of his own difposition. Good or ill fortune is very little at our disposal: and when a person, that has this senfibility of temper, meets with any misfortune, his forrow or refentment takes entire possession of him, and deprives him of all relish in the contmon occurrences of life; the right enjoyment of which forms the chief part of our happiness. Not to mention that men of fuch lively passions

are apt to be transported beyond all bounds of prudence and difcretion, and to take false steps in the conduct of life, which are often irretrievable.-Delicacy of tafte has also the same effect as delicacy of passion: it enlarges the sphere both of our happiness and misery, and makes us fenfible to pains as well as pleafures which escape the rest of mankind.—A delicacy of taste is favourable to love and friendship, by confining our choice to few people, and making us indifferent to the company and conversation of the greatest part of mankind. Mere men of the world, whatever ftrong fense they may be endowed with, are feldom very nice in diftinguishing characters, or marking those insensible differences and gradations which make one man preferable to another. Any one that has competent fense is sufficient for their entertainment. But one that has well digested his knowledge both of books and men, has little enjoyment but in the company of a few felect companions. He feels too fenfibly, how much all the rest of mankind fall short of the notions which he has entertained.

How far delicacy of taste and that of passion are connected together in the original frame of the mind, it is hard to determine. However, there appears a very considerable connection between them; but notwithstanding this connection, delicacy of taste is as much to be desired and culti-

vated,

vated, as delicacy of passion is to be lamented, and to be remedied if possible. The good or ill accidents of life are very little at our disposal; but we are pretty much masters what books we shall read, what diversions we shall partake of, and what company we shall keep.

Hume.

## DELIRIUMS.

DELIRIUMS fometimes attend difeafes, especially acute ones. In these a disagreeable state is introduced into the nervous fystem by the bodily diforder, which checks the rife of pleafant affociations, and gives force and quickness to difgustful ones; and which confequently would of itself alone, if sufficient in degree, vitiate and diflort all the reasonings of the fick person. But befides this, it feems that in deliriums attending distempers, a vivid train of visible images forces itself upon the patient's eye; and that either from a diforder of the nerves and blood-veffels of the eye itself, or from one in the brain or one in the alimentary duct; or, which is most probable, from a concurrence of all thefe. It feems also, that the wild discourse of delirious persons is accommodated to this train in some imperfect manner; and that it becomes fo wild, partly from the incoherence of the parts of this train, partly from its not expressing even this incoherent train adequately,

quately, but deviating into fuch phrases as the vibrations excited by the distemper in parts of the brain corresponding to the auditory nerves, or in parts still more internal, and consequently the seats of ideas purely intellectual, produce by their associated influence over the organs of speech.

That delirious persons have such trains forced upon the eye from internal causes, appears probable from hence; that when they first begin to be delirious and talk wildly, it is generally at those times only when they are in the dark, fo as to have all visible objects excluded: for upon bringing a candle to them, and prefenting common objects, they recover themselves, and talk rationally till the candle be removed again. From hence we may conclude, that the real objects overpower the visible train from internal causes, while the delirium is in its infancy; and that the patient relapfes as foon as he is shut up in the dark, because the visible train from internal causes overpowers that which would rife up, was the person's nervous system in a natural state, according to the usual course of affociation and the recurrent recollection of the place and circumstances in which he is situated. By degrees the visible train from internal causes, grows so vivid by the increase of the distemper, as even to overpower the impressions from real objects, at least frequently and in a great degree, and fo as to intermix

termix itself with them, and to make an inconfistency in the words and actions: and thus the patient becomes quite delirious.

Perfons inclining to be delirious in diffempers, are most apt to be so going to sleep, and in waking from sleep; in which circumstances the visible trains are more vivid than when we are quite awake.

It casts also some light on this subject, that tea and coffee will sometimes occasion such trains; and that they arise in our first attempts to sleep after those liquors.

As death approaches, the deliriums attending diseases abound with far more incoherencies and inconsistencies than any other species of alienations of the mind, the natural result of the entire disorder of the nervous system. However, there are some cases of death where the nervous system continues free from disorder to the last, as far as the by-standers can judge.

HARTLEY.

### DELUGE.

THAT ever the whole globe was at one time totally overflowed with water, is physically impossible. The sea may have covered all parts successively one after the other; and this could be only in a gradation so very slow, as to take up a

prodigious number of ages. The fea, in the space of five hundred years, has withdrawn from Aiguesmortes, from Frejus, and from Ravenna, once large ports, leaving about two leagues of land quite dry. This progression shows, that to make the circuit of the globe, it would require two millions two hundred thousands years. A very remarkable circumstance is, that this period comes very near to that which the earth's axis would take up in raifing itfelf again and coinciding with the equator. A motion fo far from improbable, that for these fifty years past some apprehension has been entertained of it; but it cannot be accomplished under two millions three hundred thousand years.—The strata or beds of shells every where found, fixty, eighty, and even a hundred leagues from the sea, prove beyond all dispute, that it has infenfibly deposited those maritime products on ground which was once its shores: but that the water at one and the fame time covered the whole earth, is a physical absurdity, which the laws of gravitation, as well as those of fluids, and the deficiency of the quantity of water, demonstrates to be impossible. The universal deluge was a miracle.

Vol TAIRE.

#### DESTINY.

THE world fubfifts either by its own nature, by its physical laws, or a Supreme Being has formed it by his primitive laws. In either case these laws are immutable; in either case every thing is necessary. Heavy bodies gravitate towards the centre of the earth, and cannot tend to remain in the air; pear-trees can never bear pine-apples; the instinct of a spaniel can never be the instinct of an ostrich; every thing is arranged, fet in motion, and limited.-Man can have but a certain number of teeth, hair, and ideas; and a time comes when he necessarily loses them. It is a contradiction that what was yesterday has not been, and what is to-day should not be: No less a contradiction is it that a thing which is to be should not come to pass.—If thou could give a turn to the destiny of a fly, I see no reason why thou mightest not as well determine the destiny of all other slies, of all other animals, of all men, and of all nature; fo that at laft thou wouldst be more powerful than God himfelf.—It is common for weak people to fay, Such a phyfician has cured a perfon of a dangerous illness; he has added to his life ten years. Others as weak, but in their own opinion very wife, fay, The prudent man owes his fortune to himfelf.

felf. But the prudent man oftentimes is crushed by his deftiny, instead of making it: it is their destiny that renders men prudent.-The phyfician has faved a person; allowed: But herein he certainly did not reverse the order of nature; he conformed to it. It is evident that the person could not hinder his being born in fuch a town, and having a certain illness at such a time; that the physician could be no where but in the town where he was; that the person was to send for him; and that he was to prescribe those medicines which effected the cure.—A peafant imagines that the hail which is fallen in his ground is purely matter of chance: but the philosopher knows that there is no fuch thing as chance; and that by the constitution of the world, it must neceffarily have hailed that day in that very place.

Some, alarmed at this truth, fay there are necesfary events, and others which are not so: but it would be odd indeed that one part of this world were fixed and not the other; that some things which happen were to happen, and that others which happen were not necessarily to happen. On a close examination, the doctrine which opposes that of destiny must appear loaded with absurdaties, and contrary to the idea of an eternal Providence. But many are destined to reason wrongly; others not to reason at all; and others to persecute those who do reason. Vol Taire.

Vol. I.

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

+ D I S-

#### DISCRETION.

THE quality the most necessary for the execution of any ufeful enterprize is discretion; by which we carry on a fafe intercourse with others; give due attention to our own and to their character; weigh each circumstance of the business which we undertake; and employ the furest and fafest means for the attainment of any end or purpose. To a Cromwell, perhaps, or a De Retz, diferetion may appear an alderman-like virtue as Dr Swift calls it; and being incompatible with those vast designs to which their courage and ambition prompted them, it might really in them be a fault or imperfection. But in the conduct of ordinary life, no virtue is more requisite, not only to obtain fuccess, but to avoid the most fatai miscarriages and disappointments. The greatest parts without it, as observed by an elegant writer, may be fatal to their owner: as Polyphemus, deprived of his eye, was only the more exposed on account of his enormons strength and stature.

The best character, indeed, were it not rather too perfect for human nature, is that which is not fwayed by temper of any kind; but alternately employs enterprise and caution, as each is useful to the particular purpose intended. Such is the excellence which St Evremond afcribes to Mareschal

Marefchal Turenne, who displayed, every campaign as he grew older, more temerity in his military enterprises; and being now, from long experience, perfectly acquainted with every incident in war, he advanced with greater firmness and security in a road so well known to him. Fabius, says Machiavel, was cautious; Scipio enterprising: and both succeeded; because the situation of Roman affairs, during the command of each, was peculiarly adapted to his genius; but both would have failed had these situations been reversed. He is happy whose circumstances suit his temper; but he is more excellent who can suit his temper to any circumstances.

HUME.

#### DIVISIBILITY OF MATTER.

In matter we have no clear ideas of the finallness of parts much beyond the smallest that occurs to any of our senses: and therefore when we talk of the divisibility of matter in infinitum, though we have clear ideas of division and divisibility, and have also clear ideas of parts made out of a whole by division; yet we have but very obscure and confused ideas of corpuscles or minute bodies so to be divided, when by former divisions they are reduced to a smallness much exceeding the perception of any of our senses; and so all that

we have clear and diffinct ideas of, is of what division in general or abstractedly is, and the relation of totum and pars: But of the bulk of the body, to be thus infinitely divided after certain progressions, I think we have no clear nor distinct idea at all. For I ask any one, Whether taking the fmallest atom of dust he ever faw, he has any distinct idea (bating still the number which concerns extension) betwixt the 100,000th, and the 1,000,000th part of it? Or if he thinks he can refine his ideas to that degree without lofing fight of them, let him add ten cyphers to each of thefe numbers. Such a degree of fmalness is not unreasonable to be supposed; since a division carried on fo far brings it no nearer the end of infinite division than the first division in two halves does. I must confess, that I have no clear diffinct ideas of the different bulk or extension of those bodies; having but a very obscure one of either of them. So that I think, when we talk of division of bodies in infinitum, our ideas of their diftinct bulks, which is the fubject and foundation of division, comes after a little progression to be confounded and almost lost in obscurity. For that idea which is to represent only bigness, must be very obscure and confused which we cannot diffinguish from one ten times as big but only by number: So that we have clear distinct ideas, we may fay, of ten and one, but no difdistinct ideas of two such extensions. It is plain from hence, that when we talk of infinite divisibility of body or extension, our distinct and clear ideas are only of numbers.

LOCKE.

#### DIVORCE AND REPUDIATION.

THERE is this difference between a divorce and a repudiation, that the former is made by mutual confent arifing from a mutual antipathy; while the latter is formed by the will and for the advantage of one of the two parties, independently of the will and advantage of the other.

The necessity there is sometimes for women to repudiate, and the difficulty there always is in doing it, render that law very tyrannical which gives this right to men, without granting it to women. A husband is the master of the house; he has a thousand ways of confining his wife to her duty, or of bringing her back to it: So that, in his hands, it seems as if repudiation could be only a fresh abuse of power. But a wife who repudiates, only makes use of a dreadful kind of remedy. It is always a great missfortune for her to go in search of a second husband, when she has lost the most part of her attractions with another. One of the advantages attending the charms of youth in the semale sex is, that in an

advanced age the husband is led to complacency and love by the remembrance of past pleasures.

It is, then, a general rule, that in all countries where the laws have given to men the power of repudiating, they ought also to grant it to women. Nay, in climates where women live in domestic slavery, one would think that the law ought to favour women with the right of repudiation, and husbands only with that of divorce.

When wives are confined in a feraglio, the husband ought not to repudiate on account of an opposition of manners; it is the husband's fault if their manners are incompatible.

Repudiation on account of the barrenness of the woman ought never to take place but where there are many; this is of no importance to the husband.

The law of the Maldivians permitted them to take a wife whom they had repudiated. A law of Mexico forbad their being reunited under pain of death. The law of Mexico was more rational than that of the Maldivians: at the time even of the diffolution, it tended to the perpetuity of marriage. Instead of this, the law of the Maldivians feemed equally to sport with marriage and repudiation.

The law of Mexico admitted only of divorce. This was a particular reason for their not permitting those who were voluntarily separated to be ever reunited. Repudiation feems chiefly to proceed from a hastiness of temper, and from the dictates of passion; while divorce appears to be an affair of deliberation.

Divorces are frequently of great political use: but as to the civil utility, they are established only for the advantage of the husband and wise; and are not always favourable to their children.

Montesquieu.

## DOTAGE.

THE dotage of old persons is oftentimes something more than a mere decay of memory: For they mistake things present for others; and their discourse is often foreign to the objects that are presented to them. However, the imperfection of their memories, in respect of impressions just made, or at short intervals of past time, is one principal fource of their mistakes. One may suppose here, that the part of the brain which receives ideas is decayed in a peculiar manner, perhaps from too great use; while the parts appropriated to the natural, vital, and animal motions, remained tolerably perfect. The finuses of the brain are probably confiderably diftended in thefe cases, and the brain itself in a languishing state; for there feems to be a confiderable refemblance between the inconfishencies of some kinds of

dotage and those of dreams. Besides which, it may be observed, that in dotage the person is often fluggish and lethargic; and that as a defect of the nutritive faculty in the brain will permit the finuses to be more easily distended, so a diftension of the finuses from this or any other cause may impede the due nutrition of the brain. We fee that in old perfons all the parts, even the bones themselves, waste and grow less. may not this happen to the brain, the origin of all, and arife from an obstruction of the infinitely fmall vessels of the nervous system; this obstruction causing such a degree of opacity, as greatly to abate, or even destroy, the powers of affociation and memory? When old persons relate the incidents of their youth with great precision, it is rather owing to the memory of many preceding memories, recollections, and relations, than to the memory of the thing itself.

HARTLEY.

#### DREAMS.

WE have many striking instances of dreaming in men and animals. The poet verifies, the mathematician views figures, the metaphysician reafons, and the dog hunts in his dreams. Is this the action of the body's organs, or is it merely the soul, which, now freed from the power of the fenses,

fenses, acts in the full enjoyment of its properties? If the organs alone produce our dreams by night, why not our ideas by day? If it be merely the foul acting of itfelf, and quiet by the fuspension of the senses, which is the only cause and fubject of all our fleeping ideas; whence is it that they are almost ever irrational, irregular, and incoherent? Can it be that, in the time of the foul's most abstract quietude, its imagination would be the most confused? Is it fantastical when free? Were it born with metaphyfical ideas, as fome writers, who were troubled with waking dreams, have affirmed, its pure and luminous ideas of being, of infinitude, and of all primary principles, naturally should awake in her with the greatest energy when the body is sleeping, and men should philosophise best in their dreams.--Whatever fystem you espouse, however you may labour to prove that memory stirs the brain, and your brain your foul; you must allow that, in all your ideas in sleep, you are entirely pasfive; your will has no share in those images. Thus it is clear, that you can think feven or eight hours on a stretch, without having the least inclination to think, and even without being certain that you do think. Confider this, and tell me what is man's compound? Superstition has always dealt much in dreams; nothing, indeed, was more natural. A man deeply concerned about

about his mistress who lies ill, dreams that he sees her dying; and the next day she actually dies: then, to be sure, God had given him previous knowledge of his beloved's death.—A commander of an army dreams much of gaining a battle; gains it: then the gods had intimated to him that he should be conqueror.—It is only such dreams as meet with some accomplishment that are taken notice of; the others we think not worth remembrance. Dreams make full as great a part of ancient history as oracles.

Somnia quæ ludunt animos volitantibus umbris, Non delubra deum, nec ab æthere numina mittunt, Sed sua quisque facit.

VOLTAIRE.

#### FEMALE DRESS.

IT is well known that a loose and easy dress contributes much to give both sexes those fine proportions of body that are observable in the Grecian statues, and which serve as models to our present artists; nature being too much dissigured among us to afford them any such. The Greeks knew nothing of those Gothic shackles, that multiplicity of ligatures and bandages, with which our bodies are compressed. Their women were ignorant of the use of whalebone stays, by which ours distort their shape instead of displaying it.

This practice, carried to fo great an excess as it is in England, must in time degenerate the species, and is an instance of bad taste. Can it be a pleafing fight to behold a woman cut in two in the middle, as it were, like a wafp? on the contrary, it is as shocking to the eye as it is painful to the imagination. A fine shape, like the limbs, hath its due fize and proportion; a diminution of which is certainly a defect. Such a deformity also would be shocking in a naked figure; wherefore then should it be esteemed a beauty in one that is dreffed?-Every thing that confines and lays nature under a restraint, is an instance of bad taste: This is as true in regard to the ornaments of the body as to the embellishments of the mind. Life, health, reason, and convenience, ought to be taken first into confideration. Gracefulness cannot fubfift without eafe; delicacy is not debility; nor must a woman be sick in order to please. Infirmity and fickness may excite our pity; but defire and pleafure require the bloom and vigour of health. ROUSSEAU.

#### DURATION.

IT is evident to any one who will but observe what passes in his own mind, that there is a train of ideas which constantly succeed one another in his understanding as long as he is awake. Reflection on these appearances of several ideas, one after another in our minds, is that which furnishes us with the idea of succession: and the distance between any parts of that succession, or between the appearance of any two ideas in our minds, is that we call duration. For whilst we are thinking, or whilst we receive successively several ideas in our mind, we know that we do exist, and so we call the existence, or the comtinuation of the existence of ourselves, or any thing esse, commensurate to the succession of any ideas in our minds, the duration of ourselves, or any other thing coexisting with our thinking.

That we have our notion of fuccession and duration from this original, viz. from reflection on the train of ideas, which we find to appear one after another in our own minds, feems plain to me, in that we have no perception of duration, but by confidering the train of ideas that take their turns in our understanding. When that fuccession of ideas ceases, our perception of duration ceases with it; which every one clearly experiences in himself whilst he sleeps foundly, whether an hour, or a day, or a month, or a year; of which duration of things, whilft he fleeps, or thinks not, he has no perception at all, but it is quite loft to him; and the moment wherein he leaves off to think, until the moment he begins to think again, feems to him to have no diffance. distance. And so I doubt not but it will be to a waking man, if it were possible for him to keep only one idea in his mind, without variation, and the fuccession of others. And we see, that one who fixes his thoughts very intently on one thing, fo as to take but little notice of the fuccession of ideas that pass in his mind whilst he is taken up with that earnest contemplation, lets slip out of his account a good part of that duration, and thinks that time shorter than it is. But if fleep commonly unites the diftant parts of duration, it is because during that time we have no fuccession of ideas in our minds. For if a man during his fleep dreams, and variety of ideas make themselves perceptible in his mind one after another, he hath then, during fuch a dreaming, a fense of duration, and of the length of it. By which it is to me very clear, that men derive their ideas of duration from their reflection on the train of the ideas they observe to succeed one another in their own understandings; without which obfervation they can have no notion of duration, whatever may happen in the world.

LOCKE.

E.

# ECCLESIASTICAL POWER AND ITS INFLUENCE.

IN all Christian churches the benefices of the clergy are a fort of freeholds, which they enjoy, not during pleasure, but during life or good behaviour. If they held them by a more precarious tenure, and were liable to be turned out upon every slight disobligation either of the Sovereign or of his ministers, it would perhaps be impossible for them to maintain their authority with the people; who would then consider them as mercenary dependents upon the court, in the sincerity of whose instructions they could no longer have any considence. But should the Sovereign attempt irregularly, and by violence, to deprive any number of clergymen of their free-holds

holds on account perhaps of their having propagated, with more than ordinary zeal, fonce factious or feditious doctrine; he would only render by fuch perfecution both them and their doctrine ten times more popular, and therefore ten times more troublesome and dangerous than they had been before. Fear is in almost all cases a wretched instrument of government, and ought in particular never to be employed against any order of men who have the finallest pretentions to independency. To attempt to terrify them, ferves only to irritate their bad humour, and to confirm them in an opposition, which more gentle usage perhaps might easily induce them either to foften or to lay afide altogether. The violence which the French government usually employed in order to oblige all their parliaments, or fovereign courts of justice, to enregister any unpopular edict, very feldom fucceeded. The means commonly employed, however, the imprisonment of all the refractory members, one would think were forcible enough. The princes of the house of Stuart fometimes employed the like means in order to influence some of the members of the Parliament of England; and they generally found them equally intractable. The Parliament of England is now managed in another manner; and a very fmall experiment which the Duke of Choifeul made about twelve years ago upon the

Parliament of Paris, demonstrated fufficiently that all the Parliaments of France might have been managed fill more eafily in the fame manner. That experiment was not purfued. For though management and perfuasion are always the easiest and the safest instruments of government, as force and violence are the worst and the most dangerous; yet fuch, it feems, is the natural infolence of man, that he almost always difdains to use the good instrument, except when he cannot or dare not use the bad one. The French government could and durft use force, and therefore disdained to use management and persuasion. But there is no order of men, it appears, I believe, from the experience of all ages, upon whom it is fo dangerous, or rather fo pefectly ruinous, to employ force and violence, as upon the respected clergy of any established church. The rights, the privileges, the perfonal liberty of every individual ecclefiaftic who is upon good terms with his own order, are even in the most despotic governments more respected than those of any other person of nearly equal rank and fortune. It is fo in every gradation of despotism, from that of the gentle and mild government of Paris, to that of the violent and furious government of Constantinople. But though this order of men can fcarce be ever forced, they may be managed as easily as any other; and the fecurity of the Sovereign, as well as the public public tranquillity, feems to depend very much upon the means which he has of managing them; and those means feem to consist altogether in the preferment which he has to bestow upon them.

In the ancient constitution of the Christian church, the bifhop of each diocefe was elected by the joint votes of the clergy and of the people of the Episcopal city. The people did not long retain their right of election; and while they did retain it, they almost always acted under the influence of the clergy, who in fuch spiritual matters appeared to be their natural guides. The clergy, however, foon grew weary of the trouble of managing them, and found it easier to elect their own bishops themselves. The abbot in the same manner was elected by the monks of the monaftery, at least in the greater part of abbacies. All the inferior ecclefiaftical benefices comprehended within the diocese were collated by the bishop, who bestowed them upon such ecclesiastics as he thought proper. All church preferments were in this manner in the disposal of the church. Sovereign, though he might have some indirect influence in those elections, and though it was fometimes usual to ask both his consent to elect, and his approbation of the election, yet had no direct or fufficient means of managing the clergy. The ambition of every clergyman naturally led  $S_3$ 

him to pay court, not so much to his Sovereign, as to his own order, from which only he could expect preferment.

Through the greater part of Europe the Pope gradually drew to himself, first the collation of almost all bishoprics and abbacies, or of what were called Confiftorial benefices; and afterwards, by various machinations and pretences, of the greater part of inferior benefices comprehended within each diocefe; little more being left to the bishop than what was barely necessary to give him a decent authority with his own clergy. By this arrangement the condition of the Sovereign was still worse than it had been before. The clergy of all the different countries of Europe were thus formed into a fort of spiritual army; dispersed in different quarters indeed, but of which all the movements and operations could now be directed by one head, and conducted upon one uniform plan. The clergy of each particular country might be confidered as a particular detachment of that army, of which the operations could eafily be supported and seconded by all the other detachments quartered in the different countries round about. Each detatchment was not only independent of the Sovereign of the country in which it was quartered, and by which it was maintained, but dependent upon a foreign fovereign, who could at any time turn its arms against the Sovereign of that particular country, and support them by the arms of all the other detachments.

Those arms were the most formidable that can well be imagined. In the ancient state of Europe, before the establishment of arts and manufactures. the wealth of the clergy gave them the fame fort of influence over the common people, which that of the great barons gave them over their refpective vallals, tenants, and retainers. In the great landed estates, which the mistaken piety both of princes and private perfons had bestowed upon the church, jurisdictions were established of the same kind with those of the great barons; and for the fame reason. In those great landed estates, the clergy, or their bailiffs, could easily keep the peace without the support or affiftance either of the King or of any other person; and neither the King nor any other person could keep the peace there without the support and affishance of the clergy. The jurifdictions of the clergy, therefore, in their particular baronies or manors, were equally independent, and equally exclusive of the authority of the King's courts, as those of the great temporal lords. The tenants of the clergy were, like those of the great barons, almost all tenants at will, entirely dependent upon their immediate lords; and therefore liable to be called out at pleasure, in order to fight in any quarrel

212

in which the clergy might think proper to engage them. Over and above the rents of those estates, the clergy possessed, in the tythes, a very large portion of the rents of all the other estates in every kingdom of Europe. The revenues arifing from both those species of rents were, the greater part of them, paid in kind, in corn, wine, cattle, poultry, &c. The quantity exceeded greatly what the clergy could themselves consume; and there were neither arts nor manufactures for the produce of which they could exchange the furplus. The clergy could derive advantage from this immense surplus in no other way than by employing it, as the great barons employed the like furplus of their revenues, in the most profuse hospitality and in the most extensive charity. Both the hospitality and the charity of the ancient clergy, accordingly, are faid to have been very great. They not only maintained almost the whole poor of every kingdom, but many knights and gentlemen had frequently no other means of. fubfiltence than by travelling about from monaftery to monastery, under pretence of devotion, but in reality to enjoy the hospitality of the clergy. The retainers of some particular prelates were often as numerous as those of the greatest lay lords; and the retainers of all the clergy taken together were, perhaps, more numerous than those of all the lay lords. There was always

much

much more union among the clergy than among the lay-lords. 'The former were under a regular discipline and subordination to the papal authority: The latter were under no regular discipline or fubordination, but almost always equally jealous of one another, and of the King. Though the tenants and retainers of the clergy, therefore, had both together been less numerous than those of the great lay lords, and their tenants were probably much less numerous; yet their union would have rendered them more formidable. The hospitality and charity of the clergy, too, not only gave them the command of a great temporal force, but increased very much the weight of their spiritual weapons. Those virtues procured them the highest respect and veneration among all the inferior ranks of people; of whom many were constantly, and almost all occasionally, fed by them. Every thing belonging or relating to fo popular an order, its possessions, its privileges, its doctrines, necessarily appeared facred in the eyes of the common people; and every violation of them, whether real or pretended, the highest act of facrilegious wickedness and profaneness. In this state of things, if the Sovereign frequently found it difficult to refift the confederacy of a few of the great nobility, we cannot wonder that he should find it still more fo to refift the united force of the clergy of his

own dominions, supported by that of the clergy of all the neighbouring dominions. In such circumstances, the wonder is, not that he was sometimes obliged to yield, but that he ever was able to resist.

The privileges of the clergy in those ancient times (which to us who live in the prefent times appear the most absurd), their total exemption from the fecular jurisdiction, for example, or what in England was called the benefit of clergy, were the natural or rather the necessary confequences of this state of things. How dangerous must it have been for the Sovereign to attempt to punish a clergyman for any crime whatever, if his own order were disposed to protect him, and to represent either the proof as insufficient for convicting fo holy a man, or the punishment as too fevere to be inflicted upon one whose person had been rendered facred by religion? The Sovereign could, in fuch circumstances, do no better than leave him to be tried by the ecclefiastical courts; who, for the honour of their own order, were interested to restrain, as much as possible, every member of it from committing enormous crimes, or even from giving occasion to fuch gross scandal as might disgust the minds of the people.

In the state in which things were through the greater part of Europe during the tenth, eleventh, twelfth,

twelfth, and thirteenth centuries, and for fome. time both before and after that period, the conflitution of the church of Rome may be confidered as the most formidable combination that ever was formed against the authority and security of civil government, as well as against the liberty, reason, and happiness of mankind, which can flourish only where civil government is able to protect them. In that constitution, the groffest delufions of fuperstition were supported in such a manner by the private interests of fo great a number of people, as put them out of all danger from any affault of human reason: because though human reason might perhaps have been able to unveil, even to the eyes of the common people, fome of the delutions of fuperstition; it could never have dissolved the ties of private interest. Had this constitution been attacked by no other enemies but the feeble efforts of human reason, it must; have endured for ever. But that immense and well-built fabric, which all the wifdom and virtue of man could never have shaken, much less have overturned, was, by the natural course of things, first weakened, and afterwards in part destroyed; and is now likely, in the course of a few centuries more, perhaps, to crumble into ruins altogether.

The gradual improvements of arts, manufactures, and commerce, the fame causes which

destroyed the power of the great barons, destroyed in the same manner, through the greater part of Europe, the whole temporal power of the clergy. In the produce of arts, manufactures, and commerce, the clergy, like the great barons, found fomething for which they could exchange their rude produce; and thereby discovered the means of spending their whole revenues upon their own persons, without giving any considerable share of them to other people. Their charity became gradually less extensive, their hospitality less liberal or less profuse. Their retainers became confequently less numerous, and by degrees dwindled away altogether. The clergy, too, like the great barons, wished to get a better rent from their landed estates, in order to spend it, in the fame manner, upon the gratification of their own private vanity and folly. But this increase of rent could be got only by granting leafes to their tenants; who thereby became in a great measure independent of them. The ties of interest, which bound the inferior ranks of people to the clergy, were in this manner gradually broken and diffolved. They were even broken and disfolved fooner than those which bound the same ranks of people to the great barons: because the benefices of the church being, the greater part of them, much fmaller than the estates of the great barons, the possessor of each benefice was much fooner able to fpend the whole of its revenue upon his own person. During the greater part of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, the power of the great barons was, through the greater part of Europe, in full vigour. But the temporal power of the clergy, the absolute command which they had once had over the great body of the people, was very much decayed. The power of the church was by that time very nearly reduced through the greater part of Europe to what arose from her spiritual authority; and even that spiritual authority was much weakened when it ceased to be supported by the charity and hospitality of the clergy. The inferior ranks of people no longer looked upon that order, as they had done before, as the comforters of their diffrefs, and the relievers of their indigence. On the contrary, they were provoked and difgusted by the vanity, luxury, and expence of the richer clergy, who appeared to fpend upon their own pleasures what had always before been regarded as the patrimony of the poor.

In this fituation of things, the fovereigns in the different states of Europe endeavoured to recover the influence which they had once had in the disposal of the great benefices of the church, by procuring to the deans and chapters of each diocese the restoration of their aucient right of electing the bishop, and to the monks of each

Vol. I. T + ab-

abbacy that of electing the abbot. The re-establishing of this ancient order was the object of feveral statutes enacted in England during the course of the fourteenth century, particularly of what is called the Statute of Provifors; and of the Pragmatic Sanction established in France in the fifteenth century. In order to render the election valid, it was necessary that the Sovereign should both confent to it before-hand, and afterwards approve of the person elected; and though the election was still supposed to be free, he had, however, all the indirect means which his fituation necessarily afforded him, of influencing the clergy in his own dominions. Other regulations of a fimilar tendency were established in other parts of Europe. But the power of the Pope in the collation of the great benefices of the church feems, before the Reformation, to have been no where fo effectually and fo univerfally restrained as in France and England. The Concordat afterwards, in the fixteenth century, gave to the kings of France the absolute right of presenting to all the great, or what are called the Confiftorial, benefices of the Gallican church.

Since the establishment of the Pragmatic fanction and of the Concordat, the clergy of France have in general shown less respect to the decrees of the Papal court than the clergy of any other Catholic country. In all the disputes which their Sovereign has had with the Pope, they have almost constantly taken party with the former. This independency of the clergy of France upon the court of Rome, feems to be principally founded upon the Pragmatic fanction and the Concordat. In the earlier periods of the monarchy, the clergy of France appear to have been as much devoted . to the Pope as those of any other country. When Robert, the fecond Prince of the Capetian race, was most unjustly excommunicated by the court of Rome, his own fervants, it is faid, threw the victuals which came from his table to the dogs, and refused to taste any thing themselves which had been polluted by the contact of a person in his fituation. They were taught to do fo, it may very fafely be prefumed, by the clergy of his own cominions.

The claim of collating to the great benefices of the church, a claim in defence of which the court of Rome had frequently shaken, and sometimes overturned, the thrones of some of the greatest sovereigns in Christendom, was in this manner either restrained or modified, or given up altogether, in many different parts of Europe, even before the time of the Resormation. As the clergy had now-less influence over the people, so the state had more influence over the clergy. The clergy therefore had both less power and less inclination to disturb the state.——The authority

thority of the church of Rome was in this state of declension at the time of the Reformation.

A. SMITH.

THE ADVANTAGE OF UNITING THE CIVIL AND ECCLESIASTICAL POWERS IN EVERY GOVERNMENT.

The union of the civil and ecclefiaftical powers ferves extremely in every civilized government to the maintenance of peace and order, and prevents those mutual encroachments which, as there can be no ultimate judge between them, are often attended with the most dangerous consequences. Whether the supreme magistrate who unites these powers, receives the appellation of Prince or Prelate, it is not material. The superior weight which temporal interests commonly bear in the apprehensions of men above spiritual, renders the civil part of his character most prevalent; and in time prevents those gross impostures and bigotted persecutions which in all false religions are the chief soundation of clerical authority.

HUME.

#### ECONOMY.

THE pursuit of the objects of private interest in all common, little, and ordinary cases, ought to flow rather from a regard to the general rules which prescribe such conduct, than from any paspassion for the objects themselves. To be anxious, or to be laying a plot either to gain or fave a fingle shilling, would degrade the most vulgar tradefman in the opinion of all his neighbours. Let his circumstances be ever so mean, no attention to any fuch fmall matters, for the fake of the things themselves, must appear in his His fituation may require the most fevere economy, and the most exact assiduity; but each particular exertion of that economy and affiduity must proceed, not so much from a regard to that particular faving or gain, as from the general rule which to him prescribes, with the utmost. rigour, fuch a tenor of conduct. His parfimony to-day must not arise from a defire of the particular three-pence which he will fave by it; nor his attendance in his shop from a passion for the particular ten-pence which he will acquire by it: both the one and the other ought to proceed folely from a regard to the general rule, which prescribes with the most unrelenting severity this plan of conduct to all persons in his way of life. In this confifts the difference between the character of a miler and that of a person of exact economy and affiduity. The one is anxious about fmall matters for their own fake; the other attends to them only in confequence of the scheme of life which he has laid down to himfelf.

А. Ѕмітн.

#### EDUCATION.

THE time which we usually bestow on the instruction of our children in principles, the reafons of which they do not understand, is worsethan loft: it is teaching them to refign their faculties to authority; it is improving their memories instead of their understandings; it is giving them credulity instead of knowledge; and it is preparing them for any kind of flavery which can be imposed on them. Whereas, if we affisted them in making experiments on themselves; induced them to attend to the confequence of every action, to adjust their little deviations, and fairly and freely to exercise their powers; they would collect facts which nothing could controvert. These facts they would deposite in their memories as in fecure and eternal treasures; they would be materials for reflection, and in time be formed into principles of conduct, which no circumstances or temptations could remove. This would be a method of forming a man who would answer the end of his being, and make himfelf and others happy.

WILLIAMS.

## ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

IF men were educated to use the powers of their minds freely; to investigate by their own industry all the principles they want; to confider nothing as an intellectual acquisition but in consequence of such investigation: this knowledge would be a fure foundation of virtue, and human life would have few crimes or miseries to infest it. Instead of this, they are educated to take almost every thing from others, and to suffer their own powers to lie inactive. Most of the vices of the world have arisen from the habit. men have fo long been in of believing instead of inquiring. A mind that is trained to inquiry, is trained in a kind of activity which will lead to virtue. A mind in which this activity is fuppreffed, has a greater difficulty in becoming virtuous, and is a much easier prey to vice. It seems to acquire knowledge, and has none; and falfe knowledge is worfe than none. All the wifdom we obtain, by believing as we are commanded, and committing to memory principles, doctrines, and opinions, which we have never confidered or do not understand, is so much poison in the mind, which acts the more furely and fatally as we have no apprehension of danger from it. We see men overwhelmed with what they call doctrines and prin-

principles both of religion and morality, without being of any use to the world, and without ever performing a religious or moral action. not fo when men were educated to inquire, to think, to form to themselves a few principles which they comprehended and felt, and to act on them. This was the case in the best ages of Greece. Education had a few fimple and important objects; and they always related to private and public virtue. It underwent fome modifications, according to the circumstances of the different pupils. It would aftonish a modern tutor to know the time and pains which were taken on these few things; and to see what wonderful men were formed in this manner. Education was then the art of developing the mind to principles and employments which were fuited to it, and giving it habits which would lead to any degree of real knowledge. Education at present is a different thing: it is the art of loading the memory with the imperfect and ufeless knowledge of all languages and all sciences; and our vouth are often fent into the world without one principle of real wisdom, and almost incapable of any act of public or private virtue.

WILLIAMS.

## ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

THE most important and most useful rule of education is, not to gain time, but to lose it. If children took a leap from their mother's breast, and at once arrived at the age of reason, the methods of education now usually taken with them would be very proper: but according to the progress of nature, they require those which are very different. We should not tamper with the mind till it has acquired all its faculties: for it is impossible it should perceive the light we hold out to it while it is blind; or that it should pursue, over an immense plain of ideas, that route which reason hath so slightly traced, as to be perceptible only to the sharpest sight.

The first part of education therefore ought to be purely negative. It consists neither in teaching virtue nor truth; but in guarding the heart from vice, and the mind from error. Take the road directly opposite to that which is in use, and you will almost always do right. Never argue with a child, particularly in striving to reconcile him to what he dislikes: for to use him to reason only upon disagreeable subjects, is the way to disgust him, and bring argument early into discredit with a mind incapable of understanding it. Exercise his corporeal organs, senses, and facul-

ties as much as you please; but keep his intellectual ones inactive as long as possible. Be cautious of all the fentiments he acquires previous to the judgment which should enable him to scrutinize them: Prevent or restrain all foreign improflions; and in order to hinder the rife of evil. be not in too great hurry to inftil good; for it is only fuch when the mind is enlightened by rea-Look upon every delay as an advantage; it is gaining a great deal, to advance without losing any thing: let the infancy of children therefore have time to ripen. In fhort, whatever instruction is necessary for them, take care not to give it them to-day, if it may be deferred without danger till to-morrow. Another confideration which confirms the utility of this method, is the particular genius of the child; which ought to be known before it can be judged what moral regimen is adapted to it. Every mind has its peculiar turn, according to which it ought to be educated; and it is of very material confequence to our endeavours that it be educated according to that turn, and not to any other. The prudent governor will watch a long time the workings of nature, and will lay the natural character under no unnecessary restraints. If we set about any thing before we know in what manner to act, we proceed at random; liable to mistake, we are frequently obliged to undo what is done, and find ourselves further from from the end defigned than if we had been less precipitate to begin the work.

Rousseau.

## On the same Subject.

IN the education of children, excessive severity, as well as excessive indulgence, should be equally avoided. If you leave children to fuffer, you expose their health, endanger their lives, and make them actually miserable. On the other hand, if you are too anxious to prevent their being fenfible of any kind of pain and inconvenience, you only pave their way to feel much greater: you enervate their constitutions, make them tender and effeminate: in a word, you remove them out of their fituation as men, into which they must hereafter return in spite of all your solicitude, In order not to expose them to the few evils nathre would inflict on them, you provide for them many which they would otherwise never have fuffered.

Can you conceive any being can be truly happy in circumstances inconsistent with its constitution? And is it not inconsistent with the constitution of man to endeavour to exempt him from all the evils incident to his species? Man is capacitated to experience great pleasure only by being inured to slight pain: Such is the nature of man. If his physical constitution be too vigorous, his moral constitution tends to depravity. The man who should be ignorant of pain, would be a stranger also to the sensations of humanity, and the tender feelings of compassion for his species: his heart would be unsusceptible of sympathy; he would be unsocial; he would be a monster among his fellow-creatures.

Would you know the most infallible way to make your child miserable? It is to accustom him to obtain every thing he desires: For those desires still increasing from the facility of gratification, your incapacity to satisfy them must sooner or later reduce you to the necessity of a resusal; and that resusal, so new and uncommon, will give him more trouble than even the want of that which he desires. From wanting your cane he will proceed to your watch; he will next want the bird that slies in the air, the star that glitters in the firmament; in short, every thing he sees: nothing less than omnipotence would enable you to satisfy it.

Nature has constituted children to claim our love and assistance; but has she made them to be obeyed and feared? A child should obtain nothing merely because he asks for it, but because he stands in need of it: A child should be made to do nothing out of obedience, but only out of necessity. Thus the words command and obey should have no

place in his dictionary, much less those of duty and obligation: but those of power, necessity, impotence, and restraint, ought to stand forth in capitals. It ought to be observed, that as pain is often a necessity, so pleasure is sometimes a natural want. Children have therefore but one desire only which should not be gratisted; and this is the desire of exacting obedience. Hence it follows, that in every thing they demand, it is the motive which excites them to make such demand which ought to engage our attention. Indulge them as much as possible in every thing which may give them real pleasure; but constanty resuse them what they require from motives of caprice, or merely to exercise their authority.

ROUSSEAU.

# A COMPARATIVE VIEW OF ANCIENT AND MODERN EDUCATION.

DIFFERENT plans and different inflitutions for education feem to have taken place in different ages and nations.—In the republics of ancient Greece, every free citizen was influcted, under the direction of the public magistrate, in gymnastic exercises and in music. By gymnastic exercises it was intended to harden his body, to sharpen his courage, and to prepare him for the fatigues and dangers of war; and as the Greek militia was, by all accounts, one of the best that Vol. I.

ever was in the world, this part of their public education must have answered completely the purpose for which it was intended. By the other part, music, it was proposed, at least by the philosophers and historians who have given us an account of those institutions, to humanize the mind, to soften the temper, and to dispose it for performing all the social and moral duties both of public and private life.

In ancient Rome, the exercises of the Campus Martius answered the same purpose as those of the Gymnasium in ancient Greece, and they seem to have answered it equally well. But among the Romans there was nothing which corresponded to the mufical education of the Greeks. morals of the Romans, however, both in private and public life, feem to have been not only equal, but upon the whole a good deal fuperior, to those of the Greeks. That they were superior in private life, we have the express testimony of Polybius and of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, two authors well acquainted with both nations; and the whole tenor of the Greek and Roman history bears witness to the superiority of the public morals of the Romans. The good temper and moderation of contending factions feems to be the most essential circumstance in the public morals of a free people. But the factions of the Greeks were almost always violent and fanguirary: whereas, till the time of the Gracchi, no blood had ever been fled in any Roman faction; and from the time of the Gracelii the Roman republic may be confidered as in reality diffolved. Notwithstanding, therefore, the very respectable authority of Plato, Aristotle, and Polybius, and notwithstanding the very ingenious reasons by which Mr Montesquieu endeavours to support that authority, it feems probable that the mufical education of the Greeks had no great effect in mending their morals; fince, without any fuch education, those of the Romans were upon the whole fuperior. The respect of those ancient fages for the inflitutions of their ancestors, had probably disposed them to find much political wisdom in what was, perhaps, merely an ancient custom, continued without interruption from the earliest period of those societies to the times in which they had arrived at a confiderable degree of refinement. Music and dancing are the great amusements of almost all barbarous nations, and the great accomplishments which are supposed to fit any man for entertaining his fociety. It is fo at this day among the negroes on the coast of Africa. It was so among the ancient Celtes, among the ancient Scandinavians, and, as we may learn from Homer, among the ancient Greeks in the times preceding the Trojan war. When the Greek tribes had formed themselves U2 into into little republics, it was natural that the ftudy of those accomplishments should for a long time make a part of the public and common education of the people.

The masters, who instructed the young people either in music or in military exercises, do not seem to have been paid, or even appointed by the state, either in Rome, or even in Athens; the Greek republic of whose laws and customs we are the best informed. The state required that every free citizen should sit himself for defending it in war, and should, upon that account, learn his military exercises. But it lest him to learn them of such masters as he could find; and it seems to have advanced nothing for this purpose, but a public field or place of exercise, in which he should practise and perform them.

In the early ages both of the Greek and Roman republics, the other parts of education feem to have confifted in learning to read, write, and account according to the arithmetic of the times. Those accomplishments the richer citizens feem frequently to have acquired at home by the affistance of some domestic pedagogue, who was generally either a slave or a freed-man; and the poorer citizens, in the schools of such masters as made a trade of teaching for hire. Such parts of education, however, were abandoned altogether to the care of the parents or guardians of each

individual. It does not appear that the ftate ever assumed any inspection or direction of them. By a law of Solon, indeed, the children were acquitted from maintaining those parents in their old age who had neglected to instruct them in some profitable trade or business.

In the progress of refinement, when philosophy and rhetoric came into fashion, the better fort of people used to fend their children to the schools of philosophers and rhetoricians, in order to be instructed in these fashionable sciences: But those fchools were not supported by the public; they were for a long time barely tolerated by it. The demand for philosophy and rhetoric was for a long time fo finall, that the first professed teachers of either could not find conftant employment in any one city, but were obliged to travel about from place to place. In this manner lived Zeno of Elea, Protagoras, Gorgias, Hippias, and many others. As the demand increased, the schools both of philosophy and rhetoric became stationary; first in Athens, and afterwards in several other cities. The state, however, seems never to have encouraged them further than by affigning to some of them a particular place to teach in, which was fometimes done too by private donors. The state seems to have assigned the Academy to Plato, the Lyceum to Aristotle, and the Portico to Zeno of Citta the founder of the Uз Stoics.

Stoics. But Epicurus bequeathed his gardens tohis own school. Till about the time of Marcus Antoninus, however, no teacher appears to have had any falary from the public, or to have had any other emoluments but what arose from the honoraries or fees of his fcholars. The bounty which that philosophical emperor, as we learn from Lucian, bestowed upon one of the teachers of philosophy, probably lasted no longer than his own life. There was nothing equivalent to the privileges of graduation; and to have attended any of those schools was not necessary, in order to be permitted to practife any particular trade or profeshon. If the opinion of their own utility could not draw scholars to them, the law neither forced any body to go to them, nor rewarded any body for having gone to them. The teachers had no jurisdiction over their pupils, nor any other authority besides that natural authority, which superior virtue and abilities never fail to procure from young people, towards those who are entrusted with any part of their education.

At Rome, the study of the civil law made a part of the education, not of the greater part of the citizens, but of some particular families. The young people, however, who wished to acquire knowledge in the law, had no public school to go to, and had no other method of studying it, than by frequenting the company of such of their rela-

relations and friends as were supposed to underfland it. It is perhaps worth while to remark, that though the laws of the twelve tables were, many of them, copied from those of some ancient Greek republics, yet law never feems to have grown up to be a science in any republic of ancient Greece. In Rome it became a science very early, and gave a confiderable degree of illustration to those citizens who had the reputation of understanding it. In the republics of ancient Greece, particularly in Athens, the ordinary courts of justice confisted of numerous, and therefore diforderly, bodies of people, who frequently decided almost at random, or as clamour, faction, and party-spirit happened to determine. The ignominy of an unjust decision, when it was to be divided among five hundred, a thousand, or fifteen hundred people (for some of their courts were fo very numerous), could not fall very heavy upon any individual. At Rome, on the contrary, the principal courts of justice consisted either of a single judge, or of a fmall number of judges, whose characters, especially as they deliberated always in public, could not fail to be very much affected by any rash or unjust decision. In doubtful cases, such courts, from their anxiety to avoid blame, would naturally endeavour to shelter themselves under the example, or precedent, of the judges who had fat

fat before them, either in the same or in some other court. This attention to practice and precedent, necessarily formed the Roman law into that regular and orderly fystem in which it has been delivered down to us; and the like attention has had the like effects upon the laws of every other country where fuch attention has taken place. The superiority of character in the Romans over that of the Greeks, fo much remarked by Polybius and Dionysius of Halicarnaffus, was probably more owing to the better constitution of their courts of justice, than to any of the circumstances to which these authors afcribe it. The Romans are faid to have been particularly diffinguished for their superior refpect to an oath. But the people who were accultomed to make oath only before fome diligent and well-informed court of juffice, would naturally be much more attentive to what they fwore, than they who were accustomed to do the same thing before mobbish and disorderly assemblies.

The abilities, both civil and military, of the Greeks and Romans, will readily be allowed to have been at least equal to those of any modern nation. Our prejudice is perhaps rather to overrate them. But except in what related to military exercises, the state seems to have been at no pains to form those great abilities: for I cannot be induced to believe that the musical educa-

tion of the Greeks could be of much confequence in forming them. Masters, however, had been found, it feems, for instructing the better fort of people among those nations in every art and fcience in which the circumstances of their fociety rendered it necessary or convenient for them to be instructed. The demand for such instruction produced, what it always produces, the talent for giving it; and the emulation which an unrestrained competition never fails to excite, appears to have brought that talent to a very high degree of perfection. In the attention which the ancient philosophers excited in the empire, which they acquired over the opinions and principles of their auditors, in the faculty which they possessed of giving a certain tone and character to the conduct and conversation of those auditors; they appear to have been much superior to any modern In modern times, the diligence of public teachers is more or lefs corrupted by the circumstances, which render them more or less independent of their fuccess and reputation in their particular professions. Their falaries too put the private teacher, who would pretend to come into competition with them, in the fame state with a merchant who attempts to trade without a bounty, in competition with those who trade with a confiderable onc. If he fells his goods at nearly the fame price, he cannot have

the fame profit; and poverty and beggary at least, if not bankruptcy and ruin, will infallibly be his lot. If he attempts to fell them much dearer, he is likely to have so few customers that his circumftances will not be much mended. privileges of graduation, besides, are in many countries necessary, or at least extremely convenient, to most men of learned professions, that is, to the far greater part of those who have occasion for a learned education. But those privileges can be obtained only by attending the lectures of the public teachers. The most careful attendance upon the ablest instructions of any private teacher cannot always give any title to demand them. It is from these different causes that the private teacher of any of the sciences which are commonly taught in univerfities, is in modern times generally confidered as in the very lowest order of men of letters. A man of real abilities can fearce find out a more humiliating or a more unprofitable employment to turn them to. The endowments of schools and colleges have, in this manner, not only corrupted the diligence of publie teachers, but have rendered it almost imposfible to have any good private ones.

Were there no public inftitutions for education, no fystem, no science would be taught for which there was not some demand; or which the circumstances of the times did not render it, ei-

ther

ther necessary, or convenient, or at least fashionable to learn. A private teacher could never find his account in teaching, either an exploded and antiquated fystem of a science acknowledged to be useful, or a science universally believed to be a mere useless and pedantic heap of sophistry and nonsense. Such systems, such sciences, can subsist no where, but in those incorporated societies for education whose prosperity and revenue are in a great measure independent of their reputation, and altogether independent of their industry. Were there no public inflitutions for education, a gentleman, after going through, with application and abilities, the most complete course of education which the circumstances of the times were fupposed to afford, could not come into the world completely ignorant of every thing which is the common subject of conversation among gentlemen and men of the world.

There are no public inflitutions for the education of women; and there is accordingly nothing useless, absurd, or fantastical in the common course of their education. They are taught what their parents or guardians judge it necessary or useful for them to learn; and they are taught nothing else. Every part of their education tends evidently to some useful purpose; either to improve the natural attractions of their person, or to form their mind to reserve, to modesty, to chastity,

chaftity, and to economy: to render them both likely to become the miftreffes of a family, and to behave properly when they have become fuch. In every part of her life, a woman feels fome conveniency or advantage from every part of her education. It feldom happens that a man, in any part of his life, derives any conveniency or advantage from fome of the most laborious and troublesome parts of his education.

А. Ѕмітн.

ATTENTION TO THE EDUCATION OF THE COMMON PEOPLE, INCUMBENT UPON THE Public.

OUGHT the public to give no attention, it may be asked, to the education of the people? Or if it ought to give any, what are the different parts of education which it ought to attend to in the different orders of the people? and in what manner ought it to attend to them?

In fome cases, the state of the society necessarily places the greater part of individuals in such situations as naturally form in them, without any attention of government, almost all the abilities and virtues which that state requires, or perhaps can admit of. In other cases, the state of the society does not place the greater part of individuals in such situations; and some attention of govern-

ment is necessary, in order to prevent the almost entire corruption and degeneracy of the great body of the people.

In the progress of the division of labour, the employment of the far greater part of those who live by labour, that is, of the great body of the people, comes to be confined to a few very simple operations; frequently to one or two. But the understandings of the greater part of men are neceffarily formed by their ordinary employments. The man whose whole life is spent in performing a few simple operations, of which the effects too are, perhaps, always the fame, or very nearly the fame, has no occasion to exert his understanding, or to exercise his invention in finding out expedients for removing difficulties which never occur. He naturally lofes, therefore, the habit of fuch exertion, and generally becomes as stupid and ignorant as it is possible for a human creature to become. The torpor of his mind renders him, not only incapable of relishing or bearing a part in any rational conversation, but of conceiving any generous, noble, or tender fentiment; and confequently of forming any just judgment concerning many even of the ordinary duties of private life. Of the great and extensive interests of his country, he is altogether incapable of judging; and unless very particular pains have been taken to render him otherwife, he is equally incapable of Vol. I.  $\mathbf{x}$ defend-

defending his country in war. The uniformity of his flationary life naturally corrupts the courage of his mind, and makes him regard with abhorrence the irregular, uncertain, and adventurous life of a foldier. It corrupts even the activity of his body, and renders him incapable of exerting his strength with vigour and perseverance in any other employment than that to which he has been bred. His dexterity at his own particular trade feems, in this manner, to be acquired at the expence of his intellectual, focial, and martial virtues. But in every improved and civilized fociety this is the ftate in which the labouring poor, that is, the great body of the people, must necessarily fall, unless government takes fome pains to prevent it.

It is otherwise in the barbarous societies, as they are commonly called, of hunters, of shepherds, and even of husbandmen in that rude state of husbandry which precedes the improvement of manufactures, and the extension of foreign commerce. In such societies, the varied occupations of every man oblige every man to exert his capacity, and to invent expedients for removing difficulties which are continually occurring. Invention is kept alive, and the mind is not suffered to fall into that drowfy stupidity, which, in a civilized society, seems to benumb the understanding of almost all the inferior ranks of people. In those

barbarous focieties, as they are called, every man, it has already been observed, is a warrior. Every man too is in some measure a statesman, and can form a tolerable judgment concerning the interest of the fociety, and the conduct of those who govern it. How far their chiefs are good judges in peace, or good leaders in war, is obvious to the observation of almost every single man among them. In fuch a fociety, indeed, no man can well acquire that improved and refined understanding, which a few men fometimes posses in a more civilized state. Though in a rude fociety there is a good deal of variety in the occupations of every individual, there is not a great deal in those of the whole fociety. Every man does, or is capable of doing, almost every thing which any other man does, or is capable of doing. Every man has a confiderable degree of knowledge, ingenuity, and invention; but fcarce any man has a great degree. The degree, however, which is commonly poffessed, is generally sufficient for conducting the whole simple business of the fociety. In a civilized state, on the contrary, though there is little variety in the occupations of the greater part of individuals, there is an almost infinite variety in those of the whole fociety. These varied occupations present an almost infinite variety of objects to the contemplation of those few, who, being attached to no particular occupation them-

X 2

felves.

felves, have leifure and inclination to examine this occupations of other people. The contemplation of fo great a variety of objects necessarily exercises their minds in endless comparisons and combinations, and renders their understandings, in an extraordinary degree, both acute and comprehensive. Unless those few, however, happen to be placed in some very particular situations, their great abilities, though honourable to themselves, may contribute very little to the good government or happiness of their society. Nothwithstanding the great abilities of those few, all the nobler parts of the human character may be, in a great measure, obliterated and extinguished in the great body of the people.

The education of the common people requires, perhaps, in a civilized and commercial fociety, the attention of the public more than that of people of fome rank and fortune. People of fome rank and fortune are generally eighteen or nineteen years of age before they enter upon that particular business, profession, or trade, by which they propose to distinguish themselves in the world. They have before that full time to acquire, or at least to fit themselves for afterwards acquiring, every accomplishment which can recommend them to the public esteem, or render them worthy of it. Their parents or guardians are generally sufficiently anxious that they should be so accomplished;

plished; and are, in most cases, willing enough to lay out the expence which is necessary for that purpofe. If they are not always properly educated, it is feldom from the want of expence laid out upon their education; but from the improper application of that expence. It is feldom from the want of masters; but from the negligence and incapacity of the masters who are to be had, and from the difficulty, or rather from the impossibility which there is, in the present state of things, of finding any better. The employments, too, in which people of some rank or fortune fpend the greater part of their lives, are not, like those of the common people, simple and uniform. They are almost all of them extremely complicated; and fuch as exercise the head more than the hands. The understandings of those who are engaged in such employments can feldom grow torpid for want of exercise. The employments of people of some rank and fortune, besides, are feldom fuch as harrafs them from morning to night. They generally have a good deal of leifure; during which they may perfect themselves in every branch either of useful or ornamental knowledge of which they may have laid the foundation, or for which they may have acquired fome tafte in the earlier part of life.

It is otherwise with the common people. They have little time to spare for education. Their pa-

rents can fearce afford to maintain them even in infancy. As foon as they are able to work, they must apply to some trade by which they can earn their subsistence. That trade too is generally so simple and uniform as to give little exercise to the understanding; while, at the same time, their labour is both so constant and so severe, that it leaves them little leisure and less inclination to apply to, or even to think of any thing else.

But though the common people cannot, in any civilized fociety, be fo well inftructed as people of fome rank and fortune, the most essential parts of education, however, to read, write, and account, can be acquired at so early a period of life, that the greater part even of those who are to be bred to the lowest occupations, have time to acquire them before they can be employed in those occupations. For a very small expence, the public can facilitate, can encourage, and can even impose upon almost the whole body of the people, the necessity of acquiring those most essential parts of education.

The public can facilitate this acquisition by establishing in every parish or district a little school, where children may be taught for a reward so moderate, that even a common labourer may assord it; the master being partly, but not wholly, paid by the public; because if he was wholly, or even principally paid by it, he would soon learn to ne-

glect his business. In Scotland, the establishment of fuch parish-schools has taught almost the whole common people to read, and a very great proportion of them to write and account. In England, the establishment of charity schools has had an effect of the same kind; though not so universally, because the establishment is not so universal. in those little schools the books, by which the children are taught to read, were a little more instructive than they commonly are; and if, instead of a little fmattering of Latin, which the children of the common people are fometimes taught there, and which can fcarce ever be of any use to them, they were instructed in the elementary parts of geometry and mechanics, the literary education of this rank of people would perhaps be as complete as it can be. There is fcarce a common trade which does not afford fome opportunities of applying to it the principles of geometry and mechanics, and which would not therefore gradually exercise and improve the common people in those principles; the necessary introduction to the most sublime as well as to the most ufeful feiences.

The public can encourage the acquisition of those most essential parts of education, by giving small premiums and little badges of distinction to the children of the common people who excel in them.

The

The public can impose upon almost the whole body of the people the necessity of acquiring those most essential parts of education, by obliging every man to undergo an examination or probation in them before he can obtain the freedom in any corporation, or be allowed to set up any trade, either in a village or town corporate.

It was in this manner, by facilitating the acquifition of their military and gymnastic exercises, by encouraging it, and even by impofing upon the whole body of the people the necessity of learning those exercises, that the Greek and Roman republics maintained the martial spirit of their refrective citizens. They facilitated the acquifitions of those exercises, by appointing a certain place. for learning and practifing them, and by granting. to certain masters the privilege of teaching in that place. Those masters do not appear to have hadeither falaries or exclusive privileges of any kind. Their reward confifted altogether in what they got from their fcholars; and a citizen who had learnt his exercises in the public Gymnasia, had no fort of legal advantage over one who had learnt them privately, provided the latter had learnt them equally well. Those republics encouraged the acquisition of those exercises, by bestowing little premiums and badges of distinction upon those who excelled in them. To have gained a prize in the Olympic, Ishmian, or Nemæan games,

games, gave illustration, not only to the person who gained it, but to his whole family and kindred. The obligation which every citizen was under to serve a certain number of years, if called upon, in the armies of the republic, sufficiently imposed the necessity of learning those exercises, without which he could not be fit for that service.

That in the progress of improvement, the practice of military exercises, unless government takes proper pains to support it, goes gradually to decay, and, together with it, the martial spirit of the great body of the people, the example of modern Europe fufficiently demonstrates. But the fecurity of every fociety must always depend, more or lefs, upon the martial spirit of the great body of the people. In the prefent times, indeed, that martial spirit alone, and unsupported by a welldisciplined standing army, would not, perhaps, be fufficient for the defence and fecurity of any feciety. But where every citizen had the spirit of a foldier, a fmaller standing army would furely be requifite. That spirit, besides, would necessarily diminish very much the dangers to liberty, whether real or imaginary, which are commonly apprehended from a flanding army. As it would very much facilitate the operations of that army against a foreign invader, so it would obstruct them as much if unfortunately they should ever be directed against the constitution of the state.

The

The ancient inflitutions of Greece and Romefeem to have been much more effectual for maintaining the martial spirit of the great body of the people than the establishment of what are called the militias of modern times. They were much more fimple. When they were once established, they executed themselves, and it required little or no attention from government to maintain them. in the most perfect vigour. Whereas to maintain even in tolerable execution the complex regulations of any modern militia, requires the continual and painful attention of government; without which they are constantly falling into total neglect and difuse. The influence, besides, of the ancient inflitutions was much more universal. By means of them the whole body of the people was completely instructed in the use of arms: whereas it is but a very finall part of them who can ever be fo instructed by the regulations of any modern militia; except, perhaps, that of Swit-But a coward, a man incapable either of defending or of revenging himself, evidently wants one of the most effential parts of the character of a man. He is as much mutilated and deformed in his mind as another is in his body, who is either deprived of some of its most essential members, or has loft the use of them. He is evidently the more wretched and miferable of the two; because happiness and misery, which reside alto-

altogether in the mind, must necessarily depend more upon the healthful or unhealthful, the mutilated or entire state of the mind, than upon that of the body. Even though the martial spirit of the people were of no use towards the defence of the fociety, yet to prevent that fort of mental mutilation, deformity, and wretchedness, which cowardice necessarily involves in it, from spreading themselves through the great body of the people, would still deferve the most ferious attention of government; in the same manner as it would deferve its most ferious attention to prevent a leprofy or any other loathfome and offenfive difeafe, though neither mortal nor dangerous, from fpreading itself among them; though, perhaps, no other public good might refult from fuch attention besides the prevention of so great a public revil.

The fame thing may be faid of the gross ignorance and stupidity which, in a civilized society, seem so frequently to benumb the understandings of all the inferior ranks of people. A man, without the proper use of the intellectual faculties of a man, is, if possible, more contemptible than even a coward; and seems to be mutilated and deformed in a still more essential part of the character of human nature. Though the state was to derive no advantage from the instruction of the inserior ranks of people, it would still deserve its

attention that they should not be altogether uninstructed. The state, however, derives no inconfiderable advantage from their inftruction. The more they are instructed, the less liable they are to the delutions of enthuliasm and superstition; which, among ignorant nations, frequently occasion the most dreadful disorders. structed and intelligent people, besides, are always more decent and orderly than an ignorant and stupid one. They feel themselves, each individually, more respectable, and more likely to obtain the respect of their lawful superiors; and they are therefore more disposed to respect those fuperiors. They are more disposed to examine, and more capable of feeing, through the interested complaints of faction and fedition; and they are, upon that account, less apt to be misled into any wanton or unnecessary opposition to the measures of government. In free countries, where the fafety of government depends very much upon the favourable judgment which the people may form of its conduct, it must furely be of the highest importance that they should not be disposed to judge rashly or capriciously concerning it.

A. SMITH.

THE

# THE CAUSES OF THE DECADENCY OF AN EMPIRE.

THE introduction and improvement of the arts and sciences in an empire do not occasion its decadency; but the fame causes that accelerate the progress of the sciences, sometimes produce the most fatal effects.-There are nations where, by a peculiar feries of circumstances, the feeds of the arts and feiences do not spring up till the moment the manners begin to corrupt --- A certain number of men affemble to form a fociety. These men found a city: Their neighbours fee it rife up with a jealous eve. The inhabitants of that city, forced to be at once labourers and foldiers, make use by turns of the spade and the fword. What in such a country is the necessary science and virtue? The military arts and valour, they alone are there respected. Every other science and virtue are there unknown. Such was the flate of rifing Rome, when, weak and furrounded by warlike nations, it with difficulty fuftained their attacks: Its glory and power extended over the whole earth: it acquired, however, the one and the other very flowly; ages of triumphs were necessary to subject their neighbours. Now when the furrounding nations were fubdued, there arose from the form Vol. I. of of their government civil wars, which were fucceeded by those with foreigners; so that it cannot be imagined, while the citizens were engaged in the different employments of magistrates and foldiers, and incessantly agitated with strong hopes and fears, they could enjoy the leifure and tranquillity necessary to the study of the sciences. ---In every country where these events succeed each other in a regular series, the only period favourable to letters is unfortunately that when the civil wars, the troubles and factions being extinguished, liberty is expiring, as in the time of Augustus, under the strokes of despotism. this period precedes but a short time the decadency of an empire. The arts and sciences, however, then flourish; and that for two reasons.

The first is the force of mens passions. In the first moments of slavery, their minds, still agitated by the remembrance of their lost liberty, are like the sea after a tempest. The citizen still burns with a desire to render himself illustrious, but his situation is altered. He cannot have his bust placed by that of Timoleon, Pelopidas, or Brutus: He cannot deliver his name down to posterity as the destroyer of tyrants, and the avenger of liberty. His statue may however be placed by those of Homer, Epicurus, or Archimedes. This he knows; and therefore if there be but one fort of glory to which he can aspire,

if it be with the laurels of the muses alone that he can be crowned, it is in the career of the arts and fciences he prepares to feek them; and it is then that illustrious men of every literary profeffion arise. - The second of these causes is the interest sovereigns then have to encourage the progress of the sciences. At the moment that defpotism is established, what does the monarch defire? To inspire his subjects with the love of thethe arts and friences. What does he fear? That they should reslect on their fetters, blush on their fervitude, and again turn their looks towards liberty. He would therefore, by employing their minds, make them forget their base condition. He confequently prefents them with new objects of glory. As an hypocritical fautor of the arts and sciences, he shows the more regard to the man of genius, the more he feels the want of his eulogies.—The manners of a nation do not change. the moment despotism is established. The spirit of a people is free fome time after their hands are tied. During these first moments illustrious men still preserve some consideration. The tyrant therefore loads them with favours, that they . may load him with praises; and men of great talents are too often seduced to become the panegyrifts of usurpation and tyranny. What motives can induce them to it? Sometimes meanness, and frequently gratitude. It must be confefici.

fessed, that every great revolution in an empire fuppofes great talents in him by whom it is produced, or at least some brilliant vice that astonishment and gratitude metamorphofe into virtue.-Such is, at the time of the establishment of despotism, the productive cause of great accomplishments in the arts and sciences. The first moments past, if the same country become barren in men of talent, it is because the tyrant, being then well established on his throne, is no longer in want of their affiftance. So that the reign of the arts and sciences in a state seldom extends above a century or two. -- If in each empire the sciences just shoot up and then wither, it is because the motives proper to produce men of genius do not commonly exert themselves there more than once. It is at the highest peiled of grandeur that a nation commonly produces the fruits of the arts and feiences. three or four generations of illustrious men pass away, the people change their manners, and fink into servitude; their minds have lost their energy; there is no strong passion remaining to put them in action; the tyrant no longer excites the people to the purfuit of any kind of glory; it is not talents but bafeness he now honours; and genius, if it still remain, lives and dies unknown to its own country: It is like the orange tree, that flourishes,

flourishes, perfumes the air, and dies in a defert.

Despotism, while it is gaining ground, suffers men to fay what they will, while they fuffer it to do what it will: but once established, it forbids all talking, writing, and thinking. The minds of men then fink into an apathy: all the inhabitants become flaves, curse the breasts that gave them milk, and under fuch a government every new birth is an increase of misery. - The pomp of an eastern empire can without doubt impose on the vulgar, who may estimate the force of a nation by the magnificence of its palaces. The wife man judges differently; it is by that very magnificence he estimates its weakness. He sees nothing more in that impoling pomp, in the midst of which the tyrant sits enthroned, than a fumptuous and mournful decoration of the dead; than the apparatus of a sumptuous suneral, in the centre of which is a cold and lifeless body, a lump of unanimated earth: in short, a phantom. of power ready to disappear before the enemy by whom it is defpifed. A great nation where defpotic power is at last established, resembles an oak that has been crowned by ages; its majestic trunk and the largeness of its branches still declare its priftine force and grandeur; it feems still to be the monarch of the woods: but its true state is that of decadency; its branches despoiled. of their leaves, and deflitute of the spirit of life, are half withered, and some of them continually broken off by the wind. Such is the state of a nation subdued by arbitrary power.

HELVETIUS.

#### THE ENGLISH CONSTITUTION.

THE conflitution of the English government, ever fince the invasion of this island by the Saxons, may boast of this pre-eminence, that in no age the will of the monarch was ever entirely abfolute and uncontrouled: but in other respects, the balance of power has extremely shifted among the feveral orders of the state; and this fabric has experienced the fame mutability which has attended all human institutions. - The ancient Saxons, like the other German nations, where each individual was enured to arms, and where the independence of men was fecured by a great equality of possessions, seem to have admitted a confiderable mixture of democracy into their form of government, and to have been one of the freest nations of which there remains any account in the records of hiftory.-After this tribe was fettled in England, especially after the diffolution of the Heptarchy, the great extent of the kingdom produced a great inequality of property; and the balance feems to have inclined to the fide of the ariaristocracy.—The Norman conquest threw more authority into the hands of the fovereign, which, however, admitted of great controul; though derived less from the general forms of the constitution, which were inaccurate and irregular, than from the independent power enjoyed by each baron in his particular diffrict or province .-The establishment of the great charter exalted still higher the aristocracy, imposed regular limits on royal power, and gradually introduced fome mixture of democracy into the constitution. -But even during this period, from the accession of Edward I. to the death of Richard III. the condition of the Commons was nowife defirable; a kind of Polish aristocracy prevailed; and though the kings were limited, the people were as yet far from being free.-It required the authority almost absolute of the sovereigns, which took place in the subsequent period, to pull down these diforderly and licentious tyrants, who were equally enemies to peace and to freedom, and to establish that regular execution of the laws, which, in a following age, enabled the people to erect a regular and equitable plan of liberty. In each of these successive alterations, the only rule of government, which is intelligible, or carries any authority with it, is the established practice of the age, and the maxims of administration, which are at that time prevalent and univerfally affented

to.—Those who, from a pretended respect to antiquity, appeal at every turn to an original plan of the constitution, only cover their turbulent fpirit and their private ambition under the appearance of venerable forms; and whatever period they pitch on for their model, they may still be carried back to a more ancient period, where they will find the measures of power entirely different, and where every circumstance, by reason of the greater barbarity of the times, will appear less worthy of imitation.—Above all, a civilized nation, like the English, who have happily established the most perfect and most accurate system of liberty that ever was found compatible with government, ought to be cautious of appealing to the practice of their ancestors, or regarding the maxims of uncultivated ages as certain rules for their prefent conduct.—An acquaintance with the history of the ancient periods of their government is chiefly ufeful, by instructing them to cherish their present constitution from a comparison or contrast with the condition of those distant times.—And it is also curious, by showing them the remote, and commonly faint and disfigured, originals of the most finished and most noble institutions, and by instructing them in the great mixture of accident, which commonly concurs with a small ingredient of wisdom and forefight,

fight, in creeting the complicated fabric of the most perfect government. Hume.

## ABUSES IN THE ENGLISH CONSTITUTION.

THE English history will inform us, that the people of England have always borne extreme oppression for a long time before there has appeared any danger of a general infurrection against the government. What a feries of encroachments upon their rights did even the feudal barons, whose number was not very considerable, and whose power was great, bear from William the Conqueror, and his fucceffors, before they broke out into actual rebellion on that account, as in the reigns of King John and Henry III.! And how much were the lowest orders of the poor Commons trampled upon with impunity by both till a much later period; when, all the while, they were fo far from attempting any refistance, or even complaining of the groß infringement of their rights, that they had not fo much as an idea of their having any right to be trampled upon! After the people had begun to acquire property, independence, and an idea of their natural rights, how long did they bear a load of old and new oppressions under the Tudors, but more especially under the Stuarts, before they broke out into what the friends of arbitrary power

power affect to call the grand rebellion! And how great did that obstinate civil war show the power of the King to be, notwithstanding the most intolerable abuse of it! At the close of the year 1642, it was more probable that the King would have prevailed than the Parliament; and his fuccess would have been certain, if his conduct had not been as weak as it was wicked.--So great was the power of the crown, that after the Restoration, Charles II. was tempted to act the fame part as his father, and actually did it in a great measure with impunity; till at last he was even able to reign without parliaments; and if he had lived much longer, he would, in all probability, have been as arbitrary as the King of France. His brother James II. had almost fubverted both the civil and religious liberties of his country in the short space of four years; and might have done it completely, if he could have been content to have proceeded with more caution; nay, he might have fucceeded notwithstanding his precipitancy, if the Divine Being had not, at that critical time, raifed William III. of glorious memory, for our deliverence.

# ENNUI or the wearisomeness or Inaction.

THE ennui, or the wearisomeness of inaction, is a more general and powerful fpring of action than is imagined. Of all pains this is the least; but nevertheless it is one. The desire of happiness makes us always consider the absence of pleafure as an evil. We would have the necessary intervals that separate the lively pleasures always connected with the gratification of our natural wants, filled up with fome of those fensations that are always agreeable when they are not painful: we therefore constantly defire new impressions, in order to put us in mind every instant of our existence; because every one of these informations affords us pleasure. Thus the Savage, as foon as he has fatisfied his wants, runs to the banks of a river, where the rapid fuccession of the waves that drive each other forward make every moment new impressions upon him: for this reason, we prefer objects in motion to those at rest: and we proverbially fay, that fire makes company; that is, it helps to deliver us from the wearisomeness of inaction. Men search with the greatest eagerness for every thing capable of putting them in motion; it is this defire that makes the common people run to an execution, and the people

people of fashion to a play; and it is the same motive in a gloomy devotion, and even in the auftere exercises of penance, that frequently affords old women a remedy against the tiresomeness of inaction: for God, who by all possible means endeavours to bring finners to himfelf, commonly uses with respect to them that of the wearisomeness of inaction.

A man of literature had for his neighbour one of those indolent people who are the pest of fociety; who being tired of himfelf, went one day to pay a visit to the man of letters; who received him in a very agreeable manner, and with great politeness continued tired of him, till being weary of staying any longer in the same place, the idler took his leave, in order to plague fomebody elfe. He was no fooner gone, than the man of learning returned to his studies and forgot his vexation. Some days after he was accused of not having returned the vifit he had received, and taxed with want of politeness; upon which he, in his turn, went to fee the idler: "Sir, (faid he), " I am informed that you complain of me: how-"ever, you know that it was being weary of " yourfelf that brought you to me. I, who tired " nobody, received you as well as I could; it is " then you who are obliged, and I who am taxed " with unpoliteness. Be yourfelf the judge of " my proceedings, and fee whether you ought " not 2

not to put an end to complaints that prove nothing, but that I have not, like you, occasion
for vifits, and have neither the inhumanity to

" for visits; and have neither the inhumanity to

" plague my neighbour, nor the injustice to de-

" fame him after I have tired out his patience."

HELVETIUS.

#### ENTHUSIASM.

IMMEDIATE revelation being a much easier way for men to establish their opinions and regulate their conduct than the tedious and not always fuccefsful labour of strict reasoning; it is no wonder that fome have been very apt to pretend to revelation, and to perfuade themselves, that they are under the peculiar guidance of heaven in their actions and opinions, especially in those of them which they cannot account for by the ordinary methods of knowledge and principles of Hence we fee, that in all ages, men in whom melancholy has mixed with devotion, or whose conceit of themselves has raised them into an opinion of a greater familiarity with God, and a nearer admittance to his favour, than is afforded to others, have often flattered themselves with a perfuasion of an immediate intercourse with the Deity, and frequent communications from the Divine Spirit. God, I own, cannot be denied to be able to enlighten the understanding by a ray Vol. I.  $\mathbf{z}$ dartdarted into the mind immediately from the fountain of light. This they understand he has promised to do; and who then has so good a title to expect it as those who are his peculiar people, chosen by him, and depending on him?

Their minds being thus prepared, whatever groundless opinion comes to settle itself strongly upon their fancies, is an illumination from the Spirit of God, and presently of Divine authority; and whatsoever odd action they find in themselves a strong inclination to do, that impulse is concluded to be a call or direction from heaven, and must be obeyed; it is a commission from above, and they cannot err in executing it.

This I take to be properly enthuliasm; which, though founded neither on reason nor divine revelation, but rising from the conceits of a warmed or overweening brain, works yet, where it once gets footing, more powerfully on the persuasions and actions of men, than either of those two or both together: men being most forwardly obedient to the impulses they receive from themselves; and the whole man is sure to act more vigorously, where the whole man is carried by a natural motion. For strong conceit, like a new principle, carries all easily with it when got above common sense; and freed from all restraint of reason and check of reslection, it is heightened into a divine

authority in concurrence with our own temper and inclination.

Locke.

## EQUALITY.

IT is one of the most important objects of government, to prevent an extreme inequality of fortunes; not by taking away the wealth of the possessions, but in depriving them of means to accumulate them; not by building hospitals for the poor, but by preventing the citizens from becoming poor. The unequal distribution of the inhabitants of a country; fome being thinly fcattered over a large tract of land, while others are affembled together in crowds in cities; the encouragement of the agreeable instead of the useful arts: the facrifice of agriculture to commerce; the mal-administration of the finances; and in fhort, that excess of venality which fets public esteem at a pecuniary value, and rates even virtue at a market-price: These are all the most obvious causes of opulence and of poverty; of the public interest; the mutual hatred of the citizens; their indifference for the common cause; the corruption of the people; and the weakening of all the springs of government.

Rousseau.

## On the same Subject.

The term Equality does not mean, that individuals should all absolutely possess the same degree of wealth and power; but only, that with respect to the latter, it should never be exercised contrary to good order and the laws; and with respect to the former, that no one citizen should be rich enough to buy another, and that none should be fo poor as to be obliged to fell himfelf .- This fuppofes a moderation of possessions and credit on the fide of the great, and a moderation of defires and covetoufness on the part of the little. -Would you give a state consistency and strength, prevent the two extremes as much as possible; let there be no rich persons, nor beggars. These two conditions, naturally inseparable, are equally destructive to the commonwealth: the one furnishes tyrants, and the other the supporters of tyranny. It is by these the traffic of public liberty is carried on; the one buying, the other felling it. -This equality, they tell us, is a mere speculative chimera, which cannot exist in practice. But though abuses are inevitable, does it thence follow they are not to be corrected? It is for the very reason that things always tend to destroy this equality, that the laws should be calculated to preferve it. Rousseau.

### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

A Too great disproportion of wealth among citizens weakens any state. Every person, if possible, ought to enjoy the fruits of his labour, in a full possession of all the necessaries, and many of the conveniences of life. No one can doubt but fuch an equality is most fuitable to human nature, and diminishes much less from the happiness of the rich than it adds to that of the poor. It also augments the power of the state, and makes any extraordinary taxes or impositions be paid with more cheerfulnefs. Where the riches are engroffed by a few, these must contribute very largely to supplying the public necessities: But when the riches are difperfed among multitudes, the burden feels light on every fhoulder; and the taxes make not a fensible difference on any one's way of living .- Add to this, that where the riches are in few hands, these must enjoy all the power; and will readily conspire to lay all the burthen on the poor, and oppress them still farther, to the difcouragement of all industry.

HUME,

### ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

All animals are equal; but man is a flave to man almost every where throughout the earth. If Z 3 man

man had met every where with an eafy, certain, and fafe fublishence, and a climate fuitable to his nature, it is manifestly impossible that one man could have enflaved another. When this earth fhall every where produce falubrious fruits; when the air, which should contribute to our life, shall not bring us ficknesses and death; when man shall stand in need of no other lodging and bed than that of the deer and roe-buck; then the Tamerlanes of the earth will have no other domestics than their children, in this fo natural state, which all quadrupeds, birds, and reptiles enjoy. Man would be as happy as they: Dominion. would then be a chimera, an abfurdity which no one could think of; for who would make a buftle to get fervants without any want of their fervice? Should any individual, of a tyrannical disposition and extraordinary strength, take it into his head to make a flave of his weaker neighbour, the thing would be impracticable; the party oppressed would be an hundred leagues out of the oppressor's reach before he had taken his measures.-Thus a freedom from wants would necessarily make all men equal. It is the distress annexed to our species which subjects one man toanother. Not that inequality is a real misfortune; the grievance lies in dependence.-A numerous family has fuccefsfully cultivated a good foil, whilst two small neighbouring families cannot bring

bring the stubborn grounds to produce any thing: the two poor families must either become servants to the opulent family, or extirpate it. This is felf-evident: one of the two indigent families, for a fubfiftence, goes and offers its labour to the rich; the other goes to disposses it by force of of arms, and is beaten. The former is the origin of domestics and labourers; and from the latter flavery is derived .- In our calamitous globe, it is impossible that men, living together in fociety, should not be divided into two classes; one the rich, who command; the other the poor, who ferve or obey. This division originates from nature. The unequal abilities, industry, ambition, and avarice, which are every where found in mankind, produce it .- All the oppressed are not absolutely unhappy. Most of them being born in a fervile state, continual labour and a habit of dependence preferve them from too fensible feeling of their fituation: but whenever they feel it, wars are the confequence; as at Rome between the Plebeian and Patrician parties; and those of the peafants in Germany. All these wars terminate, foon or late, in the fubjection of the people; because the great have riches, and riches do every thing within a ftate: I fay, within a state; for between nation and nation it is otherwife. A nation which handles iron best, will ever be too strong for that which, with its abundance

dance of gold, is deficient in skill and courage: the Mexicans and Peruvians are striking instances of this truth.—Every man is born with no small propensity to power, riches, and pleasure, and has naturally a delight in indolence; consequently every man is for having the riches, wives, or daughters of others; would subject all to his humours, and do no work, or at least what only pleased himself.

Mankind, in the prefent state, cannot subsist, unless an infinity of useful men have the missortune of being without any possession whatever; for no man in easy circumstances will plough the ground. Thus equality is, at the same time, both the most natural and the most chimerical thing in the world.

Every man has a right to believe himself naturally equal to other men; the animal functions are alike in both. But it does not from hence follow, that a man is excused in neglecting the duty of his station: were it so, there would be an end of human society.

VOLTAIRE.

## ESTABLISHMENTS FOR THE RELIGIOUS INSTRUCTION OF THE PEOPLE.

THE inftitutions for the inftruction of the people of all ages are chiefly those for religious inftruction.

tion. This is a species of instruction of which the object is not fo much to render the people good citizens in this world, as to prepare them for another and a better world in a life to come. The teachers of the doctrine which contains this instruction, in the same manner as other teachers, may either depend altogether for their sublistence upon the voluntary contributions of their hearers; or they may derive it from some other fund to which the law of their country may intitle them; fuch as a landed estate, a tythe or land-tax, an established falary or stipend. Their exertion, their zeal and industry, are likely to be much greater in the former fituation than in the latter. In this respect the teachers of new religions have always had a confiderable advantage in attacking those ancient and established systems of which the clergy, reposing themselves upon their benefices, had neglected to keep up the fervour of faith and devotion in the great body of the people; and having given themselves up to indolence, were become altogether incapable of making any vigorous exertion in defence even of their own establishment. The clergy of an established and well-endowed religion frequently become men of learning and elegance, who possess all the virtues of gentlemen, or which can recommend them to the esteem of gentlemen; but they are apt gradually to lofe the qualities, both good and bad,

### 274 RELIGIOUS ESTABLISHMENTS.

bad, which gave them authority and influence with the inferior ranks of people, and which had perhaps been the original causes of the success and establishment of their religion. Such a clergy, when attacked by a fet of popular and bold, though perhaps stupid and ignorant enthusiasts, feel themselves as perfectly defenceless as the indolent, effeminate, and full-fed nations of the fouthern parts of Asia, when they were invaded by the active, hardy, and hungry Tartars of the north. Such a clergy, upon fuch an emergency, have commonly no other resource than to call upon the civil magistrate to perfecute, destroy, or drive out their adversaries, as disturbers of the public peace. It was thus that the Roman Catholic clergy called upon the civil magistrate to perfecute the Protestants; and the church of England, to persecute the Dissenters; and that, in general, every religious fect, when it has once enjoyed for a century or two the security of a legal establishment, has found itself incapable of making any vigorous defence against any new fect which chose to attack its doctrine or discipline. Upon fuch occasions, the advantage in point of learning and good writing may fometimes be on the fide of the established church: But the arts of popularity, all the arts of gaining profelytes, are conftantly on the fide of its adverfaries. In England, those arts have been long

long neglected by the well-endowed clergy of the established church, and are at present chiefly cultivated by the Dissenters and by the Methodists. The independent provisions, however, which in many places have been made for dissenting teachers, by means of voluntary subscriptions, of trust-rights, and other evasions of the law, seem very much to have abated the zeal and activity of those teachers. They have many of them become very learned, ingenious, and respectable men; but they have in general ceased to be very popular preachers. The Methodists, without half the learning of the Dissenters, are much more in vogue.

In the church of Rome, the industry and zeal of the inferior clergy is kept more alive by the powerful motive of felf-interst, than perhaps in any established Protestant church. The parochial clergy derive, many of them, a very confiderable part of their subfiftence from the voluntary oblations of the people; a fource of revenue which confession gives them many opportunities of improving. The mendicant orders derive their whole fubfiftance from fuch oblations. It is with them, as with the huffars and light infantry of fome armies; no plunder, no pay. The parochial clergy are like those teachers whose reward depends partly upon their falary, and partly upon the fees or honoraries which they get from their pupils ;

pupils; and these must always depend more or less upon their industry and reputation. The . mendicant orders are like those teachers whose fubfistence depends altogether upon their industry. They are obliged, therefore, to use every art which can animate the devotion of the common people. The establishment of the two great mendicant orders of St. Dominick and St. Francis, it is observed by Machiavel, revived, in the thirteenth and fourteenth conturies, the languishing faith and devotion of the Catholic church. In Roman Catholic countries, the spirit of devotion is supported altogether by the monks and by the poorer parochial clergy. The great dignitaries of the church, with all the accomplishments of gentlemen and men of the world, and fometimes with those of men of learning, are careful enough to maintain the necessary discipline over their inferiors, but feldom give themselves any trouble about the instruction of the people.

"Most of the arts and professions in a state," says by far the most illustrious philosopher and historian of the present age (David Hume), "are of such a nature, that while they promote the interest of the society, they are also useful or agreeable to some individuals; and in that case the constant rule of the magistrate, except perhaps on the first introduction of any art, is to leave the profession to itself, and trust its encouragement to the individuals who reap the benefit of it.

-The

-The artifans, finding their profits to rife by the favour of their customers, increase as much as possible their skill and industry; and as matters are not disturbed by any injudicious tampering, the commodity is always fure at all times to be exactly proportioned to the demand.—But there are also some callings which, though useful and even necessary in a state, bring no advantage or pleasure to any individual; and the supreme power is obliged to alter its conduct with regard to the retainers of those professions .- It must give them public encouragement in order to their fubfiftence; and it must provide against that negligence to which they will naturally be fubject, either by annexing particular honours to the profession, by establishing a long subordination of ranks and a strict dependence, or by some other expedient.—The perfons employed in the finances, armies, fleets, and magistracy, are instances of this order of men .- It may naturally be thought at first view, that the ecclesiastics belong to the first class; and that their encouragement, as well as that of lawyers and physicians, may fafely be trusted to the liberality of individuals who are attached to their doctrines, and who find benefit or confolation from their fpiritual ministry and affiftance.—Their industry and vigilance will no doubt be whetted by fuch an additional motive: and their skill in the profession, as well as their Vol. I. A a addrefs

address in governing the minds of the people, must receive daily increase from their increasing practice, study, and attention.—But if we confider the matter more closely, we shall find that this interested diligence of the clergy is what every wife legislature will study to prevent; because in every religion except the true, it is highly pernicious, and has even a natural tendency to pervert the true, by infufing into it a strong mixture of fuperstition, folly, and delusion.-Each ghoftly practitioner, in order to render himfelf more precious and facred in the eyes of his retainers, must inspire them with the most violent abhorrence against all other fects, and continually endeavour by fome novelty to excite the languid devotion of his audience.-No regard will be paid to truth, morals, or decency, in the doctrines inculcated .- Every tenet will be adopted that best fuits the diforderly affections of the human frame. -Customers will be drawn to each conventicle by new industry and address in practifung on the paffions and credulity of the populace.-And in the end, the civil magistrate will find that he has paid dearly for his pretended frugality in faving a fettled foundation for the priefts; and that in reality the most decent and advantageous composition which he can make with the spiritual guides, is to bribe their indolence, by affixing stated falaries to their profession, and rendering it it fuperfluous for them to be further active than merely to preserve their flock from straying in quest of new pastures.—And in this manner ecclesiastical establishments, though commonly they arose at first from religious views, prove in the end advantageous to the political interests of society."

But whatever may have been the good or bad effects of the independent provision of the clergy, it has, perhaps, been very seldom bestowed upon them from any view to those effects. Times of violent religious controversy have generally been times of equally violent political faction. Upon fuch occasions, each political party has either found it, or imagined it, for its interest, to league itself with some one or other of the contending religious fects. But this could be done only by adopting, or at least by favouring, the tenets of that particular fect. The fect which had the good fortune to be leagued with the conquering party, necessarily shared in the victory of its ally, by whose favour and protection it was soon enabled in fome degree to filence and fubdue all its adverfaries. Those adverfaries had generally leagued themselves with the enemies of the conquering party, and were therefore the enemies of that party. The clergy of this particular fect having thus become complete mafters of the field, and their influence and authority with the

great body of the people being in its highest vigour, they were powerful enough to over-awethe chiefs and leaders of their own party, and to oblige the civil magificate to respect their opinions and inclinations. Their first demand was generally, that he should silence and subdue all their adversaries; and their second, that he should bestow an independent provision on themselves. As they had generally contributed a good deal to the victory, it feemed not unreasonable that they should have some share in the spoil. They were weary, besides, of humouring the people, and of depending upon their caprice for a fubfiftence. In making this demand therefore they confulted their own ease and comfort, without troubling themselves about the effect which it might have in future times upon the influence and authority of their order. The civil magistrate, who could comply with this demand only by giving them fomething which he would have chosen much rather to take, or to keep to himself, was feldom very forward to grant it. Necessity, however, always forced him to fubmit at laft, though frequently not till after many delays, evalions, and affected excuses.

But if politics had never called in the aid of religion, had the conquering party never adopted the tenets of one fect more than those of another, when it had gained the victory, it would probably have dealt equally and impartially with all the different fects, and have allowed every man to choose his own priest and his own religion as he thought proper. There would in this cafe, no doubt, have been a great multitude of religious fects. Almost every different congregation might probably have made a little feet by itself, or have entertained fome peculiar tenets of its own. Each teacher would no doubt have felt himfelf under the necessity of making the utmost exertion, and of using every art both to preserve and to increase the number of his disciples. But as every other teacher would have felt himfelf under the fame necessity, the success of no one teacher, or sect of teachers, could have been very great. The interested and active zeal of religious teachers can be dangerous and troublesome only where there is either but one fect tolerated in the fociety, or where the whole of a large fociety is divided into two or three great fects; the teachers of each acting by concert, and under a regular discipline and subordination. But that zeal must be altogether innocent where the fociety is divided into two or three hundred, or perhaps into as many thousand, small fects, of which no one could be confiderable enough to difturb the public tranquillity. The teachers of each feet, feeing themfelves furrounded on all fides with more adverfaries than friends, would be obliged to learn that Aa3 candou,

282

candour and moderation which is fo feldom to be found among the teachers of those great fects, whose tenets being supported by the civil magiftrate, are held in veneration by almost all the inhabitants of extensive kingdoms and empires, and who therefore fee nothing round them but followers, disciples, and humble admirers. The teachers of each little fect, finding themselves almost alone, would be obliged to respect those of almost every other fect; and the concessions which they would mutually find it both convenient and agreeable to make to one another, might in time probably reduce the doctrine of the greater part of them to that pure and rational religion, free from every mixture of abfurdity, imposture, or fanaticifm, fuch as wife men have in all ages of the world wished to see established; but such as positive law has perhaps never yet established, and probably never will establish, in any country: because, with regard to religion, positive law always has been, and probably always will be, more or lefs influenced by popular superstition and enthusiasm. This plan of ecclesiastical government, or more properly of no ecclefiastical government, was what the fect called Independents, a fect no doubt of very wild enthusiasts, proposed to establish in England towards the end of the civil war. If it had been established, though of a very unphilosophical origin, it would probably by this time

time have been productive of the most philosophical good temper and moderation with regard to every fort of religious principle. It has been established in Pensylvania, where, though the Quakers happen to be the most numerous, the law in reality favours no one sect more than another; and it is there said to have been productive of this philosophical good temper and moderation.

But though this equality of treatment should not be productive of this good temper and moderation in all, or even in the greater part of the religious fects of a particular country; yet provided those fects were fusiciently numerous, and each of them confequently too fmall to disturb the public tranquillity, the excessive zeal of each for its particular tenets could not well be productive of any very hurtful effects, but, on the contrary, of feveral good ones: and if the government was perfectly decided both to let them all alone, and to oblige them all to let alone one another, there is little danger that they would not of their own accord fubdivide themselves fast enough, fo as foon to become fufficiently numerous.

A. SMITH.

#### EVIDENCE.

EVERY one asks, what is truth or evidence? The root of the word indicates the idea we ought to annex to it. Evidence is derived from videre. -What is an evident proposition? It is a fact of which all may convince themselves by the testimony of their fenses, and whose existence they may moreover verify every instant. Such are theie two facts, two and two make four; the whole is greater than a part.—If I pretend, for example, that there is in the north fea a polypus named Kraken, and that this polypus is as large as a small island; this fact, though evident to me, if I have feen and examined it with all the attention necessary to convince me of its reality, is not even probable to him who has not feen it; it is more rational in him to doubt my veracity, than to believe the existence of so extraordinary an animal.—But if, after travellers, I describe the true form of the buildings at Pekin, this description, evident to those who inhabit them, is only more or less probable to others; so that the true is not always evident, and the probable is often true. But in what does evidence differ from probability? Evidence is a fact that is subject to our fenses, and whose existence all men may verify every inftant. As to probability, it is founded on conjectures, on the testimony of men, and.

and on a hundred proofs of the fame kind. Evidence is a fingle point; there are no degrees of evidence. On the contrary, there are various degrees of probability, according to the difference, first, of the people who affert; secondly, of the fact afferted. Five men tell me they have seen a bear in the forests of Poland: this fact not being contradicted by any thing, is to me very probable. But if not five only, but five hundred men, should assure me they met in the same forests ghosts, sairies, demons, their united evidence would not be to me at all probable; for in cases of this nature, it is more common to meet with five hundred romancers, than to see fuch prodigies.

HELVETIUS.

#### HISTORICAL EVIDENCE.

WERE most historical events traced up to their causes, we should find historical evidence very deficient. Mankind is made up of inconsistencies; and no man acts invariably up to his predominant character. Our best conjectures, as to the true spring of actions, are very uncertain; the actions themselves is all we must pretend to know from history. That Cæsar was murdered by 24 conspirators, I doubt not; but I very much doubt, whether their love of liberty was the sole cause.

CHESTERFIELD.

### THE ORIGIN OF EVIL.

MAN is an active and free being; he acts of himself: none of his spontaneous actions, therefore, enter into the general fystem of Providence, nor can be imputed to it. Providence doth not contrive the evil, which is the confequence of man's abusing the liberty his Creator gave him: it only doth not prevent it; either because the evil, which fo impotent a being is capable of doing, is beneath its notice; or because it cannot prevent it without laying a restraint upon his liberty, and causing a great evil by debasing his nature. Providence hath left man at liberty, not that he should do evil, but good by choice, in making a proper use of the faculties bestowed on him: his powers, however, are at the same time fo limited and confined, that the abuse he makes of his liberty, is not of importance enough to disturb the general order of the universe. The evil done by man falls on his own head, without making any change in the fystem of the world, without hindering the human species from being preferved in spite of themselves. To complain, therefore, that God doth not prevent man from doing evil, is, in fact, to complain that he hath given a superior excellence to human nature; that he hath ennobled our actions, by annexing

to them the merit of virtue. What could Omnipotence itself do more in our favour? Could it have established a contradiction in our nature. or have allotted rewards for well-doing to a being incapable of doing ill? It is the abuse of our faculties which makes us wicked and miferable. Our cares, our anxieties, our griefs, are all owing to ourselves. Moral evil is incontestably our own work; and physical evil would in fact be nothing, did not our vices render us fensible of Is it not for our prefervation that nature makes us fensible of our wants? Is not pain of body an indication that the machine is out of order, and a caution for us to provide a remedy? And as to death-do not the wicked render both our lives and their own miferable? Who is there defirous of living here for ever? Death is a remedy for all the evils we inflict on ourselves. Nature will not let us fuffer perpetually. To how few evils are men subject who live in primeval simplicity! They hardly know any disease, and are irritated by fearcely any passions: they neither foresee death, nor suffer by the apprehenfions of it: when it approaches, their miferies render it defirable; and it is to them no evil.

Inquire no longer, man! who is the author of evil: behold him in yourfelf. There exists no other evil in nature but what you do or suffer; and you are equally the author of both. A general

neral evil could exist only in disorder; but in the system of nature, there is an established order which is never disturbed. Particular evil exists only in the fentiment of the fuffering being; and this fentiment is not given to man by nature, but is of his own acquisition. Pain and forrow have but little hold of those who, unaccustomed to reflections, have neither forefight nor memory. Take away our fatal improvements, take away our errors and vices; take away, in short, every thing that is the work of man; and all the rest is good. Let us be first virtuous, and rest assured we shall be happy fooner or later. Let us not require the prize before we have got the victory, nor demand the price of our labour before the work is finished. It is not in the lists, says Plutrach, that the victors at our games are crowned, but after the conquest is over. The foul is immaterial, and will furvive the body; and in that view Providence is justified. When delivered from the delutions of fenfe, we shall enjoy the contemplation of the Supreme Being, and those eternal truths of which he is the fource; when the beauty of the natural order of things shall strike all the faculties of the foul, and when we shall be employed folely in comparing what we have really done with what we ought to have done.

Rousseau.

# OBSERVATIONS ON NATURAL AND MORAL EVIL.

IT must be allowed, that if a very limited intelligence, whom we shall suppose utterly unacquainted with the universe, were affured that it were the production of a very good, wife, and powerful being, however finite, he would from his conjectures form beforehand a different notion of it from what we find it to be by experience; nor would he ever imagine, merely from these attributes of the cause, of which he is informed, that the effect could be fo full of vice and mifery and diforder as it appears in this life. Suppose now that this person were brought into the world, still assured that it was the workmanship of such a fublime and benevolent Being, he might perhaps be surprifed at the disappointment; but would never retract his former belief, if founded on a very folid argument; fince fuch a limited intelligence must be sensible of his own blindness and ignorance, and must allow that there may be many folutions of those phenomena which will for ever escape his comprehension. But suppofing, which is the real case with regard to man, that this creature is not antecedently convinced of a supreme Intelligence, benevolent and powerful, but is left to gather fuch a belief from the appear-Vel. I. ВЬ ances

ances of things; this entirely alters the case, nor will he ever find any reason for such a conclusion. He may be fully convinced of the narrow limits of his understanding; but this will not help him in forming an inference concerning the goodness of superior powers, since he must form that inference from what he knows, and not from what he is ignorant of. The more you exaggerate his weakness and ignorance, the more distident you render him, and give him the greater suspicion that such subjects are beyond the reach of his faculties. You are therefore obliged to reason with him merely from the known phenomena, and to drop every arbitrary supposition or conjecture.

Did I show you a house or palace, where there is not one apartment convenient or agreeable; where the windows, doors, fires, passages, stairs, and the whole economy of the building, were the fource of noife, confusion, fatigue, darkness, and the extremes of heat and cold; you would certainly blame the contrivance, without any further examination. The architect would in vain display his subtilty, and prove to you, that if this door or that window were altered, greater ills would enfue. What he fays may be ftrictly true: the alteration of one particular, while the other parts of the building remain, may only augment the inconveniences. But still you would affert in general, that if the architect had skill and good intenintentions, he might have formed such a plan of the whole, and might have adjusted the parts in such a manner, as would have remedied all or most of these inconveniences. His ignorance, or even your own ignorance, of such a plan, will never convince you of the impossibility of it. If you find many inconveniences and deformities in the building, you will always, without entering into any detail, condemn the architect.

Is the world confidered in general, and as it appears to us in this life, different from what a man, or fuch a limited being, would beforehand expect from a very powerful, wife, and benevolent Deity? It must be strange prejudice to affert the contrary. And from thence I conclude, that however confistent the world may be, allowing certain suppositions and conjectures, with the idea of such a Deity, it can never afford us an inference concerning his existence. The confistence is not absolutely denied, but only the inference. Conjectures, especially where infinity is excluded from the divine attributes, may perhaps be sufficient to prove a confistence; but can never be foundations for any inference.

There feem to be four circumstances on which depend all the greatest part of the ills that molest sensible creatures; and it is not impossible but all these circumstances may be necessary and unavoidable. We know so little beyond common to the sensitive of the sensitive sensi

life, or even of common life, that, with regard to the economy of an universe, there is no conjecture, however wild, which may not be just; nor any one, however plausible, which may not be erroneous. All that belongs to human understanding in this deep ignorance and obscurity, is to be sceptical, or at least cautious; and not to admit of any hypothesis whatever, much less of any which is supported by no appearance of probability. Now this I affert to be the case with regard to all the circumstances on which it depends. None of them appear to human reason in the least degree necessary or unavoidable; nor can we suppose them such without the utmost licence of imagination.

The first circumstance which introduces evil is that contrivance or occonomy of the animal creation, by which pains as well as pleasures are employed to excite all creatures to action, and make them vigilant in the great work of self-preservation. Now pleasure alone, in its various degrees, seems to human understanding sufficient for this purpose. All animals might be constantly in a state of enjoyment: but when urged by any of the necessities of nature, such as thirst, hunger, weariness; instead of pain, they might feel a diminution of pleasure, by which they might be prompted to seek that object which is necessary to their subsistence. Men pursue pleasure as eagerly

gerly as they avoid pain, at least might have been so constituted. It seems therefore plainly possible to carry on the business of life without any pain. Why then is any animal ever rendered susceptible of such a sensation? If any animals can be free from it an hour, they might enjoy a perpetual exemption from it; and it required as particular a contrivance of their organs to produce that seeling, as to endow them with sight, hearing, or any of the sensations. Shall we conjecture that such a contrivance was necessary, without any appearance of reason? and shall we build on that conjecture as on the most certain truth?

But a capacity of pain would not alone produce pain, were it not for the fecond-circumstance, viz. the conducting the world by general laws; and this seems no way necessary to a very perfect being. It is true, if every thing were conducted by particular volitions, the course of nature would be perpetually broken, and no man would employ his reason in the conduct of life. But might not other particular volitions remedy this inconvenience? In short, might not the Detity exterminate all ill, wherever it were to be found; and produce all good, without any preparation or long progress of causes and effects?

Besides, we must consider, that, according to the present economy of the world, the course of nature, though supposed exactly regular, yet to

2: B.b.3 us.

us appears not fo; and many events are uncertain, and many disappoint our expectations. Health and fickness, calm and tempest, with an infinite number of other accidents, whose causes are unknown and variable, have a great influence both on the fortunes of particular persons, and on the prosperity of public societies; and indeed all human life in a manner depends on fuch accidents. A being, therefore, who knows the fecret fprings of the universe, might eafily, by particular volitions, turn all these accidents to the good of mankind, and render the whole world happy, without discovering himself in any operation. Some fmall touches given to Caligula's brain in his infancy might have converted him into a Trajan; one wave a little higher than the rest, by burying Cæsar and his fortune in the ocean, might have reftored liberty to a confiderable part of mankind. A few fuch events as thefe, regularly and wifely conducted, would change the face of the world; and yet would no more seem to disturb the course of nature, or confound human conduct, than the prefent oconomy of things, where the causes are fecret, and variable, and compounded.

If every thing in the universe be conducted by general laws, and if animals be susceptible of pain; yet ill would be very rare, were it not for the third circumstance which I proposed to men-

tion, viz. the great frugality with which all powers and faculties are distributed to every particular being. So well adjusted are the organs and capacities of all animals, and so well fitted to their prefervation, that, as far as hiftory or tradition reaches, there appears not to be any fingle species which has yet been extinguished in the universe. Every animal has the requifite endowments; but the endowments are bestowed with so scrupulous an economy, that any confiderable diminution must entirely destroy the creature. Whereever one power is increased, there is a proportional abatement in the others. Nature feems to have formed an exact calculation of the necessisties of her creatures, and, like a rigid master, has afforded them little more powers or endowments than what are strictly sufficient to supply those necessities. An indulgent parent would have bestowed a large stock, in order to guard against accidents, and to secure the happiness and welfare of the creature in the most unfortunate concurrence of circumstances. The Author of nature is inconceivably powerful: his force is fupposed great, if not altogether inexhaustible; nor is there any reason, as far as we can judge, to make Him observe this strict frugality in His dealings with His creatures.

In order to cure most of the ills of life, I require not that man should have the wings of the eagle,

eagle, the fwiftness of the stag, &c. I am contented to take an increase in one fingle power or faculty of the foul. Let him be endowed with greater propensity to industry and labour; a more vigorous fpring and activity of mind; a more constant bent to business and application. the whole species possess naturally an equal diligence with that which many individuals are able to attain by habit and reflection; and the most beneficial confequences, without any allay of ill, is the immediate and necessary result of this endowment. Almost all the moral as well as natural evils of human life arise from idleness; and were our species, by the original constitution of their frame, exempt from this vice or infirmity, the perfect cultivation of land, the improvement of arts and manufactures, the exact execution of every office and duty, immediately follow; and men at once may fully reach that state of fociety, which is fo imperfectly attained in the best government. But as industry is a power, and the most valuable of any, nature feems determined. fuitably to her usual maxims, to bestow it on men with a sparing hand; and rather to punish him feverely for his deficiency in it, than to reward him for his atta aments. She has fo contrived his frame, that nothing but the most violent necessity can oblige his to la our; and she employs all his other wants to overcome, at least in part, the wanof diligence, and to endow him with some share of a faculty, of which she has thought fit naturally to be reave him.

The fourth circumstance, whence arises the mifery and ill of the universe, is the inaccurate workmanship of all the springs and principles of the great machine of nature. It must be acknowledged, that there are few parts of the universe which feem not to ferve some purpose; and whose removal would not produce a visible defect and diforder in the whole. The parts hang all together; nor can one be touched without affecting the rest, in a greater or less degree. But at the fame time it must be observed, that none of these parts or principles, however ufeful, are fo accurately adjusted, as to keep precisely within those bounds in which their utility confifts; but they are all of them apt, on every occasion, to run into the one extreme or the other. There is nothing fo advantageous in the universe but what frequently becomes pernicious by its excefs or defect; nor has nature guarded, with the requifite accuracy, against all disorder and confusion. The irregularity is never, perhaps, fo great as to destroy any species; but is often sufficient to involve the individuals in ruin and mifery.

On the concurrence then of these four circumstances, does all, or the greatest part of natural evil depend. Were all living creatures incapable of pain, or were the world administered by particular volitions, evil could never have found access into the universe: and were animals endowed with a large stock of powers and faculties beyond what strict necessity requires; or were the several springs and principles of the universe so accurately framed as to preserve always the just temperament and medium; there must have been very little ill in comparison of what we feel at present.

Here the Manichean fystem occurs as a proper hypothesis to solve the difficulty: and, no doubt, in some respects it is very specious, and has more probability than the common hypothesis, by giving a plaufible account of the strange mixture of good and ill which appears in life. But if we confider, on the other hand, the perfect uniformity and agreement of the parts of the universe, we shall not discover in it any marks of the combat of a malevolent with a benevolent being. is, indeed, an opposition of pains and pleasures in the feelings of fenfible creatures: but are not all the operations of nature carried on by an opposition of principles; of hot and cold, moist and dry, light and heavy? The true conclusion is, that the original fource of all things is entirely indifferent to all these principles; and has no more regard to good above ill, than to heat above cold, or to drought above moisture, or to light above heavy.

There may four hypotheses be framed concern-

ing the first causes of the universe: That they are endowed with perfect goodness; that they have perfect malice; that they are opposite, and have both goodness and malice; that they have neither goodness nor malice. Mixt phenomena can never prove the two former unmixt principles. And the uniformity and steadiness of general laws seem to oppose the third. The fourth, therefore, seems by far the most probable.

Allowing, what never will be believed, at least what never possibly can be proved, that animal, or at least human happiness, in this life exceeds its misery, is to do nothing: for this is not by any means what we expect from Infinite Power, Infinite Wisdom, and Infinite Goodness. Why is there any misery at all in the world? Not by chance surely. From some cause then. Is it from the intention of the Deity? But he is perfectly benevolent. Is it contrary to his intention? But he is Almighty. Nothing can shake this reasoning; so short, so clear, so decisive: except we affert, that these subjects exceed all human capacity, and that our common measures of truth and sallehood are not applicable to them.

What is here faid of natural evil will apply to moral with little or no variation; and we have no more reason to infer, that the rectitude of the Supreme Being resembles human rectitude, than that his benevolence resembles the human. Nay, it will be thought, that we have still greater cause to exclude from him moral fentiments, fuch as we feel them; fince moral evil, in the opinion of many, is much more predominant above moral good, than natural evil above natural good. But even though this should not be allowed; and though the virtue, which is in mankind, should be acknowledged much superior to the vice; yet fo long as there is any vice at all in the universe, it will be very difficult to account for it. We must assign a cause for it, without having recourse to the first cause. But every effect must have a cause, and that cause another; you must either carry on the progression in infinitum, or rest on that original principle who is the ultimate cause of all things. HHME.

# CAUSES AND EFFECTS DISCOVERABLE, NOT BY REASON, BUT BY EXPERIENCE.

THE knowledge of causes and effects is not in any instance attained by reasonings à priori; but arises entirely from experience, when we find that any particular objects are constantly conjoined with each other. Adam, though his rational faculties be supposed, at the very first, entirely perfect, could not have inferred from the sluidity and transparency of water, that it would suffocate him, or from the light and

warmth of fire that it would confume him. No object ever discovers, by the qualities which appear to the fenses, either the causes which produced it, or the effects which will arise from it: nor can our reason, unassisted by experience, ever draw any inferences concerning real existence and matter of fact. Present two smooth pieces of marble to a man who has no tincture of natural philosophy: he will never discover, that they will adhere together in fuch a manner as to require great force to separate them in a direct line. while they make fo fmall a refiftance to a lateral preflure. No man imagines that the explosion of gunpowder, or the attraction of the loadstone, could ever be discovered by arguments à priori. Who will affert, that he can give the ultimate reasons why milk or bread is proper nourishment for a man, not for a lion or a tyger?-Were any object presented to us, and were we required to pronounce concerning the effect which will refult from it, without confulting past observation, after what manner must the mind proceed in this operation? It must invent or imagine some event, which it ascribes to the object as its effect; and it is plain that this invention must be arbitrary. The mind can never possibly and the effect in the supposed cause by the most accurate ferutiny and examination: For the effect is totally different from the cause; and consequently Vor. L  $\mathbf{C} c$ 

can never be discovered in it. A stone raised into the air, and left without any support, immediately falls; but to confider the matter à priori, is there any thing we discover in this situation which can beget the idea of a downward, rather than an upward, or any other motion, in the stone? -In a word, then, every effect is a distinct event from its cause. It could not, therefore, be discovered in the cause; and the first invention or conception of it à priori must be entirely arbitrary. And even after it is fuggested, the conjunction of it with the cause must appear equally arbitrary; fince there are always many other effects which, to reason, must seem fully as consistent and na-In vain, therefore, should we pretend to determine any fingle event, or infer any cause or effect, without the affiftance of observation and experience. The utmost effect of human reason is, to reduce the principles productive of natural phenomena to a greater funplicity, and to refolve the many particular effects into a few general causes, by means of reasoning from analogy, experience, and observation. But the causes of these general causes, the ultimate springs and principles of nature, are totally flut up from human curiofity and inquiry.

HUME.

THE

ment:

### THE FOUNDATION OF ALL CONCLUSIONS FROM EXPERIENCE.

NATURE has kept us at a great distance from all her fecrets, and has afforded us only the knowledge of a few superficial qualities of objects; while she conceals from us those powers and principles on which the influence of these objects entirely depends. Our fenfes inform us of the colour, weight, and confistence of bread; but neither fense nor reason ever can inform us of those qualities which fit it for the nourishment and support of a human body. Sight, or feeling, conveys an idea of the actual motion of bodies: but as to that wonderful force or power, which would carry on a moving body for ever in a continued change of place, and which bodies never lofe but by communicating it to others; of this we cannot form the most distant conception. But notwithstanding this ignorance of natural powers and principles, we always prefume, where we fee like fensible qualities, that they have like secret powers, and expect, that effects, fimilar to these which we have experienced, will follow from them. a body of like colour and confistence with that of bread, which we have formerly eat, be prefented to us, we make no scruple of repeating the experiment; and foresee, with certainty, like nourish-C c 2

ment and support. But it is allowed on all hands, that there is no known connection between the fensible qualities and the fecret powers; and confequently, that the mind is not led to form fuch a conclusion concerning their constant and regular conjunction, by any thing which it knows of their nature. As to past experience, it can be allowed to give direst and certain information only of those precise objects, and that precise period of time, which fell under its cognizance. The bread, which I formerly eat, nourished me; that is, a body of fuch fensible qualities was at that time endued with fuch fecret powers: But does it follow, that other bread must also nourish me at another time; and that like fenfible qualities must always be attended with like fecret powers? The confequence feems nowife necessary. These two propositions are far from being the same, I have found such an object has always been attended with fuch an effect; and, I forefee, that other objects, which are in appearance similar, will be attended with similar effects. The one proposition is, in fact, always inferred from the other: But this inference is not made by a chain of reasoning. If this conclusion were formed by reason, it would be as perfect at first; and upon one instance, as after ever fo long a course of experience. But the case is far otherwise. Nothing is so like as eggs; yet no one, on account of this apparent fimilarity,

rity, expects the same taste and relish in all of them. It is only after a long course of uniform experiments in any kind that we attain a firm reliance and fecurity with regard to a particular event. This inference is not intuitive: neither is it demonstrative. That there are no demonstrative arguments in the case, seems evident; since it implies no contradiction, that the course of nature may change, and that an object, feemingly like those we have experienced, may be attended with different and contrary effects. Is it not clearly and distinctly to be conceived, that a body falling from the clouds, and which, in all other refpects refembles fnow, has yet the tafte of falt, or feeling of fire? Is there any more intelligible proposition, than to affirm, that all the trees will flourish in December and January, and decay in May and June? Now, whatever is intelligible, and can be diffinctly conceived, implies no contradiction, and can never be proved false by any demonstrative arguments or abstract reasoning à priori.

If we be therefore engaged by arguments to put trust in past experience, and make it the standard of our future judgment, these arguments must be probable only, or such as regard matter of fact and real existence: but all arguments concerning existence are sounded on the relation of cause and effect; and our knowledge of that re-

C. c. 3

lation :

lation is derived entirely from experience; and all our experimental conclusions proceed upon the supposition, that the future will be conformable to the past. To endeavour, therefore, the proof of this last supposition by probable arguments, or arguments regarding existence, is begging the question.

All arguments or inferences from experience fuppose, as their foundation, that the future will refemble the past; and that similar powers will be conjoined with fimilar fensible qualities. there be any fuspicion that the course of nature may change, and that the past may be no rule for the future, all experience becomes ufelefs, and can give rife to no inference or conclusion. impossible, therefore, that any arguments from experience can prove this refemblance of the past to the future; fince all these arguments are founded on a supposition of this resemblance. Let the course of things be allowed hitherto ever so regular; that alone, without fome new argument or inference, proves not, that for the future it will continue so. In vain do we pretend to have learned the nature of bodies from our past experience. Their fecret nature, and confequently all their effects and influence, may change, without any change in their fenfible qualities. This happens fometimes, and with regard to some objects: why may it not happen always, and with regard to all objects? There is no logic, or process of argument, which can secure us against this supposition.

In all reasoning, therefore, from experience, there is a step taken by the mind, which is not established by any argument or process of the understanding. But if the mind be not engaged by argument to make this step, it must be induced by fome other principle of equal weight and authority; and that principle will preferve its influence as long as human nature remains the fame. Suppose a person, though endowed with the strongest faculties of reason and reflection, to be brought on a fudden into this world: he would, indeed, immediately observe a continual succession of objects, and one event following another; but he would not be able to discover any thing further. He would not be able by any reasoning to reach the idea of cause and effect; since the particular powers, by which all natural operations are performed, never appear to the fenfes; nor is it reafonable to conclude, merely because one event, in one instance precedes another, that therefore the one is the cause, the other the effect. Their conjunction may be arbitrary and cafual. There may be no reason to infer the existence of the one from the appearance of the other. And, in a word, fuch a person without more experience, could never employ his conjecture or reasoning concerning any matter of fact, or be affured of any thing beyond what was immediately prefent to his memory or fenses.

Suppose again, that he has acquired more experience, and has lived fo long in the world as to have observed fimilar objects or events to be constantly conjoined together; what is the consequence of this experience?—He immediately infers the existence of the one object from the appearance of the other. Yet he has not, by all his experience, acquired any idea or knowledge of the fecret power by which the one obiect produces the other; nor is it by any processof reasoning he is engaged to draw this inference. But still he finds himself determined to it: and though he should be convinced that his understanding has no part in the operation, he would nevertheless continue in the same course of thinking. To this he is determined by cuftom or habit. For wherever the repetition of any particular act. or operation produces a propenfity to renew the fame act or operation, without being impelled by any reasoning or process of the understanding, we always fay, that this propenfity is the effect of cu-Custom, then, is the great guide of human. life. It is that principle alone which renders our experience useful to us; and makes us expect for the future a fimilar train of events with those which have appeared in the past. Having found,

in many instances, that any two kinds of objects, slame and heat, snow and cold, have always been conjoined together; if slame or snow be presented anew to our senses, the mind is carried by custom to expect heat or cold; and to believe that such a quality does exist, and will discover itself upon a nearer approach. This belief is the necessary confequence of placing the mind in such circumstances. It is an operation of the soul, when we are so situated as unavoidably to feel the passion of love when we receive benefits; or hatred, when we meet with injuries. All these operations are a species of natural instincts, which no reasoning or process of the thought and understanding is able either to produce or to prevent!

Hume.

# THE EXISTENCE OF EXTERNAL OBJECTS ONLY PROBABLE.

WHOEVER will be fatisfied with evidence only, can hardly be fure of any thing except his own existence. How could he, for example, be convinced of that of other bodies? For cannot God, by his omnipotence, make the same impressions on our senses as the presence of the objects would excite? And if we grant, that the Deity can do this, how can it be affirmed, that he does not employ his power in this manner; and that the whole

universe is nothing more than a mere phenomenon? Besides, as we are affected in our dreams by the fame fenfation we should feel were the object prefent, how can it be proved, that our life is not one continued dream? I would not be understood from hence to deny the existence of bodies, but only to show that we have lefs affurance of it than of our own existence. And as truth is an indivisible point, we cannot fay of a certain fact, that it is more or less true: It is therefore evident, that if we are more certain of our own existence than that of other bodies, the existence of the latter is no more than a probability. It is, indeed, a very great probability; and with regard to the conduct of life, equivalent to evidence; notwithstanding which, it is only a probability.

HELVETIUS.

F.

## Difficulty of detecting FABULOUS STORIES.

THE difficulty of detecting falschood in any private, or even public history, at the time and place where it is said to happen, is very great; but much more so where the scene is removed to ever so small a distance. Even a court of judicature, with all the authority, accuracy, and judgement which they can employ, find themselves often at a loss to distinguish between truth and salschood in the most recent actions. But the matter never comes to any iffue, if trusted to the common method of altercation, and debate, and slying rumours; especially when mens passions have taken party on either side.

In the infancy of new religions, the wife and learned

learned commonly esteem the matter too inconfiderable to deserve their attention and regard: And when afterwards they would willingly detect the cheat, in order to undeceive the deluded multitude, the season is now past, and the records and witnesses, which might clear up the matter, have perished beyond recovery. No means of detection remain but those which must be drawn from the very testimony itself of the reporters: and these, though always sufficient with the judicious and knowing, are commonly too sine to fall under the comprehension of the vulgar.

# MATTERS OF FACT NOT DEMONSTRATIVELY CERTAIN.

ALL the objects of human reason and inquiry may be naturally divided into two kinds, viz. Relations of ideas, and matters of fact. Of the first kind are the sciences of geometry, algebra, and arithmetic; and, in short, every assimpation which is either intuitively or demonstratively certain. Propositions of this kind are discoverable by the mere operation of thought, without dependence on what is any where existent in the universe. Matters of sact, which are the second objects of human reason, are not ascertained in the same manner; nor is our evidence of their truth,

how-

however great, of a like nature with the foregoing. The contrary of every matter of fact is still possible, because it can never imply a contradiction; and is conceived by the mind with equal facility and distinctness, as if ever so conformable to reality. That the fun will not rife to-morrow, is no less intelligible a proposition, and implies no more contradiction, than the affirmation that it will rise. We should in vain, therefore, attempt to demonstrate its salsehood. Were it demonstratively salse, it would imply a contradiction; and could never be distinctly conceived by the mind.

### THE NATURE OF OUR REASONINGS CON-CERNING MATTERS OF FACT.

ALL reasonings concerning matters of fact, feem to be founded in the relation of cause and effect. By means of that relation alone, we can go beyond the evidence of our memory and fenfes. If you were to alk a man, why he believes any matter of fact which is absent; for instance, that his friend is in the country, or in France? he would give you a reason: and this reason would be some other fact; as a letter received from him, or the knowledge of his former resolutions and promifes. A man finding a watch, or any other machine, in a defert island, would conclude that VOL. I.  $\mathbf{D}$  d + there there had once been men in that island. All our reasonings concerning fact are of the same nature. And here it is constantly supposed, that there is a relation between the prefent fact and that inferred from it. Were there nothing to bind them together, the inference would be entirely precarious. The hearing of an articulate voice and rational discourse in the dark, assures us of the prefence of fome perfon. Why? Because these are the effects of the human shape and fabric, and closely connected with it. If we anatomize all the other reasonings of this nature, we shall find, that they are founded on cause and effect; and that this relation is either near or remote, direct or collateral. Heat and light are collateral effects of fire; and the one effect may justly be inferred from the other. HUME.

#### FAITH.

THERE being many things wherein we have very imperfect notions, or none at all; and other things, of whose past, present, or surure existence, by the natural use of our faculties, we can have no knowledge at all; these, as being beyond the discovery of our natural faculties, and above reason, are, when revealed, the proper matter of suith. Thus, that part of the angels rebelled against God, and thereby lost their first happy

happy state; and that the dead shall rife, and live again: these, and the like, being beyond the discovery of our reason, are purely matters of saith; with which reason has directly nothing to do.

But fince God, in giving us the light of reason, has not thereby tied up his hands from affording us, when he thinks fit, the light of revelation in any of those matters, wherein our natural faculties are able to give a probable determination; revelation, where God has been pleafed to give it, must carry it against the probable conjectures of reason: Because the mind, not being certain of the truth of what it does not evidently know, but only yielding to the probability that appears in it, is bound to give up its affent to fuch a testimony; which, it is fatisfied, comes from one who cannot err, and will not deceive. But yet it fill belongs to reason to judge of the truth of its being a revelation, and of the fignification of the words wherein it is delivered. Indeed, if any thing shall be thought revelation which is contrary to the plain principles of reason, and the evident knowledge the mind has of its own clear and diffinct ideas; there reason must be hearkened to, as to a matter within its province: fince a man can never have fo certain a knowledge, that a propofition which contradicts the clear principles and evidence of his own knowledge, was divinely revealed, or that he understands the words rightly D d 2 wherewherein it is delivered, as he has that the contrary is true: and fo is bound to confider and judge of it as a matter of reason, and not swallow it, without examination, as a matter of faith.

First, Whatever proposition is revealed, of whose truth our mind, by its natural faculties and notions, cannot judge; that is purely matter of faith, and above reason.

Secondly, All propositions, whereof the mind, by the use of its natural faculties, can come to determine and judge from naturally acquired ideas, are matter of reason; with this difference still, that in those concerning which it has but an uncertain evidence, and fo is perfuaded of their truth only upon probable grounds, which still admit a possibility of the contrary to be true, without doing violence to the certain evidence of its own knowledge, and overturning the principles of all reason; in such probable propositions, I fay, an evident revelation ought to determine our affent even against probability. For where the principles of reason have not evidenced a proposition to be certainly true or false, there clear revelation, as another principle of truth, and ground of affent, may determine; and fo it may be matter of faith, and be also above reason. Because reason, in that particular matter, being able to reach no higher than probability, faith gave the determination where reason came short;

and revelation discovered on which side the truth lay.

Thus far the dominion of faith reaches, and that without any violence or hinderance to reafon; which is not injured or diffurbed, but affifted and improved, by new discoveries of truth coming from the eternal fountain of all knowledge. Whatever God hath revealed, is certainly true; no doubt can be made of it. This is the proper object of faith: but whether it be a divine revelation or no, reason must judge; which can never permit the mind to reject a greater evidence to embrace what is less evident, nor allow it to entertain probability in opposition to knowledge and certainty. There can be no evidence, that any traditional revelation is of divine original, in the words we receive it, and in the fense we understand it, so clear and so certain, as that of the principles of reason: and therefore nothing that is contrary to, and inconfiftent with the clear and felf-evident dictates of reason, has a right to be urged or affented to as a matter of faith, wherein reason hath nothing to do. Whatsoever is divine revelation, ought to over-rule all our opinions, prejudices, and interest, and hath a right to be received with full affent. Such a fubmission as this, of our reason to faith, takes not away the land-marks of knowledge; this fliakes not the foundations of reason, but leaves

us that use of our faculties for which they were given us.

LOCKE

### On the same Subject.

Belief or disbelief can neither be a virtue or a crime in any one who used the best means in his power of being informed. If a proposition is evident, we cannot avoid believing it; and where is the merit or piety of a necessary assent? If it is not evident, we cannot help rejecting it, or doubting of it; and where is the crime of not performing impossibilities, or not believing what does not appear to us to be true?

WHITBY.

#### FAITH AND REASON.

IF the provinces of faith and reason are not kept distinct by these boundaries, there will, in matters of religion, be no room for reason at all; and those extravagant opinions and ceremonies that are to be found in the several religions of the world, will not deserve to be blamed. For to this crying up of faith, in opposition to reason, we may, I think, in good measure, ascribe those absurdates that fill almost all the religions which possess and divide mankind. For men having been principled with an opinion, that they must

not confult reason in the things of religion, however apparently contradictory to common fense, and the very principles of all their knowledge, have let loofe their fancies and natural superstition; and have been by them led into fo strange opinions and extravagant practices in religion, that a confiderate man cannot but stand amazed at their follies, and judge them, fo far from being acceptable to the great and wife God, that he cannot avoid thinking them ridiculous and offenfive to a fober good man. So that in effect religion, which should most distinguish us from beafts; and ought most peculiarly to elevate us, as rational creatures, above brutes; is that wherein men often appear most irrational and more fenseless than beasts themselves. Credo, quia impossibile est, " I believe, because it is impossible," might in a good man pass for a fally of zeal; but would prove a very ill rule for men to choose their opinions or religion by.

#### FAME.

A MAN, whose talents and genius give him the consciousness of deserving reputation, may let the public voice alone. He need not trouble himself in dictating what it shall determine; but wait, if I may say so, for future same to come

come and take his orders. He will foon put to filence every inferior voice, as the force of the fundamental found in a concord destroys every dissonance which tends to alter the harmony. We must act in fame as cautiously as in sickness; impatience is fatal in either of them. many men are there distinguished for their rare endowments, to whom we may apply the rebuke formerly made to a Carthaginian general: " The " gods do not give all talents to one; you have "that of obtaining a victory, but not that of " using it." Renown is a kind of game at commerce, where chance fometimes gets a fortune; but where merit acquires, in general, more certain gains; provided, that while it uses the tricks of gamesters, it does not expose itself to be betrayed by them. But it is too frequently confidered as a mere lottery, where perfons imagine they make their fortunes by inventing false tickets. D'ALEMBERT.

### ORIGIN OF THE LOVE OF FAME.

Our opinions of all kinds are strongly affected by society and sympathy; and it is almost impossible for us to support any principle or sentiment against the universal consent of every one with whom we have any friendship or correspondence. But of all our opinions, those, which we form in our own favour, however lofty or prefuming, are at bottom the frailest, and the most easily iliaken by the contradiction and opposition of others. Our great concern, in this case, makes us foon alarmed, and keeps our passions upon the watch; our confciousness of partiality still makes us dread a mistake. And the very disticulty of judging concerning an object, which is never set at a due distance from us, nor is seen in a proper point of view, makes us hearken anxiously to the opinions of others, who are better qualified to form just opinions concerning us. Hence that strong love of fame with which all mankind are possessed. It is in order to fix and confirm their favourable opinion of themselves, not from any original passion, that they seek the applauses of others. And when a man desires to be praifed, it is for the fame reason that a beauty is pleafed with furveying herfelf in a favourable looking-glafs, and feeing the reflection of her own charms.

Hume.

#### FANATICISM.

FANATICISM is to superstition what a delirium is to a fever, and sury to anger: He who has ecstasses and visions, who takes dreams for realities, and his imagination for prophecies, is an enthusiast:

fiast; and he who sticks not at supporting his folly by murder, is a fanatic.

The only remedy for this infectious disease is a philosophical temper, which spreading through society, at length softens manners, and obviates the excesses of the distemper; for whenever it gets ground, the best way is to sly from it, and stay till the air is purified. The laws and religion are no preservative against this mental pestilence. Religion, so far from being a falutary aliment in these cases, in insected brains becomes poison.

The laws likewife have proved very ineffectual against this spiritual rage; it is indeed like reading an order of council to a lunatic. The creatures are sirmly persuaded that the spirit by which they are actuated is above all laws, and that their enthusiasm is the only law they are to regard.

What can be answered to a person who tells you, that he had rather obey God than men; and who, in consequence of that choice, is certain of gaining heaven by cutting your throat?

The leaders of fanatics, and who put the dagger into their hands, are usually designing knaves; they are like the old man of the mountain, who, according to history, gave weak persons a foretaste of the jeys of paradise, promising them an eternity of such enjoyments, provided they would go and murder all those whom he should name to them.

In the whole world, there has been but one re-

ligion clear of fanaticism, which is that of the Chinese literati. As to the sects of philosophers, instead of being insected with this pestilence, they were a ready and sure preservative against it: for the effect of philosophy is to compose the soul, and fanaticism is incompatible with tranquillity.

VOLTAIRE.

### THE PUNISHMENT OF FANATICISM.

PAINFUL and corporal punishments should never be applied to fanaticism; for, being sounded on pride, it glories in persecution. Insamy and ridicule only should be employed against fanatics: if the first, their pride will be overbalanced by the pride of the people; and we may judge of the power of the second, if we consider that even truth is obliged to summon all her force when attacked by error armed by ridicule. Thus by opposing one passion to another, and opinion to opinion, a wise legislator puts an end to the admiration of the populace, occasioned by a false principle, the original absurdity of which is veiled by some well-deduced consequences.

This is the method to avoid confounding the immutable relations of things, or opposing nature; whose actions not being limited by time, but operating incessantly, overturn and destroy all those vain regulations which contradict her laws. It

is not only in the fine arts that the imitation of nature is the fundamental principle; it is the fame in found policy, which is no other than the art of uniting and directing to the fame end the natural and immutable fentiments of mankind.

BECCARIA.

# FILIAL AFFECTION.

THE bond that ties children to their parents is lefs strong than commonly imagined. Nothing is more common in Europe than to fee children defert their parents, when they become old, infirm, incapable of labour, and forced to subfift by beggary. We fee, in the country, one father nourish seven or eight children; but seven or eight children are not fusicient to nourish one father. If all children be not fo unnatural, if some of them have affection and humanity, it is to education and example they owe that humanity. Nature, no doubt, defigned that gratitude and habit should form in man a fort of gravitation, by which they should be impelled to a love of their parents; but it has also designed that man should have, in the natural defire of independence, a repulsive power, which should diminish the too great force of that gravitation. From hence perhaps comes the proverb, founded on

common and constant observation, That the love of parents descends, and does not remount.

HELVETIUS.

#### FINAL CAUSES.

A MAN must be (it seems) stark mad to deny that the stomach is made for digestion, the eye to fee, and the ear to hear .- On the other hand, he nauft be strangely attached to final causes to affirm, that stone was made to build houses, and that China breeds filk worms to furnish Europe with fattin.—But it is faid, if God has manifestly made one thing with defign, he had a defign in every thing. To allow a Providence in one case, and deny it in another, is ridiculous. Whatever is made, was forefeen and arranged; now every arrangement has its object, every effect its cause: therefore every thing is equally the refult or the product of a final cause: therefore it is equally true to fay, that nofes were made to wear spectacles, and fingers to be decorated with diamonds, as it is true to fay, that the ears have been made to hear founds, and the eyes to receive light.

This difficulty, I apprehend, may be eafily cleared up, when the effects are invariably the same in all times and places; when such uniform effects are independent of the beings they appertain to, there then is evidently a final cause.—All animals have eyes, and they see; all have ears, Vol. I. E e + and

and they hear; all a mouth, with which they cat; a stomach, or fomething similar, by which they digeft; all an orifice, which voids the excrements; all an instrument of generation; and these natural gifts operate in them without the intervention of any art. Here are clear demonstrations of final causes; and to contradict so univerfal a truth, would be to pervert our faculty of thinking.—But it is not in all places, nor at all times, that stones form edifices; all noses do not wear spectacles; all fingers have not a ring; nor are all legs covered with filk stockings: therefore a filk-worm is not made to cover my legs, as your mouth is made to eat, &c. Thus there are effects produced by final causes; but withal many which cannot come within that appellation. But both one and the other are equally agreeable to the plan of a general providence; for certainly nothing comes to pass in opposition to it, or so much as without it. Every particular within the compass of nature is uniform, immutable, and the immediate work of their Author. Men were not effentially created to butcher one another; but the composition we are made of is frequently productive of massacres, as it produces calumnies, vanities, perfecutions, and impertinences: not that the formation of man is precifely the final cause of our follies and brutalities; a final cause being universal and invariable, in all places, and

and at all times. The crimes and abfurdities of the human mind are, nevertheless, in the eternal order of things. In threshing corn, the shail is the shall cause of the grain's separation; but if the shail, threshing the corn, destroys a thousand insects, this is not from any determinate will of mine, neither is it mere chance: these insects were at that time under my shail; and it was determined they were to be there, that is, it was consequential to the nature of things.

The instruments given to us by nature cannot be final causes, ever in motion, and infallible in their essect. The eyes, given us for sight, are not always open; every sense has its intervals of rest, and its exertion is frequently prevented by extraneous causes; nevertheless the final cause subsists, and as soon as it is free will act.

VOLTAIRE.

#### FLATTERY.

EVERY body hates praise when he believes it to be false; people then love flatterers only in the quality of sincere admirers. Under this it is impossible not to love them; because every one believes that his actions are laudable and worthy of praise. Whoever disdains elogiums, suffers at least people to praise him on this account. When they detest a slatterer, it is because they know him to be such. In slattery, it is not the praise,

but the falfehood, which shocks us. If the man of fense appears little fensible of elogiums, it is because he more frequently perceives the falsehood: but let an artful flatterer praife, perfift in praifing him, and fometimes feem to cenfure with the elogiums he bestows; and even the man of the greatest sense and penetration will, sooner or later, be his dupe. This tafte derives its fource from a vanity common to all men. Every man, therefore, would be praifed and flattered; but all would not have it done in the fame manner; and it is only in this particular that the difference between them confifts. Of all praifes the most flattering and delicate is, without dispute, that which most evidently proves our own excellence. What gratitude do we owe to those who discover to us defects that, without being prejudicial to us, affure us of our superiority? Of all flattery this is the most artful. HELVETIUS.

## FRIENDSHIP.

FRIENDSHIP is a tacit contract between two fensible and virtuous fouls: I say sensible; for a monk, a hermit, may not be wicked, yet live a stranger to friendship. I add virtuous; for the wicked have only accomplices, the voluptuous have companions, the designing have affociates, the men of business have partners, the politicians form

form a factious band, the bulk of idle men have connections, princes have courtiers; but virtuous men alone have friends. Cethegus was Catiline's accomplice, and Mæcenas was Octavius's courtier; but Cicero was Atticus's friend. --- What is implied in this contract between two tender and ingenuous fouls? Its obligations are stronger and weaker, according to their degree of fensibility, and the number of good offices performed. VOLTAIRE.

# ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

LOVE implies want, and there is no friendship without it; for this would be an effect without a cause. All men have not the same wants; and therefore the friendship that subsists between them is founded on different motives: fome want pleafure or money, others credit; those conversation, and thefe a confident to whom they may difburthen their hearts. There are consequently friends of money, of intrigue, of the mind, and of misfortune. In friendship, as in love, people form the the most romantic ideas; they always search for the hero, and every instant think they have found him. We are never fo violently affected with the virtues of a man as when we first see him; for as custom renders us infensible to personal beauties, a good understanding, and even the quali-

ties of the foul, we are never fo strongly agitated as by the pleafure of furprise. We generally love a man while we know little of him, and are defirous of knowing him better; but no fooner is this curiofity fatisfied, than we are difgusted. In confidering friendship as a reciprocal want, it cannot but be acknowledged, that it is very difficult for the fame wants, and confequently for the fame friendship, to subsist between two men for a long course of time; and therefore nothing is more uncommon than friendship of a long standing. The circumstances in which two friends ought to be found being once given, and their characters known; if they are ever to quarrel, there is no doubt but that a man of penetration, by foreseeing the time when these two men would cease to be reciprocally of use to each other, might calculate the moment when their rupture would happen, as an astronomer calculates the time of an eclipfe. We ought not, however, to confound with friendship the chains of habit, the respectful esteem felt for an acknowledged friend, or that happy point of honour, fo useful to fociety, that makes us keep an acquaintance with those whom we call our friends. We perform the fame fervices for them as we did when they filled us with the warmest sensations, though in reality we do not want their company.-Friendship supposes a want; and the more this want is felt,

felt, the more lively will be the friendship; the want is then the measure of the fensation. A man and woman escaping shipwreck, save themfelves on a defert island; where, having no hope of ever feeing their native country, they are forced to bend their mutual affistance, to defend themselves from the wild beasts, to enjoy life, and to escape despair: no friendship can be more warm than that between this man and woman, who perhaps would have hated each other had they remained at Paris. If one of them happens to perish, the other has really lost the half of himself: no grief can equal his; a perfon must dwell alone on a defert island, who can be fensible of all its violence. The unfortunate are in general the most tender friends; united by their reciprocal diffreffes, they enjoy, while condoling the misfortune of a friend, the pleafure of being affected with their own. What is true of circumstances, is also true of characters; there are fome who cannot live without a friend. The first are, those of a weak and timid disposition, who, in their whole conduct, never conclude on any thing without the advice and affiftance of others. The fecond are, the perfons of a gloomy; fevere, and tyrannical disposition, who are warm friends of those over whom they vent their fpleen: thefe are like one of the wives of Socrates, who, at the news of the death of that great man, became more inconfolable

folable than the fecond, who being of a mild and amiable temper, lost in Socrates only an husband; while the other lost in him the martyr of her capricious temper, and the only man who could bear with it. If we loved a friend only for himfelf, we should never consider any thing but his happiness; we should not reproach him for being so long without seeing or writing to us; we should say that he had probably spent his time more agreeably, and should rejoice in his happiness.

Men have taken great pains to repeat after each other, that those ought not to be reckoned in the lift of friends whose interested views make them love us only for our ability to ferve them. This kind of friendship is certainly not the most flattering; but it is nevertheless a real friendship. Men, for instance, love in a minister of state the power he has of obliging them; and in most of them the love of the person is incorporated with the love of the preferment. Why is the name of friendship refused to this sensation? Men do not love us for ourselves, but always on some other account; and the above-mentioned is as good as any other. A man is in love with a woman; can it be faid he does not love her because he only admires the beauties of her eyes or complexion? But, it is faid, the rich man reduced to poverty is no longer beloved. This is not denied: but when the fmall-pox robs a woman of her

her beauty, all addresses to her commonly cease; though this is no proof fhe was not beloved while fhe was beautiful. Suppose a friend in whom we had the greatest confidence, and for whose mind, disposition, and character, we had the greatest efteem, was fuddenly become blind, deaf, and dumb; we should regret in him the loss of a friend; we should still respect his memory; but, in fact, we should no longer love him, because he would have no refemblance to the man who was the object of our friendship. If a minister of state fall into difgrace, we no longer love him; for this reason, because he is the friend who is suddenly become blind, deaf, and dumb. It is nevertheless true, that the man, anxious for preferment, has great tenderness for him who can procure it for him. Whoever has this want of promotion is born the friend of the minister of state. then, our vanity that makes us refuse giving the name to fo fellish and necessary a passion. It may, however, be observed, that the most folid and durable friendships are commonly those of virtuous men, however viliains themselves are susceptible of it. If, as we are forced to confess, friendfhip is only the fenfation by which two men are united, we cannot deny but that friendships subfift between the wicked, without contradicting the most authentic facts. Can we, for instance, doubt that two conspirators may be united by the warmest

warmest friendship? That Jaffier did not love James Piero? That Octavius, who was certainly not a virtuous man, did not love Mecænas, who was at best but a weak man? The power of friendship is not in proportion to the virtue of two friends, but to the force of the interest by which they are united.

Helvetius.

## FUTURE PUNISHMENTS.

IF Supreme Justice avenges itself on the wicked, it avenges itself here below. It is you and your errors, ye nations! that are its ministers of vengeance. It employs the evils you bring on each other, to punish the crimes for which you deserve them. It is in the infatiable hearts of mankind, corroding with envy, avarice, and ambition, that their avenging passions punish them for their vices amidst all the salse appearances of prosperity. Where is the necessity of seeking a hell in another life, when it is to be found even in this, in the hearts of the wicked?

Where our momentary necessities or senseless desires have an end, there ought our passions and our vices to end also. Of what perversity can pure spirits be susceptible? As they stand in need of nothing, to what end should they be vicious? If destitute of our grosser senses, all their happiness consists in the contemplation of things, they

cannot be desirous of any thing but good; and whoever ceases to be wicked, is it possible he should be eternally miserable?

ROUSSEAU.

# FUTURE REWARDS AND PUNISHMENTS.

Man is confidered as a moral, because he is regarded as an accountable, being: But an accountable being, as the word expresses, is a being that must give an account of its actions to some other; and that confequently must regulate them according to the good liking of this other. Man is accountable to God and his fellow-creatures. But though he is, no doubt, principally accountable to God, in the order of time he must necessarily conceive himself as accountable to his fellow-creatures, before he can form any idea of the Deity, or of the rules by which that Divine Being will judge of his conduct. A child furely conceives itself as accountable to its parents, and is elevated or cast down by the thought of their merited approbation or disapprobation, long before it forms any idea of its accountableness to the Deity, or of the rules by which that Divine Being will judge of its conduct. The great Judge of the world has, for the wifest reasons, thought proper to interpose between the weak eye of human reason and the throne of his eternal justice a degree of ob**fcurity** 

feurity and darkness, which, though it does not entirely cover that great tribunal from the view of mankind, yet renders the impression of it faint and feeble, in comparison of what might be expected from the grandeur and importance of fo mighty an object. If those infinite rewards and punishments, which the Almighty has prepared for those who obey or resist his will, were perceived as diffinctly as we forefee the frivolous and temporary retaliations which we may expect from one another, the weakness of human nature. aftonished at the immensity of objects so little fitted to its comprehension, could no longer attend to the little affairs of this world: and it is absolutely impossible that the business of society could have been carried on, if, in this respect, there had been a fuller revelation of the intentions of Providence than that which has already been A. SMITH. made.

## FUTURE STATE.

CICERO, in his fpeech for Cluentius, fays to a full fenate, What hurt does death to him? All the idle tales about hell none of us give the least credit to; then what has death deprived him of? Nothing but the feeling of pain.—Does not Cæfar, Catiline's friend, in order to fave that wretch from an indictment brought against him by the

fame Cicero, object, that to put a criminal to death is not punishing him; that death is nothing; that it is only the end of our fufferings; and that it is rather a happy than a fatal moment? And did not Cicero and the whole fenate yield to these arguments?

VOLTAIRE.

Vol. I. + Ff

G.

#### GALLANTRY.

ATURE has implanted in all living creatures an affection between the fexes, which even in the fiercest and most rapacious animals is not merely confined to the satisfaction of the bodily appetite, but begets a friendship and mutual sympathy, which runs through the whole tenor of their lives; nay, even in those species where nature limits the indulgence of this appetite to one season and to one object, and forms a kind of marriage or association between a single male and semale, there is yet a visible complacency and benevolence, which extends farther, and mutually softens the affections of the sexes towards each other. How much more must this have place in man, where the confinement of the

appetite is not natural; but either is derived accidentally from some strong charm of love, or arises from reflections on duty and convenience? Nothing, therefore, can proceed less from affectation than the passion of gallantry. It is natural in the highest degree. Art and education, in the most elegant courts, make no more alteration on it, than on all the other laudable passions. They only turn the mind more towards it; they refine it; they polish it; and give it a proper grace and expression .- But gallantry is as generous as it is natural. Nature has given man the superiority above woman, by endowing him with greater strength both of mind and body: it is his part to alleviate that superiority as much as posfible by the generofity of his behaviour, and by a studied deference and complaifance for all her inclinations and opinions. Barbarous nations difplay this fuperiority, by reducing their females to the most abject slavery; by confining them, by beating them, by felling them, by killing them: But the male fex among a polite people, discover their fuperiority in a more generous, though not less evident manner; by civility, by respect, by complaifance, and in a word by gallantry.----Gallantry is not less confistent with wisdom and prudence, than with nature and generofity; and, when under proper regulations, contributes more than any other invention to the entertainment Ff2 and.

and improvement of the youth of both fexes. Among every species of animals, Nature has founded on the love between the fexes their iweetest and best enjoyment. But the satisfaction of the bodily appetite is not alone fufficient to gratify the mind; and even among brute creatures, we find that their play and dalliance, and other expressions of fondness, form the greatest part of the entertainment. In rational beings, we must certainly admit the mind for a confiderable share. Were we to rob the feaft of all its garniture of reason, discourse, sympathy, friendship, and gaiety, what remains would fearcely be worth acceptance in the judgment of the truly elegant and luxurious. -What better school for manners than the company of virtuous women; where the mutual endeavour to please must insensibly polish the mind; where the example of female foftness and modesty must communicate itself to their admirers; and where the delicacy of that fex puts every one on his guard, left he give offence by any breach of decency? HUME.

## GENIUS.

GENIUS is properly the faculty of invention, by means of which a man is qualified for making new discoveries in science, or for producing original works of art. We may ascribe taste, judgement, ment, or knowledge, to a man who is incapable of invention; but we cannot reckon him a man of genius. In order to determine how far he merits that character, we must inquire, whether he has discovered any new principle in science, or invented any new art, or carried those arts, which are already practifed, to a higher degree of perfection than former masters? Or, whether, at least, in matters of science, he has improved on the discoveries of his predecessors, and reduced principles formerly known to a greater degree of fimplicity and confiftence, or traced them through a train of confequences hitherto unknown? or, in the arts, defigned fome new work, different from those of his predecessors, though perhaps not excelling them? Whatever falls fhort of this is fervile imitation, or a dull effort of plodding industry, which, as not implying invention, can be deemed no proof of genius, whatever capacity,, skill, or diligence it may evidence. But if a man shows invention, no intellectual defects which his performance may betray can forfeit his claim to genius. His invention may be irregular, wild, undisciplined; but still it is regarded as an infallible mark of real natural genius: and the degree of this faculty that we afcribe to him, is always in proportion to our estimate of the novelty, the difficulty, or the dignity of his invention. GERARDA .

**F** f 3

GOD.

### COD.

New Ton was fully perfuaded of the existence of a God; and by that term understood, not only an infinite, almighty, eternal, creative Being, but a master, who had established a relation between himself and his creatures; as, without this relation, the knowledge of a God is only a barren idea, which would seem to invite every reasoner of a perverse nature to the practice of vice by the hopes of impunity.

Accordingly, that great Philosopher, at the end of his Principia, makes a fingular remark, namely, That we do not fay, My eternal, my infinite, because these attributes do not at all relate to our nature; but we fay, My God: and are thereby to understand the master and preserver of our life, the object of our thoughts. Newton's philosophy leads to the knowledge of a Supreme Being, who freely created and arranged all things. For if the world be finite; if there be a vacuum, the existence of matter is not necessary; and therefore has received existence from a free cause. If matter gravitates, it does not appear to gravitate from its nature, as it is extended by its nature; it has therefore received its gravitation from God. If the planets, in a space void of resistance, revolve one way rather than another, the hand of their Creator

Creator must have directed their course that way with an absolute freedom.

It may, perhaps, appear strange to many, that among all the proofs of the existence of a God, the strongest in Newton's opinion is that of final causes. The design, or rather the designs, various ad infinitum, displayed in the most enormous and most minute parts of the universe, form a demonstration, which, from its being so manifestly fenfible, is little regarded by fome philosophers; but Newton thought that these infinite relations could only be the work of an artist infinitely wise. He made little account of the proof from the fuccession of beings. It is commonly said, that if men, animals, vegetables, and whatever compose this world, were eternal, a feries of generations without cause must of consequence be admitted. The existence of these beings, it is said, would have no origin; no eternal can be supposed to rife again from generation to generation without a beginning; no eternal, because no one can exist of itself. Thus every thing would be effect, and nothing cause. This argument appeared to him founded only on the ambiguity of generations, and of beings formed one by the other. For Atheifts, who admit a plenum, answer, that there are, properly speaking, no generations: there are not feveral substances: the universe is a whole, necessarily existing, incessantly displaying

344 GoD.

itself. It is one and the same being, whose nature is immutable in its substance, and eternally varied in its modifications. Thus the argument drawn from the succession of beings would, perhaps, prove very little against an Atheist who should deny the plurality of beings. He would have recourse to those ancient axioms, That nothing is produced by nothing; that one substance cannot produce another; that every thing is eternal and necessary.

Matter, fays the Atheist, is necessary, because it exists; motion is necessary, because nothing is at rest; and motion is so necessary, that in nature never any motive forces are lost.

What is to-day was yesterday; therefore it was before yesterday, and thus recurring without end. No person will dare to fay, that things shall return to nothing; how then dare to fay, that they came from nothing? In a word, I know not if there be a metaphyfical proof more striking, and which speaks more strongly to man, than the admirable order in the world; and whether there has ever been a finer argument than the following, The heavens declare the glory of God. Accordingly, you see that Newton, at the end of his Optics and Principia, uses no other. No reasoning appeared to him more grand and convincing in favour of a Deity than that of Plato, who makes one of his interlocutors fay, You think I have

have an intelligent foul, because you perceive order in my words and actions; furely, then, from the order you see in this world, there must be in it a spirit supremely intelligent.

But if the existence of an eternally almighty Being be proved, it is not equally proved that this Being is infinitely good in the general sense of the word.

This is the grand refuge of the Atheist. If I admit a God, says he, this God must be goodness itself. He who has given me a being, should also give me happiness: but I see only disorder and calamity among mankind. The necessity of an eternal matter offends me less, than a Creator dealing so harshly with his creatures. My doubts are not to be removed by being told, that a first man, composed of a body and soul, offended his Creator, and that mankind suffers for his offence. For if our bodies are derived from the first man, our souls are not; and even if they are, it seems the most horrid injustice, for the punishment to descend from the father to the children.

It is evident, that the Americans, and the people of the old world, the Negroes and the Laplanders, are not at all descended from that first man. The interior constitution of the organs of the Negroes is a palpable demonstration of this.

——I had, therefore, rather admit the necessity of matter, generations, and eternal vicissitudes, than

than a God, the free author of miserable creatures.

To this, it is answered, The words, good, comfort, and happiness, are equivocal: what is evil with regard to you, is good in the general plan. Will you deny a God, because you have been afflicted with a fever? You fay he owed you happinefs: but what reason have you to think so? Why did he owe you this happiness? Was you in any treaty with him? Therefore to be only happy in this life, you need only acknowledge a God. You who cannot pretend to be perfect in any one thing, how can you expect to be perfectly happy? But suppose that in a continual happiness for one hundred years, you may have a fit of the headach, shall this short interval induce you to deny a Creator? Surely no. If, therefore, you do not startle at a quarter of an hour's fuffering, why at two hours; why at a day? Why should a year of torment prevail on you to reject the belief of a supreme universal Artisan?

It is proved, that there is in this world more good than evil; for, after all, few men are to be found who really wish for death.

Men are fond of murmuring; there is a pleafure in complaining, but more in living. We delight in viewing only evil, and exaggerating it. Read history, it is replied; what is it more than a continual feries of crimes and misfortunes? Agreed; greed; but historics are only the repositories of great events: tempests only are recorded; calms are overlooked.

After examining the relations between the springs and organs of an animal, and the designs which display themselves in every part, the manner by which this animal receives life, by which he suffains it, and by which he gives it; you readily acknowledge the supreme Artist. Will you then change your opinion, because wolves eat the sheep, and spiders catch slies? Do not you, on the contrary, perceive, that these continual generations, ever devoured, and ever reproduced, are a part of the plan of the universe? Wisdom and power, you say, are perceivable in them, but goodness is still wanting.

In fine, if you may be happy to all eternity, can any pains and afflictions in this life be worth mentioning?

You cannot think the Creator good, because there is some evil in this world. But if necessity supply the place of a Supreme Being, will affairs be mended? In the system which admits a God, some difficulties only are to be removed; in all the other systems, we must encounter absurdities.

Philosophy, indeed, plainly shows us, that there is a God; but it cannot teach us what he is, what he is doing, how and wherefore he does it; whether he exists in time or in space; whether he

has commanded once, or whether he is always acting; whether he be in matter, or whether he be not there, &c. To himself only these things are known.

Voltable.

## ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

THOUGH God has given us no innate ideas of himfelf; though he has ftampt no original characters on our minds, wherein we may read his being; yet having furnished us with those faculties our minds are endowed with, he hath not left himfelf without witness; fince we have fenfe, perception, and reason, and cannot want a clear proof of him, as long as we carry ourfelves about us. Nor can we justly complain of our ignorance in this great point, fince he has fo plentifully provided us with the means to difcover and know him, fo far as is necessary to the end of our being, and the great concernment of our happinefs. But though this be the most obvious truth that reason discovers; and though its evidence be (if I mistake not) equal to mathematical certainty; vet it requires thought and attention, and the mind must apply itself to a regular deduction of it from some part of our intuitive knowledge, or else we shall be as uncertain and ignorant of this as of other propositions, which are in themselves capable of clear demonstration. To show, therefore, that we are capable of knowing, i. e. being certain that there is a God; and how we may come by this certainty, I think we need go no further than ourselves, and that undoubted knowledge we have of our own existence.

Man knows by an intuitive certainty, that bare nothing can no more produce any real being, than it can be equal to two right angles. If a man knows not that non-entity, or the absence of all being, cannot be equal to two right angles, it is impossible he should know any demonstration in Euclid. If, therefore, we know there is some real being, and that non-entity cannot produce any real being, it is an evident demonstration, that from eternity there has been fomething; fince what was not from eternity had a beginning, and what had a beginning must be produced by something elfe.

Next, it is evident, that what had its being and beginning from another, must also have all that which is in, and belongs to its being, from anoother too. All the powers it has must be owing to, and received from, the fame fource. This eternal fource, then, of all being, must also be the fource and original of all power; and fo this eternal Being must be also the most powerful.

Again, a man finds in himself perception and knowledge: we have then got one step further; and we are certain now, that there is not only fome

Vol. I. Gg +

fome being, but fome knowing intelligent being in the world.

There was a time, then, when there was no knowing being, and when knowledge began to be; or elfe there has been also a knowing being from eternity. If it be faid, there was a time when no being had any knowledge, when that eternal being was void of all understanding; I reply, that then it was impossible there should ever have been any knowledge: it being as impossible that things wholly void of knowledge, and operating blindly, and without any perception, should produce a knowing being, as it is impossible that a triangle should make itself three angles bigger than two right ones. For it is as repugnant to the idea of fenfeless matter, that it should put into itself fense, perception, and knowledge, as it is repugnant to the idea of a triangle, that it should put into itself greater angles than two right ones.

Thus from the confideration of ourselves, and what we infallibly find in our own constitution, our reason leads us to the knowledge of this certain and evident truth, That there is an eternal, most powerful, and most knowing Being; which, whether any one will please to call God, it matters not. The thing is evident; and from this idea duly considered, will easily be deduced all those other attributes which we ought to ascribe to this eternal Being. If, neverthelese, any one should

be found to fenfelefsly arrogant, as to suppose man alone knowing and wife, but yet the product of mere ignorance and chance, and that all the rest of the universe acted only by that blind haphazard; I shall leave with him that very rational and emphatical rebuke of Tully 1. ii. De Leg. to be confidered at his leifure. "What can be more " fillily arrogant and mifbecoming, than for a man " to think that he has a mind and understanding " in him, but yet in all the universe beside there " is no fuch thing? Or that those things, which " with the utmost stretch of his reason he can " fcarce comprehend, should be moved and ma-" naged without any reason at all?" Quid est enim verius, quam neminem esse opertere tam stulte arrogantem, ut in fe mentem et rationem putet ineffe, in calo mundoque non putct?

From what has been faid, it is plain to me, we have a more certain knowledge of the existence of a God, than of any thing our senses have not immediately discovered to us. Nay, I presume I may say, that we more certainly know that there is a God, than that there is any thing else without us. When I say we know, I mean there is such a knowledge within our reach which we cannot miss, if we will but apply our minds to that as we do to several other inquiries.

LOCKE.

# THE PREVALENCE OF GOOD OVER EVIL.

THAT the good overbalances the evil in the physical and moral world, is clear from their subfifting with regularity and order. If evil preponderated in the former, nature would foon destroy herfelf; if in the latter, rational beings would put an end to their own existence. The preference of life to death in one, and the prevalence of order over disorder in the other, lead us to the same defirable conclusion. From the opposition of the different elements in the physical world arises all phyfical evil; fuch as froms and earthquakes: but from this fame opposition arises all the physical good; fuch as the regularity of the whole, the vicissitude of season, generation, vegetation, and an endless variety of other beneficial effects.-From the contrariety of interests in the moral world, arife wars, devastations, and murders; but from the same contrariety proceed peace, order, harmony, commerce, art, and science, with every advantage of cultivated science.-To complain that there is pain in the moral world, is as unreasonable, and as absurd, as to complain that there is darkness in the physical; as all cannot be light in the one, fo neither can all be pleafure in the other .- It is enough if pleasure preponderate; and that point has been already established.

THE

# THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A FREE AND A DESPOTIC GOVERNMENT.

THE difference between a free and a despotic state, consists in the manner in which that whole mass of power, which, taken together, is supreme, is, in a free state, distributed among the several ranks of persons that are sharers in it :- in the fource from whence their titles to it are fucceffively derived:-in the frequent and eafy changes of condition between the governors and governed; whereby the interests of one class are more or less indiffinguishably blended with those of the other: -in the responsibility of the governors; or the right which a fubject has of having the reasons publicly affigned and canvaffed of every act of power that is exerted over him:-in the liberty of the press; or the security with which every man, be he of the one class or the other, may make known his complaints and remonstrances to the whole community:-in the liberty of public affociations; or the fecurity with which malcontents may communicate their fentiments, concert their plans, and practife every mode of opposition fhort of actual revolt, before the executive power can be justified in disturbing them.

JER. BENTHAM.

## RESISTANCE TO GOVERNMENT.

IT is then, and not till then, allowable to, if not incumbent on every man, as well on the fcore of duty as of interest, to enter into measures of refistance; when, according to the best calculation he can make, the probable mischiefs of resistance (speaking with respect to the community in general), appear less to him than the probable mischiefs of fubmission. This then is to him, that is, to each man in particular, the juncture of refiftance. A natural question here is,-By what figu shall this juncture be known? By what common fignal alike confpicuous to all? A common fign there is none. Every man must be determined by his own internal perfuation of a balance of utility on the fide of refistance; for utility is the test and measure of loyalty.-It may be faid, that the letter of the law is the measure of government in free states; and not that other loofe and general rule, To govern in subservience to the happiness of the people. True it is, that the governing in opposition to the law is one way of governing in opposition to the happiness of the people: the natural effect of fuch a contempt of the law being, if not actually to destroy, at least to threaten with destruction, all those rights and privileges that are founded on it; rights and privileges, on the enjoyment,

joyment of which that happiness depends. But still this is not sufficient; and that for several reafons. First, Because the most mischievous, and under some constitutions the most feasible, method of governing in opposition to the happiness of the people, is, by fetting the law itself in opposition to their happiness .- Secondly, Because it is a case very conceivable, that a king may, to a great degree, impair the happiness of his people without violating the letter of any fingle law. Thirdly, Because extraordinary occasions may now and then occur, in which the happiness of the people may be better promoted by acting, for the moment, in opposition to the law, than in subfervience to it. Fourthly, Because it is not any single violation of the law, as fuch, that can releafe the people from allegiance; for it is scarce ever any fingle violation of the law that, by being submitted to, can produce fo much mischief as shall surpass the probable mischief of resisting it. If every fingle instance whatever of such violation were to be deemed an entire release from allegiance, a man, who reflects at all, would fearce find anywhere under the fun, that government which he could allow to fubfift for twenty years together. Utility then is the test and measure of all government; and the obligation of governors of every denomination to minister to general happiness, is an obligation superior to, and inclusive of every other. other. This is the reason why kings, on the one hand, fhould in general keep within oftablished laws; and, to speak univerfally, abstain from all fuch measures as tend to the unhappiness of their fubjects: and, on the other hand, why fubjects fhould obey kings as long as they fo conduct themfelves, and no longer; why they fhould obey, in fhort, to long as the probable mifehiefs of obedience are less than the probable mischiefs of resistence: why, in a word, taking the whole body together, it is their duty to obey just so long as it is their interest, and no longer-where a state is limited by express convention, as the German Empire, Dutch Provinces, Swifs Cantons, and the ancient Achrean league. There we may be furnished with a common figual of resistance. A certain act is in the instrument of convention specified, with respect to which, the government is therein precluded from iffuing a law to a certain A law is iffued to that effect notwithflanding. The iffuing then of fuch a law (the fense of it, and likewise the sense of that part of the convention which provides against it, being supposed clear) is a fact notorious and visible to all: in the issuing then of such a law we have a fact which is capable of being taken for that common fignal of refistance. These bounds the supreme body has marked out to its authority: of fuch a demarcation, then, what is the effect? Either

ther none at all; or this, that the difpolition to obedience confines itself within these bounds. Beyond them the disposition is stopped from extending: beyond them the fubject is no more prepared to obey the governing body of his own state, than that of any other. No convention, however, should prevent what the parties affected shall deem a reformation: no difease in a state should be without its remedy. Such might by some be thought the cafe, where that supreme body, which in fuch a convention was one of the contracting parties, having incorporated itself with that which was the other, no longer fubfifts to give any new modification to the engagement. Although that body itself which contracted the engagement be no more, a larger body, from whence the first is understood to have derived its title, may still subfift. Let this larger body be confulted. Various are the ways that might be conceived of doing this; and that without any disparagement to the dignity of the subsisting legislature: of doing it to fuch effect, as that, should the fense of fuch larger body be favourable to the alteration, it may be made by a law; which, in this case, neither ought to be, nor probably would be, regarded by the people as a breach of the convention.

JER. BENTHAM.

## ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

RANK, privileges, and prerogatives in a state. are constituted for the good of the state; and those who enjoy them, whether they be called kings, fenators, or nobles, or by whatever names or titles they be distinguished, are, to all intents and purpofes, the fervants of the public, and accountable to the people for the discharge of their respective offices. If such magnitrates abuse their trust, in the people lies the right of deposing, and confequently of punishing them. And the only reason why abuses which have crept into offices have been connived at, is, that the correcting them, by having recourse to first principles, is far from being easy, except in small states; so that the remedy would often be worfe than the dif-But, in the largest states, if the abuses of government should at any time be great and manifest; if the servants of the people, forgetting their mafters, and their mafters interest, should purfue a separate one of their own; if, instead of confidering that they are made for the people, they should consider the people as made for them; if the oppressions and violations of right should be great, flagrant, and univerfally refented; if, in confequence of these circumstances, it should become manifest, that the risk which would be run in attempting a revolution would be trifling, and the evils which might be apprehended from it, were far less than those which were actually suffered, and which were daily increasing; what principles are those which ought to restrain an injured and infulted people from afferting their natural rights, and from changing, or even punishing their governors, that is, their fervants, who had abused their trust; or from altering the whole form of their government, if it appeared to be of a structure so liable to abuse? It will be faid, that it is opening a door to rebellion, to affert that magistrates abusing their power may be set aside by the people, who are of course their own judges when their power is abused. May not the people, it is faid, abuse their power as well as their governors? I answer, It is very possible they may abuse their power: it is possible they may imagine themselves oppressed when they are not: it is posfible their animofity may be artfully and unreafonably inflamed by ambitious and enterprifing men, whose views are often best answered by popular tumults and infurrections; and the people may fuffer in confequence of their folly and precipitancy: But what man is there, or what body of men (whose right to direct their own conduct was never called in question) but are liable to be imposed upon, and to fuffer in confequence of their mistaken apprehensions and precipitate conduct?

With

With respect to large societies, it is very improbable that the people should be too soon alarmed, fo as to be driven to these extremities. In fuch cases, the power of the government, that is, of the governors, must be very extensive and arbitrary; and the power of the people scattered and difficult to be united; fo that if a man have common fense, he will see it to be madness to propofe, or to lay any measures against the government, except in case of very general and great oppression. Even patriots, in such circumstances, will confider that prefent evils always appear greater in confequence of their being present; but that the future evils of a revolt, and a temporary anarchy, may be much greater than are apprehended at a distance. They will also consider, that unless their measures be perfectly well laid, and their fuccess decisive, ending in a change, not of men, but of things; not of governors, but of the rules and administration of government, they will only rivet their chains the faster, and bring upon themselves and their country tenfold ruin.

So obvious are these difficulties that lie in the way of procuring redress of grievances by sorce of arms, that I think we may say, without exception, that in all cases of hostile opposition to government, the people must have been in the right; and that nothing but very great oppression could drive them to such desperate measures. The bulk

of a people feldom fo much as complain without reason, because they never think of complaining till they feel; fo that in all cases of distatisfaction with government, it is most probable that the people are injured. The cafe, I own, may be otherwise in states of small extent, where the power of the governors is comparatively finall, and the power of the people great and foon united. If it be asked, how far a people may lawfully go in punishing their chief magistrates? I anfwer, that if the enormity of the offence (which is of the same extent as the injury done to the public) be confidered, any punishment is justifiable that a man can incur in human fociety. It may be faid, there are no laws to punish those governors, and we must not condemn persons by laws made ex post facto; for this conduct will vindicate the most obnoxious measures of the most tyrannical administration. But I answer, that this is a case, in its own nature, prior to the establishment of any laws whatever; as it affects the very being of fociety, and defeats the principal ends for which recourse was originally had to it. There may be no fixed law against an open invader who should attempt to seize upon a country, with a view to enflave all its inhabitants; but must not the invader be apprehended, and even put to death, though he hath broken no express law then in being, or none of which he was properly ap-Vel. I. Hh prifed?

prifed? And why should a man, who takes the advantage of being king, or governor, to subvert the laws and liberties of his country, be confidered in any other light than that of a foreign invader? Nay, his crime is much more atrocious; as he was appointed the guardian of the laws and liberties which he subverts, and which he was therefore under the strongest obligation to maintain. In a cafe, therefore, of this highly criminal nature, Salus populi suprema oft lex; " That " must be done which the good of the whole re-" quires:" 2nd generally kings deposed, banished, or imprisoned, are highly dangerous to a nation; because, let them have governed ever so ill, it will be the interest of some to be their partisans, and to attach themselves to their cause. So plain are these first principles of all government, that they must overcome the meanest prejudices, and carry conviction to every man. Whatever be the form of any government, whoever be the fupreme magistrates, or whatever be their number; that is, to whomsoever the power of the society is delegated, their authority is in its own nature reverfible. No man can be supposed to resign his natural liberty, but on conditions. These conditions, whether they be expressed or not, must be violated, whenever the plain and obvious ends of government are not answered; and a delegated power, perverted from the intention for which it was bestowed, expires of course. Magistrates, therefore, who confult not the good of the public, and who employ their power to oppress the people, are a public nuisance; and their power is abrogated ipso facto. This, however, can only be the case in extreme oppression, when the blessings of fociety and civil government, great and important as they are, are bought too dear; when it is better not to be governed at all, than to be governed in fuch a manner; or, at least, when the hazard of a change of government would be apparently the less evil of the two; and, therefore, these occasions rarely occur in the course of human affairs: but where they do occur, resistance is a duty; and a regard to the good of fociety will certainly justify this conduct of the people.

PRIESTLEY.

## CIVIL GOVERNMENT.

WHETHER government be the appointment of a pretended religion; whether originating with the Patriarchs; or owing to a facial compact?—are not matters worthy of inquiry. If it produce happiness at home, and be just and beneficent to all the world; it is good, it is valuable, and should be supported: If it be otherwise; if it render people corrupt, deprayed, and miserable; if it be unjust and oppressive to its dependants and H h 2 neigh-

neighbours; its origin is not worth investigating: for, be its descent what it may, it is an injury, and an evil, and a curse; and mankind may and ought to treat it as such.

WILLIAMS.

#### GOVERNMENT.

HAD every man fusficient fagacity to perceive, at all times, the strong interest which binds him to the observance of justice and equity, and strength of mind sufficient to persevere in a steady adherence to a general and a diffinct interest, in opposition to the allurements of present pleasure and advantage; there had never, in that cafe, been any fuch thing as government or political fociety; but each man, following his natural liberty, had lived in entire peace with all others. What need of positive laws, where natural justice is of itself a sufficient restraint? Why create magistrates, where there never arises any disorder or iniquity? Why abridge our native freedom, when, in every instance, the utmost exertion of it is found innocent and beneficial? It is evident, that if government were totally useless, it never could have place; and that the fole foundation of the duty of allegiance is the advantage which it procures to fociety, by preferving peace and order among mankind. As the obligation to justice is founded

founded entirely on the interests of society, which require mutual abstinence from property, in order to preserve peace among mankind; it is evident, that when the execution of justice would be attended with very pernicious confequences, that virtue must be suspended, and give place to public utility, in fuch extraordinary and preffing emergencies. The maxim, Fiat justitia et ruat calum, " Let justice be performed, though the universe be destroyed," is apparently false; and by facrificing the end to the means, shows a prepofterous idea of the fubordination of duties. What governor of a town makes any fcruple of burning the fuburbs, when they facilitate the advances of the enemy? Or what general abstains from plundering a neutral country, when the necessities of war require it, and he cannot otherwise maintain his army? The cafe is the same with the duty of allegiance; and common fense teaches us, that as government binds us to obedience only on account of its tendency to public utility, that duty must always, in extraordinary cases, when public ruin would evidently attend obedience, yield to the primary and original obligation. lus populi suprema lex; "The fafety of the people is the fupreme law." This maxim is agreeable to the fentiments of mankind in all ages. Accordingly we may observe, that no nation, that could find any remedy, ever yet fuffered the cruel ra-Hha vages

vages of a tyrant, or were blamed for their reliftance. Those who took up arms against Dionyfius or Nero, or Philip II. have the favour of every reader in the perufal of their history; and nothing but the most violent perversion of common fense can ever lead us to condemn them. Government is a mere human invention for the interest of fociety; and where the tyranny of the governor removes this interest, it also removes the obligation to obedience. Refistance, therefore, being admitted in extraordinary cases, the question can only be with regard to the degree of necessity which can justify resistance, and render it lawful and commendable; which can only be in desperate cases, when the public is in the highest danger from violence and tyranny. For befides the mischiefs of a civil war, which commonly attend infurrections, it is certain that, where a disposition to rebellion appears among any people, it is one chief cause of tyranny in the rulers. Thus the tyrannicide or affassination approved of by ancient maxims, instead of keeping tyrants and usurpers in awe, made them ten times more fierce and unrelenting; and is now justly, on that account, abolished by the laws of nations. HUME.

## On the same Subject.

THE general good is the end of all just government; and all the rules of conduct agreed upon, all the statutes, laws, and precepts enacted and promulgated, are made with a view to promote and fecure the public good: and therefore the very nature and defign of government requires new laws to be made, whenever it is found that the old ones are not sufficient; and old ones to be repealed, whenever they are found to be mifchievous in their operation. If the effential parts of any system of civil government are found to be inconfistent with the general good, the end of government requires that fuch bad fystem. should be demolished, and a new one formed, by which the public weal shall be more effectually fecured. And further, if, under any constitution of government, the administration should vary from the fundamental defign of promoting and fecuring the common good; in fuch case the subjects are in duty bound to join all their strength to reduce matters to their original good order.

LAYTHROP'S Sermon at Boston.

### PRINCIPLES OF GOVERNMENT.

TO begin with first principles, we must, for the fake of gaining clear ideas on the subject, do what

what almost all political writers have done before us; that is, we must suppose a number of people existing, who experience the inconvenience of living independent and unconnected; who are exposed without redress to insults and wrongs of various kinds, and are too weak to procure themfelves many of the advantages which they are fensible might easily be compassed by united strength. These people, if they would engage the protection of the whole body, and join their force in enterprifes and undertakings calculated for the common good, must voluntarily refign fome part of their natural liberty, and submit their conduct to the direction of the community: for without these conceptions, such an alliance, attended with fuch advantages, could not be formed. Were these people few in number, and living within fmall diftances of one another, it might be easy for them to assemble upon every occasion, in which the whole body was concerned; and every thing might be determined by the votes of the majority, provided they had previously agreed the votes of the majority to be decifive. But were the fociety numerous, their habitations remote, and the occasions on which the whole body must interpose frequent, it would be absolutely impossible that all the members of the state should assemble, or give their attention to public buliness. In this case, though, with Rousseau, it 7 be

be giving up their liberty, there must be deputies or public officers appointed to act in name of the whole body; and, in a state of very great extent, where all the people could never be affembled, the whole power of the community must necesfarily, and almost irreversibly, be lodged in the hands of these deputies. It may be faid, no fociety on earth was ever formed in the manner reprefented above. I answer, it is true; because all governments whatever have been in some meafure compulfory, tyrannical, and oppressive in their origin; but the method I have described must be allowed to be the only equitable and fair method of forming a fociety. And fince every man retains, and can never be deprived of his natural right (founded on a regard to the general good) of relieving himself from all oppression, that is, from every thing that has been imposed upon him without his own confent; this must be the only true and proper foundation of all the governments fubfifting in the world, and that to which the people who compose them have an unalienable right to bring them back. It must necessarily be understood, then, whether it be expressed or not, that all people live in fociety for their mutual advantage; so that the good and happiness of the members, that is, the majority of the members, of any state, is the great standard by which every thing relating to that state must finally be deterdetermined. And though it may be supposed, that a body of people may be bound by a voluntary refignation of all their interests to a fingle person, or to a few, it can never be supposed that the refignation is obligatory on their posterity; because it is manifestly contrary to the good of the whole that it should be fo. In treating of particular regulations in states, this principle must necesfarily obtrude itself; all arguments in favour of any law being always drawn from a confideration of its tendency to promote the public good. Virtue and right conduct confift in those affections and actions which terminate in general utility; justice and veracity, for instance, having nothing intrinsically excellent in them, separate from their relation to the happiness of mankind; and the whole fystem of right to power, property, and every thing else in fociety, must be regulated by the same consideration: the decisive question, when any of these subjects are examined, being, What is it that the good of the community requires?

PRIESTLEY.

END OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

CHARLES ELLIOT, Bookseller in Edinburgh, begs leave to inform the Public, that he has just purchased from the Proprietors the whole remaining COPIES of the following extensive and valuable Work, which reslects honour upon the Editors, and that part of the country which produced it.

JUST PUBLISHED,

Now completed in Ten very large Volumes in Quarto, containing above 10,000 pages of close print, illustrated with about 400 Copperplates,

(Price TWELVE POUNDS in Boards),

## ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA:

OF, A

DICTIONARY OF ARTS, SCIENCES, &c.

ON A PLAN ENTIRELY NEW:

By which

The different Sciences and Arts are digefted into the
Form of diffinct

TREATISES OR SYSTEMS,

Comprehending the History, Theory, and Practice of each, according to the latest discoveries and improvements;

And

Full Explanations are given of the various detached Parts of Knowledge, whether relating to natural and artificial objects, or to matters ecclefiaftical, civil, military, &c.

Together with

A Description of all the Countries, Cities, principal Mountains, Seas, Rivers, &c. throughout the World;

A general History, ancient and modern, of the different Empires, Kingdoms, and States:

And an Account of the Lives of the most eminent Persons in every nation, from the earliest Ages down to

the present Times.

The whole compiled from
The writings of the best Authors in several languages; the most approved Dictionaries, as well of general science as of particular branches; the transactions, journals, and memoirs of learned societies, both at home and abroad; the manuscript lectures of eminent professions on different sciences; and a variety of original materials, surnished by an extensive correspondence.

Sold in London by G. G. J. and J. Robinson, No 25. Paternoster Row.

Of whom and C. Elliot may be had,

z. The Independent, a novel, by the author of Velina, 2 vols 12mo, 6s.

2. Velina,

# Books printed for C. Elliot, Edinburgh. .

2. Velina, a poetical fragment, by the author of the Independent, 8vo, 1s. 6d. fewed.

3. Maria, or the generous ruftic, 25. 6d.

4. Mrs Mackiver's cookery and pastry, a new edition. This book upon trial will be found the most useful of any, it being easy and plain, and adapted to the middling ranks of life, 12mo, 28. 6d.

5. Dalrymple's cookery, upon the most elegant and newest

taste, 8vo, 6s.

6. Donaldson's elements of beauty, and reflections on the harmony of sensibility and reason, 8vo, 2s. boards.

7. Michael Bruce's poems on different subjects, 28. 6d.

8. Callendar of Craigforth's two Scottish ballads, viz. the Gauberlunzie man, and Christ's kirk on the green, with many curious notes, 8vo, 2s. 6d. boards.

9. Charmer, a most excellent collection of the newest and

best fongs, 2 vols, 12mo, 6s.

10. Lindefay's (Sir D. of the Mount, Lyon King at Arms) whole poetical works, 12mo, 1s. 6d.

11. Lindefay of Pitscottie's history of Scotland from 1436 to 1604, very curious, with index, 12mo, 38.6d.

12. Crawford's memoirs of the affairs of Scotland from 1567 to 1581, 12mo, 38.

13. Farces, a collection of the most esteemed, performed on the British stage, 4 vols, containing 57 pieces. Price only 123. bound, or 28. 6d. each vol. in boards.

14. Hume's dialogues on natural religion, 8vo, 53.

15. Taylor and Shinner's roads through Scotland, from an actual furvey, on 67 very large copperplates. The diflances are exactly marked in English miles; and every gentleman's house, river, rivulet, ruins, or public buildings, for several miles on each side of the road, exactly laid down, neatly bound for the pocket, only 10s. 6d.

16. Scott's (Will. of Edin.) spelling-book, third edition,

with his grammar, &c. price only 19.

17. —— leffons on elocution, third edition, much enlarged and improved, 2s 6d.

18. - effay on elecution, 1s. 6d. fewed.

19. - arithmetic, 29. 6d. bound.

20. — new spelling and pronouncing dictionary in the press.

21. - elements of geometry, 12mo, 38.

22. Perry's oratory, an English collection for schools, 12mo, 25. 6d.



R )TANOX

2015

